Bridge Plan Development Quality Control and Quality Assurance Plan and Checklist



ALDOT Bridge Bureau

March 2024



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK	



Revisions since January 2021 Edition (revised March 2021)

Revisions to this document will be highlighted throughout.



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION	- 1-1
1.1 General	- 1-1
1.2 Approval	1-3
SECTION 2. OC/OA PROCESS	2.4
SECTION 2. QC/QA PROCESS	·- Z- I
2.1 QC/QA Definitions	2-1
2.2 Personnel Definitions and Responsibilities	
2.3 Procedure for Bridge Bureau Designed Projects	
2.4 Procedure for Consultant Designed Projects	
SECTION 3. IN-HOUSE PLANS CHECKLISTS	3-1
3.1 Project Information	3-1
3.2 Hydraulic Review and Recommendation	- 3-2
3.3 Type, Size and Location (TS&L)	
3.4 Bridge Geometrics	
3.5 Design Exceptions, Calculations and Sketches	3-4
3.6 Plan Sheet Preparation	3-5
3.7 Quantity Computations	- 3-6
3.8 Design Section Plan Check (Quality Control)	3-6
3.9 Quality Assurance Review Submittal	
3.10 Bridge Plan Review (Quality Assurance)	3-8
3.11 Assistant Bridge Engineer Review (Quality Assurance)	3-9
3.12 Disposition of QA Mark-Ups	3-9
3.13 Assistant Bridge Engineer Back Check (Quality Assurance) -	- 3-9
3.14 State Bridge Engineer Approval (Final Contract Plans)	- 3-10
3.15 Bridge Rating	
3.16 Plan Revisions	- 3-11
3.17 Shop Drawings	3-11
3.18 Completed Project Record Storage	3-12
3.19 Project Directory Maintenance {Removed}	



SECTION	4. CONSULTANT PLANS CHECKLISTS4-1
4.1	Project Information4-1
	Hydraulic Review and Recommendation4-2
	30% Review (TS&L / PIH) 4-3
<mark>4.4</mark>	Distribution of Approved TS&L4-4
<mark>4.5</mark>	60% Review (PS&E) 4-5
	95% Review (CNR)4-6
<mark>4.7</mark>	99% Review (OE / Final Plans)4-7
<mark>4.8</mark>	Bridge Rating4-8
<mark>4.9</mark>	Plan Revisions 4-9
<mark>4.1</mark>	<mark>0</mark> Shop Drawings4-10
<mark>4 1</mark>	1 Completed Project Record Storage 4-11

END OF DOCUMENT



SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

This document establishes a Quality Control (QC) and Quality Assurance (QA) Plan for all ALDOT bridge, culvert or miscellaneous structure projects, whether developed by the ALDOT Bridge Bureau (in-house) or by Consultants (includes Local Transportation projects developed "in-house" by the Local Public Agency or by their retained Consultant and subject to review by ALDOT). This document conforms with the "Guidance on QC/QA in Bridge Design in Response to NTSB Recommendation (H-08-17)" (FHWA/AASHTO Guidance) which was published jointly by FHWA and AASHTO dated August 2011.

The Bridge Plan Development QC/QA Plan and Checklist was developed to implement a deliberate systematic process of checks and balances to ensure, to the greatest extent possible, that structure designs and plans are free of errors and omissions and prepared in accordance with applicable design codes, Bridge Bureau policies and procedures, ALDOT Construction Specifications and good detailing practice. It is designed to track the Bridge Bureau's QC/QA process (as applicable to Bridge Bureau or Consultant designed projects) through the design and development of all structure plans. This documentation shall be retained as a permanent record of each project.



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



1.2 APPROVAL

This document is approved for implementation and is effective as of the date shown.

William T. Colquett, PE Date
State Bridge Engineer

Edward N. Austin, PE

Chief Engineer

Date

Mark D. Saitlett 04/15/2024

Mark Bartlett, PE Date
Division Administrator

FHWA Alabama Division



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



SECTION 2. QC/QA PROCESS

2.1 QC/QA DEFINITIONS

- Quality Control (QC): Procedures of checking the accuracy of design calculations and their conformance with current governing design codes and ALDOT design policy including review of the contract drawings for conformity with current plan development procedures and detecting and correcting design / plan omissions and errors before the contract plans are submitted for a Quality Assurance review.
- 2. Quality Assurance (QA): Procedures of review to ensure that the quality control processes have been followed effectively preventing errors, omissions and inconsistencies in the development of the contract drawings. The QA review shall include a review of the plan drawings, designs, and sketches to ensure designs and plans conform to governing codes and ALDOT design policy and plan development procedures. The QA review shall also include rating of the bridge superstructure.

2.2 PERSONNEL DEFINITIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- 1. <u>State Bridge Engineer</u>: An individual assigned as the Bureau Chief and charged with directing the operations of the Bridge Bureau. This person shall sign the first sheet of each set of bridge plans in the contract plan assembly, signifying that the final contract plans are ready for letting. This person shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama. The State Bridge Engineer shall have a classification of Professional Civil Engineer III (PCE III).
- 2. <u>Assistant State Bridge Engineer, Design:</u> An individual responsible for managing the design activities of the individual Design Sections. This person shall assign projects to Design Sections by matching experience and qualifications with respect to project complexity. This person shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama. The Assistant State Bridge Engineer, Design shall have a classification of Professional Civil Engineer II Senior (PCE II Senior).
- 3. <u>Design Section:</u> A unit of individuals consisting of Engineers and Detailers with the specific purpose of preparing designs and plans for contract Bridge Plans.



- 4. <u>Consultant Management Section:</u> A unit of individuals consisting of Engineers and Detailers with the specific purpose of reviewing (QA) consultant prepared contract Bridge Plans.
- 5. Engineer of Record (EOR): An individual, identified as the Design Section Supervisor, selected by the Assistant State Bridge Engineer, Design with the concurrence of the State Bridge Engineer, responsible for directing the necessary activities of a Design Section. The EOR shall be responsible for a full technical review of the project including a design check of all the bridge's systems and components, review of the contract drawings (details) and applicable specifications. This shall include verifying the designer's calculations and conformance to the ALDOT Bridge Bureau Structural Design Manual. The EOR shall ensure that the drawings adequately and accurately depict the design information and are presented in conformance with the ALDOT Bridge Bureau Quality Control Manual for Bridge Plan Detailing. The individuals responsible for performing the various design and detailing tasks shall be selected and identified by the EOR. The EOR shall sign the first sheet of each set of bridge plans in the contract plan assembly. The EOR shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama. The EOR shall have a classification of Professional Civil Engineer II (PCE II).
- 6. <u>Designer:</u> An individual responsible for the development of the design calculations, design sketches, and review of shop drawings related to a specific structural design. This person shall have a level of technical skills and experience commensurate with the complexity of the subject structure being designed. The Designer shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama or be under the direct supervision of a State of Alabama licensed professional engineer. The Designer shall have a classification of Civil Engineering Graduate (CEG), Civil Engineer, Licensed (CEL), or Professional Civil Engineer I (PCE I).
- 7. <u>Detailer:</u> The individual(s) responsible for detailing the contract bridge plans following the Designer's sketches using proper drafting techniques and using guidance found in the ALDOT Bridge Bureau Quality Control Manual for Bridge Plan Detailing. This person shall also be responsible for material take-offs for estimating quantities. This person shall have a level of technical skills and experience commensurate with the complexity of the subject structure being detailed. Detailers shall be identified as follows: (1) Bridge Detailer and have a classification of Engineering Assistant I, II or III (EAI, II or III) or Transportation Technician (TT); (2) Senior Bridge Detailer and have a classification of Transportation



Technician Senior (TTS); (3) Design Section Squad Leader and have a classification of Transportation Manager (TM).

- 8. QC Detailing Checker: An individual responsible for performing a full review of the bridge drawings following the Quality Control Manual for Bridge Plan Detailing. The QC Checker shall be assigned by the Design Section Supervisor. The assigned individual shall be identified as follows: (1) Design Section Squad Leader and have a classification of Transportation Manager (TM); or (2) Senior Bridge Detailer and have a classification of Transportation Technologist Senior (TTS).
- 9. Assistant State Bridge Engineer, QA: An individual responsible for reviewing the QC/QA procedures, documentation, and bridge plans for all in-house designed bridge projects. The QA Engineer shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama. The Assistant State Bridge Engineer, QA shall have a classification of Professional Civil Engineer II Senior (PCE II Senior). This individual shall sign the first sheet of each set of bridge plans in the contract plan assembly, signifying the due processes of QC and QA have been completed and that the plans are ready for the signature of the State Bridge Engineer.
- 10. <u>QA Administrator</u>: An individual responsible for all aspects of the QA reviews of bridge plans for all in-house designed bridge projects, ensuring that bridge plans conform to ALDOT Bridge Bureau criteria and guidelines. The QA Administrator must be experienced in bridge plan review and familiar with ALDOT Standard Specifications. The QA Administrator shall also be responsible for review and processing of fabrication documents for structural steel items. The QA Administrator shall have a minimum classification of Transportation Administrator (TA).
- 11. QA Reviewer: An individual responsible for performing reviews of the bridge plans, reinforcing, and geometry for all in-house designed bridge projects. The QA Reviewer shall have a minimum classification of Transportation Manager (TM) and be under the supervision of the QA Administrator.
- 12. <u>Rating Engineer</u>: An individual responsible for performing a structural validation of the bridge superstructure through bridge rating analysis in accordance with the AASHTO Manual for Maintenance Inspection of Bridges. This person shall have a level of skills and experience commensurate with the complexity of the subject structure being analyzed. The Rating Engineer shall have a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to



- practice engineering in the State of Alabama and shall have a minimum classification of Professional Civil Engineer I (PCE I).
- 13. <u>Bridge Hydraulics Engineer</u>: An individual responsible for performing hydraulic analyses of bridge sites at water crossings for determining the length of bridge(s), span arrangement, bridge type, minimum finish grade elevations, scour characteristics, etc. This person shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama. The Bridge Hydraulics Engineer shall have a classification of Professional Civil Engineer II (PCE II).
- 14. Consultant [Specialty] Engineer of Record (EOR): A Professional Engineering firm wholly or in part specializing in Bridge Engineering, contracted by ALDOT to prepare designs and plans for contract Bridge Projects under the supervision of an Alabama Registered Professional Engineer. Specialty is identified as either Hydraulics, Bridge, or Rating.
- 15. Consultant Manager: An individual responsible for ensuring the completion of the QA process applicable for bridge designs and plans prepared by consultants. The Consultant Manager shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama. The Consultant Manager shall have a minimum classification of Professional Civil Engineer II (PCE II).
- 16. Consultant Review Engineer: An individual or individuals responsible for the execution of the QA process applicable for bridge designs and plans prepared by consultants. This person shall possess a degree (B.S. or higher) in Civil Engineering from an accredited university and be licensed to practice engineering in the State of Alabama or be under the direct supervision of a State of Alabama licensed professional engineer. The Consultant Review Engineer shall have a classification of Civil Engineering Graduate (CEG), Civil Engineer, Licensed (CEL), or Professional Civil Engineer I (PCE I).

2.3 PROCEDURE FOR BRIDGE BUREAU DESIGNED PROJECTS

Copy and complete the IN-HOUSE PLANS CHECKLISTS (SECTION 3) when designs and plans for contract Bridge Plans are prepared in-house by the Bridge Bureau. The Design Section Supervisor (EOR) shall ensure that the QC/QA progression is followed and that the checklist is maintained through the various stages of the process.

When assignment of a project is made to a Design Section, a Project Binder shall be created. All pertinent information relative to the design and



development of the contract Bridge Plans shall be gathered into this document. The minimum information requirements for the Project Binder is noted in Section 3.9. The Project Binder shall constitute the permanent record of the development of the contract Bridge Plans.

2.4 PROCEDURE FOR CONSULTANT DESIGNED PROJECTS

Copy and complete the CONSULTANT PLANS CHECKLISTS (SECTION 4) when Consultants are contracted by ALDOT to prepare designs and plans for contract Bridge Plans (includes Local Transportation projects as applicable). The Consultant Manager shall ensure that the QA progression is followed and that the checklist is maintained through the various stages of the process.

Similar to Section 2.3, when a Consultant developed bridge project is assigned to the Consultant Management Section, a Project Binder shall be created. All pertinent information relative to the development of the contract Bridge Plans shall be assembled into this document. The Project Binder shall constitute the permanent record of the development of the contract Bridge Plans.

Construction Documents Review and Bridge Rating: The State Bridge Engineer, at his discretion, may determine that certain construction documents such as shop drawings for fabrication of structural members, weld procedures and other related correspondence should be reviewed and approved by the Consultant (EOR) in lieu of in-house review and approval by the Bridge Bureau. Likewise, the State Bridge Engineer, at his discretion, may determine that certain Consultant designed bridge structures should be rated by the Consultant in-lieu of in-house rating by the Bridge Bureau. Accordingly, Consultant agreements should then contain appropriate provision for such tasks to be accomplished by the Consultant. See Sections 4.8 and 4.10.

Consultant Responsibilities: ALDOT's review of Consultant's designs, reports, and/or contract plans is considered a cursory Quality Assurance Review. Consultants preparing bridge plans shall perform both QC and QA design and plan reviews prior to submitting plans to the State Bridge Engineer for review. Fulfilment of this requirement shall be evidenced by submission of the Consultant's completed formal QC/QA process documentation and shall be retained as part of the permanent record for the project. This submittal shall contain a statement attesting to the satisfactory completion of this process and shall be signed and sealed by the Consultant Engineer of Record for the project.

Consultants shall maintain a permanent record of all design and check calculations, sketches, review comments and resolutions, final drawings and



other pertinent documents/correspondence as well as the QC/QA documentation. An electronic file (pdf) of this information shall be furnished to the State Bridge Engineer. The Consultant Management Section of the Bridge Bureau shall be responsible for ensuring that this information is electronically stored in accordance with these procedures and in accordance with Step 4.11 of the QC/QA plan and checklist.



SECTION 3. IN-HOUSE PLANS CHECKLISTS

3.1 PROJECT INFORMATION

Project No.: Description:	
County(s): BIN(s): CPMS CN #: CPMS PE #:	
Bridge Hydraulics Engineer <mark>or Consultant Hydraulics EOR:</mark>	
Design Section Super. (EOR): Designer(s):	
QC Detailing Checker or Design Section Squad Leader: Senior Detailer(s):	
Detailer(s):	
QA Administrator: QA Reviewer, Plans: QA Reviewer, Reinforcing: Rating Engineer: Asst. St. Bridge Engineer, QA: Asst. St. Bridge Engineer, Des.:	
State Bridge Engineer:	



3.2 HYDRAULIC REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION (WATERWAY CROSSINGS)

The Hydraulic review and recommendation shall be completed at the GDCP Step 19.0 roadway plan submittal. This shall be prepared by the Bridge Hydraulics Engineer or a Consultant Hydraulics EOR with concurrence by the State Bridge Engineer or Assistant State Bridge Engineer.

•	Bridge Hydraulics Engineer or Consultant Hydraulics EOR Recommendation:	Initial	Date
•	State Bridge Engineer or Asst. State Bridge Engineer Concurrence:		



3.3 TYPE, SIZE AND LOCATION (TS&L)

The Bridge Type, Size, and Location (TS&L) (otherwise known as the "Layout") drawing(s) shall be prepared at the GDCP Step 60 roadway plan submittal. The completed TS&L shall be reviewed and approved by the Section Supervisor, the Bridge Hydraulics Engineer (waterway crossings), and the State Bridge Engineer or Assistant State Bridge Engineer.

	 Section Supervisor Approval: 	Initial	Date
	 Bridge Hydraulics Engineer Approval: State Bridge Engineer or Asst. State Bridge Engineer Approval: 		
	For unusual/complex bridges on the National Hig TS&L shall be submitted to FHWA for review. We the State of Alabama can be found at the following https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/national_bris/alabama/index.cfm	laps of the N ng web link:	HS routes for
	 FHWA Review Submitted: 	Initial Check if I	Date Not Applicable
	For bridges over or adjacent to railroad right-of-vectors shall be transmitted to the Project Lead for their coordination.	• •	
	RR Layout Submitted:	Initial ☐ Check if I	Date Not Applicable
	For bridges over navigable waterways, a Bridge be submitted to the U.S. Coast Guard for review Bridge Permit Application Guide). Coordinate, a Bureau Environmental-Technical Section (ETS) provide information required for the USCG perm	and approva s needed, wi and <mark>Project L</mark>	al <u>(see USCG</u> th the Design Lead to
	 Bridge Permit Application Submitted: Coast Guard Approval Received: 	Initial Check if I	Date ———— Not Applicable
CPMS CN#_			March 2024



3.4 BRIDGE GEOMETRICS

Bridge geometry shall be computed and independently verified within the

as PDFs in the project directory and included in the Project Binder.				
•	Geometrics computed:	Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
•	Geometrics verified:			
<u>3.5</u>	DESIGN EXCEPTIONS, CALC	<u>JLATIONS</u>	S AND SI	<u>KETCHES</u>
co ar pe De pe su de	ne Designer(s) shall perform design components including superstructure, but prepare applicable sketches for usersonnel. Design sketches should contract drawing the checks/reviews. The Engineer of the completeness and accuracy and completeness all be scanned and saved as PDFs in the completeness and second as PDFs in the completeness and second and saved as PDFs in the completeness and second and saved as PDFs in the completeness and second and saved as PDFs in the completeness and second a	pearings, joir e by plan De nvey adequa ngs <mark>and for 0</mark> of Record (o assure the s of sketches	nts, and su etailers and ate informa QC/QA per EOR) shou adequacy s. Check o	bstructure I QC/QA Ition for the sonnel to ald perform of the calculations
De	<mark>esigner and EOR shall initial and date</mark>	<mark>the front sh</mark>		
<u>ru</u> •	ns, and each sheet of design sketche Superstructure Designs & Sketches:	<u>Initial</u>	<u>Date</u> Started	<u>Date</u> <u>Completed</u>
•	EOR Check:			
•	Substructure Designs & Sketches:			
•	EOR Check:			
•	Asst. State Bridge Engineer Check:			

CPMS CN# March 2024



Document all exceptions to the Structural Design Manual (SDM) that require prior approval of the State Bridge Engineer (SBE). Describe SDM exceptions below and include date, excepted Section number and initials of the State Bridge Engineer denoting approval. Attach additional sheets following same format as necessary.

<u>Date</u>	Section No.	SBE Init.	Exception

3.6 PLAN SHEET PREPARATION

The Detailer(s) shall prepare bridge plan sheets in accordance with sketches provided by the Designer(s) and in compliance with the ALDOT Quality Control Manual for Bridge Plan Detailing.

		Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
Plan Sheet Detailing:	Plan Sheet Detailing:			



3.7 QUANTITY COMPUTATIONS

Bridge quantities shall be independently computed and verified by Bridge Design Section personnel and placed on the applicable sheets and the Estimated Quantities summary on the front sheet. The computed calculations and the verifying calculations shall be initialed and dated and included in the Project Binder.

			Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
	• Comp	outed:			
,	Verific	ed:			
<u>3.8</u>	DESIG	ON SECTION PLAN	CHECK (QUAL	ITY CON	ITROL)
		ividual plan sheet shall b	_		
		<mark>n Section Squad Leader</mark> September Pridge			
		Control Manual for Bridge ck all applicable structur			
		ance with their design. F			
		by the Design Section S		3 1	
			Initial	Date	Date
	_			Started	Completed
	• Squa	d Leader Check: Corrections Made			
		By Detailer:			
		Corrections Verified			
		By Squad Leader:			
			Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
,	Design	ner(s) Check:			
		Corrections Made By Detailer:			
		Corrections Verified			
		By Designer(s):			
PMS CN#					March 20

3-6



Co By	Supervisor (EOR) Check: orrections Made / Detailer: orrections Verified	Initial		Date Completed
Ву	y EOR: es Verified <mark>by EOR</mark> :	Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
The QA Sub organized ar	mittal should include the formattal should include the format labeled in the Project Biate Bridge Engineer, QA.	ollowing infor	mation nea	•
Bridge Plan Development QC/QA Plan and Checklist Bridge Hydraulic Report 11" x 17" copy of the Approved TS&L Geometry Check Run and Verifying Run Design Calculations Design Sketches Foundation Report and Addendums Bridge Plans Detailing Checklist Quantity Calculations and Verifying Calculations Project Correspondence and other related documents				
The QA sub	mittal shall also include the	e following:		
	Current Roadway Plans (One Full Size Set of Com (Includes all applicable bri E-sheets if applicable. Bo	plete Bridge dge special	Plans <u>Roll</u> project dra	<u>ed Up</u> wings and

CPMS CN#_____



3.10 BRIDGE PLAN REVIEW (QUALITY ASSURANCE)

The entire bridge plan set shall be reviewed by QA personnel for accuracy and compliance with Bridge Bureau design practices and detailing standards. This includes reviews of geometry, reinforcing, and quantities.

	Initial	Date Started	- '
QA Review Submittal Received: A Review Submittal Asserted:			<u>N/A</u>
 QA Review Submittal Accepted: Geometric Review: 			<u>N/A</u>
Geometric Review: □ No Comments	□ Correct	tions Pogu	ired
☐ Return FULL / HALF Size Pla		lions Mequ	iieu
☐ Corrections Verified By EOR:	113		
Geometric Backcheck:			
Plan Review:			
☐ No Comments	□ Correct	tions Requ	ired
☐ Return FULL / HALF Size Pla		'	
☐ Corrections Verified By EOR:			
Plan Review Backcheck:			
□ No Comments	☐ Correct	tions Requ	ired
□ Return FULL / HALF Size Pla			
☐ Corrections Verified By EOR:			
 Additional Backcheck: 			
□ No Comments		tions Requ	ired
☐ Return FULL / HALF Size Pla			
☐ Corrections Verified By EOR:			
 Additional Backcheck: □ No Comments 		tions Dogu	
☐ No Comments☐ Return FULL / HALF Size Pla		tions Requ	irea
☐ Corrections Verified By EOR:			
Corrections verified by LOIN.			-
Check prints are to be scanned and sto	ored in projec	ct directory	upon
receipt.			<u></u>
<u> </u>			
Design calculations and sketches shall	be reconcile	ed with the	QA review
comments.			
Detailing disputes with the QA Reviewe			the mutual
satisfaction of the Engineer of Record a	and QA Adm	ıınıstrator.	



3.11 ASSISTANT BRIDGE ENGINEER REVIEW (QUALITY ASSURANCE)

All in-house designed plans shall be reviewed for conformance with the QC/QA Plan. The Project Binder, an 11" x 17" set of complete bridge plans and the latest roadway plan set are required for submittal.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		
		Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
	Asst. Bridge Engr., QA Review:			
3. [,]	12 DISPOSITION OF QA MAR	K-UPS		
	QA comments as well as any commo Bureau should be addressed and do binder.			_
		Initial	Date Completed	d
	 Corrections Verified by EOR: PS&E Comments Received: 			-
	 Final Backcheck Comments Rec CN Review Plans Submitted: CN Comments Received: 	'd:		- -
	 Disposition of Comments Sent: 			- -
3. [,]	13 ASSISTANT BRIDGE ENGI	NEER BAC	K CHECK	(
	(QUALITY ASSURANCE)			_
	This review should be conducted after have been performed. The Project Education bridge plans, the Asst. Bridge Engine roadway plan set will be required for	Binder, an 11 <mark>"</mark> eer, QA check	x 17" set of prints, and	f corrected
		Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
	Asst. Bridge Engr., QA Backched	ck:		
/IS CN#_				March 2
_		3-9		



3.14 STATE BRIDGE ENGINEER APPROVAL (FINAL CONTRACT PLANS)

Present full-size complete bridge plans embossed as "ORIGINAL CONTRACT DRAWINGS" to the State Bridge Engineer for signing. The plans should be signed by the EOR, Assistant State Bridge Engineer, QA and initialed by the designer.

		Initial	Date	
•	State Bridge Engineer Approval:			
<u>3.15</u>	BRIDGE RATING			
th Pl	The superstructure shall be analytical the superstructure shall be analytical the superstructure of the final bridge plans (bridge superstructure) and Elevation) will be required accomplished at the completion of the roject letting. The rating report and	Design Manua tructure only, ir for the rating. ne contract brid	<mark>/.</mark> An 11" including the The rating ge plans a	x 17" set of e General shall be nd prior to
B	inder and a copy of the report forward maintenance Bureau.			•
		Initial	Date Started	Date Completed
•	Rating Engineer:			
		☐ Check if ra	ated as "Pa	assing"



3.16 PLAN REVISIONS

Any changes made to plan drawings after the Letting Authorization date shall be flagged, numbered and handled in accordance with GFO 3-5. Flagged revisions shall be reviewed by the Engineer of Record and QA Administrator and be documented below.

Revision #	Date	Plan/Bridge Sheet #	Revised By	EOR Review Initial/date	QA Review Initial/date

If plan revisions are made after the Letting date, and review is complete, two 11" x 17" copies of revised sheets along with the revision letter shall be provided to the QA Administrator.

3.17 SHOP DRAWINGS

Fabrication drawings for elastomeric bearings, prestressed girders and piling will be reviewed by the designer.

	Reviewer Initial	Date Received	Date Approved	Date Distributed
Prestressed Girders: Bearings: Prestressed Piling: Other:				

For structural steel items, the QA Administrator is responsible for review and processing of fabrication drawings, welding procedures and related documents. The QA Administrator is responsible for record keeping.

CPMS CN#	March 2024
----------	------------



3.18 COMPLETED PROJECT RECORD STORAGE

Project records should be retained indefinitely. Upon receipt of the project completion letter from the Construction Bureau, the project shall be processed for permanent record storage. A copy of the completion letter will be distributed to the EOR and the QA Administrator.

	Completion letter received (FOR):	Initial	Date
•	Completion letter received (EOR): Completion letter received (QA Administrator):		
	A Administrator shall purge the contract ntract plans will be offered to the EOR		
•	Plans purged:	Initial	Date
(scanni	OR (Design Section Supervisor) shall be ng) and electronic storing of project red e stored in the project directory using p	cords. Compl	eted records
(pui).			
(pui).	Project Rinder:	Initial	Date
•	Project Binder:	Initial	Date
•	Project Binder: Check prints: Administrative File:	Initial	Date
•	Check prints:		Date
The QA electror	Check prints: Administrative File: Shop Drawings (Prestressed Concret	e retention (sca	anning) and
• • • • •	Check prints: Administrative File: Shop Drawings (Prestressed Concret Girders, Piling; Bearings): Administrator shall be responsible for	e retention (sca	anning) and
The QA electror	Check prints: Administrative File: Shop Drawings (Prestressed Concret Girders, Piling; Bearings): Administrator shall be responsible for	retention (sca	anning) and I fabrication



SECTION 4. CONSULTANT PLANS CHECKLISTS

4.1 PROJECT INFORMATION

Project No.:	
Description:	
County(s):	
BIN(s):	
CPMS CN #: CPMS PE #:	
CFWIS FL #.	
Consultant Bridge EOR:	
Contract ID:	
Bridge Hydraulics Engineer <mark>or</mark>	
Consultant Hydraulics EOR:	
Consultant Managem	
Consultant Manager: Consultant Review Engineer(s):	
Consultant Review Engineer(s).	
Consultant Rating EOR or	
Rating Engineer:	
Asst. St. Bridge Engineer, QA:	
Asst. St. Bridge Engineer, Des.:	
State Bridge Engineer:	



4.2 HYDRAULIC REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION (WATERWAY CROSSINGS)

The Hydraulic review and recommendation shall be completed at the GDCP Step 19.0 roadway plan submittal. This may be prepared by the Bridge Hydraulics Engineer or a Consultant Hydraulics EOR with concurrence by the State Bridge Engineer or Assistant State Bridge Engineer.

•	Bridge Hydraulics Engineer or Consultant Hydraulics EOR Recommendation:	Initial	Date
•	State Bridge Engineer or Asst. State Bridge Engineer Concurrence:		

March 2024



4.3 30% REVIEW (TS&L / PIH)

Th	ne submittal shall include the following	g informatio	n.	
	Bridge Hydraulic Report Copy of Consultant's QC/0 TS&L Roadway Plans (GDCP Structures Design Manual	tep 60 minired exception		.DOT
•	TS&L Received: TS&L Review:	Initials	Date Started	Date Completed <u>N/A</u>
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	ctions Requ	ired <u>N/A</u>
•	TS&L Backcheck Review:			
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	ctions Requ	ired <u>N/A</u>
•	Additional Backcheck Review:			
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	ctions Requ	ired <u>N/A</u>
	COMMENTS:			



4.4 DISTRIBUTION OF APPROVED TS&L

The Consultant, when part of the agreement, shall furnish the Bridge Type, Size, and Location drawing (otherwise referred to as the "Layout") which shall be prepared at the GDCP Step 60 roadway plan submittal. The completed Layout shall be reviewed and approved by the Consultant Manager, the Bridge Hydraulics Engineer or Consultant Hydraulics EOR (if over a waterway), and the State Bridge Engineer or Assistant State Bridge Engineer.

	(if over a waterway), and the State Bridge Engine Bridge Engineer.	<mark>eer or</mark> Assist	ant State
	bridge Engineer.	Initial	Date
	 Consultant Manager Approval: Bridge Hydraulics Engineer or Consultant Hydraulics EOR Approval: State Bridge Engineer or Asst. State Bridge Engineer Approval: 		
	For unusual/complex bridges on the National Hig Consultant Management Section shall submit the review. Maps of the NHS routes for the State of the following web link:	e TS&L to th	e FHWA for
	https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/national_hs/alabama/index.cfm • FHWA Review Submitted:	Initial	Date Not Applicable
	For bridges over or adjacent to railroad right-of-w Management Section shall transmit to the Project layout (prepared by the Consultant) for their use	t Lead the p	<mark>reliminary</mark>
	RR Layout Submitted:	Initial ☐ Check if	Date Not Applicable
	For bridges over navigable waterways, a Bridge be submitted to the U.S. Coast Guard for review Bridge Permit Application Guide). Coordinate, a Bureau Environmental-Technical Section (ETS) provide information required for the USCG permit	and approva <mark>s needed</mark> , w and <mark>Project l</mark>	al <u>(see USCG</u> ith the Design Lead to
	 Bridge Permit Application Submitted: _ Coast Guard Approval Received: _ 	Initial Check if	Date Not Applicable
CPMS CN#_	4-4	2.1.2.2.1.1	March 202



4.5 60% REVIEW (PS&E)

Th	e submittal shall include the following Foundation Report and Ade Bridge Hydraulic Report (R Approved TS&L (Revised) Roadway Plans (GDCP Ste Two Half Size Sets of 60% (Review for general confort Procedures and comments review of plan details)	dendums evised) ep 60 minim Complete I mance with	num) (Revis Bridge Plans ALDOT Pra	s actices and
•	Plans Received: Plan Review:	Initials	Date Started	Date Completed <u>N/A</u>
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	tions Requii	red <u>N/A</u>
•	Plan Backcheck Review:			
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	tions Requii	red <u>N/A</u>
•	Additional Backcheck Review:			
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	tions Requii	red <u>N/A</u>
	COMMENTS:			

CPMS CN#_____

March 2024



4.6 95% REVIEW (CNR)

Th	ne submittal shall include the followin	g informatio	n.			
	Foundation Report and Addendums (Revised) Copy of Consultant's QC/QA Plan (Completed) Roadway Plans (GDCP Step 95) Three Half Size Sets of Complete Bridge Plans (QA plan review and Construction Bureau submittal)					
•	Plans Received: Plan Review:	Initials	Date Started	Date Completed <u>N/A</u>		
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	tions Requ	ired <u>N/A</u>		
•	Plan Backcheck Review:					
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	tions Requ	ired <u>N/A</u>		
•	Additional Backcheck Review:					
	□ No Comments□ Return HALF Size Plans	□ Correc	tions Requ	ired <u>N/A</u>		
	COMMENTS:					



4.7 99% REVIEW (OE / FINAL PLANS)

The submittal shall include the followin	g informatio	n.	
Copy of Consultant's QC/0 Electronic copy of project of Consultant's QC/0 Copy of Consultant's QC/0 Electronic copy of project of Consultant's QC/0	data `		eded)
All Consultant designed plans shall be QC/QA Plan by the Assistant State Brid			
Plans Received:Asst. St. Bridge Engr., QA Review:	Initials	Date Started	Date Completed <u>N/A</u>
□ No Comments	□ Correc	ctions Requ	iired
COMMENTS:			
	Initials	Date Started	Date Completed
 Request and process "ORIGINAL C for submittal to Office Engineer: 	ONTRACT	DRAWING	S <mark>"</mark>
Full size completed bridge plans with C signatures and embossed "ORIGINAL ((embossing done in-house) shall be pre Engineer for signing.	CONTRAC	T DRAWIN	GS"



4.8 BRIDGE RATING

The superstructure shall be analytically load rated in accordance with the **ALDOT Bridge Bureau Structural Design Manual**. An 11" x 17" set of the final bridge plans (bridge superstructure only, including the General Plan and Elevation) will be required for the rating (not required if rating is done by Consultant). The rating shall be accomplished at the completion of the contract bridge plans and prior to project letting. The rating report and results shall be added to the Project Binder and a copy of the report forwarded to the Bridge Rating Section of the Maintenance Bureau.

Rating Engineer:	Initial 	Date Started	Date Completed
	☐ Check if I	•	
If rated by Consultant Rating EOR:			
	Initial	Date Received	Date Accepted
 Consultant Review Engineer: 			'



4.9 PLAN REVISIONS

Any necessary revisions to the plan drawings after the Letting Authorization date shall be executed by the Consultant and flagged and numbered appropriately. The Consultant Manager or Consultant Review Engineer shall coordinate revisions as necessary with the Consultant and revised sheets shall be handled in accordance with GFO 3-5 and documented below.

Revision #	Date	Plan/Bridge Sheet #	Consultant Manager Consultant Review Engineer Initials/Date

If plan revisions are made after the Letting date, and review is complete, two 11" x 17" copies of revised sheets along with the revision letter shall be provided to the QA Administrator.

CPMS CN#	March 2024
----------	------------



4.10 SHOP DRAWINGS

Per Section 2.4, when the State Bridge Engineer has directed the review and approval of fabrication documents by the Consultant (EOR), the reviewed and approved documents will be stamped and distributed by the Consultant Manager and documented as shown below.

	Dat Recei		Date Distribute	
Prestressed Girders: Bearings: Prestressed Piling: Other:				
f checked in-house:	Reviewer Initial	Date Received	Date Approved	Date Distributed
Prestressed Girders: Bearings: Prestressed Piling: Other:				

For structural steel items, the QA Administrator is responsible for review and processing of fabrication drawings, welding procedures and related documents. The QA Administrator is responsible for record keeping.

CPMS	March 2024
------	------------



4.11 COMPLETED PROJECT RECORD STORAGE

Project records should be retained indefinitely. Upon receipt of the project completion letter from the Construction Bureau, the project shall be processed for permanent record storage. A copy of the completion letter will be distributed to the Consultant Manager and the QA Administrator.

Administrator.	Initial	Date
 Completion letter received (Consultant Manager): Completion letter received (QA Administrator): 		
The QA Administrator shall purge the contract The contract plans will be offered to the Cons retention if desired.	•	•
Plans purged:	Initial	Date
The Consultant Manager shall be responsible obtaining an electronic copy as applicable) an		
project records. Completed records shall be significantly using portable document format (pdf	stored in the p	
project records. Completed records shall be s directory using portable document format (pdf	stored in the p	
project records. Completed records shall be significantly using portable document format (pdf • Project Binder:	stored in the p	project
project records. Completed records shall be s directory using portable document format (pdf	stored in the p	project
 project records. Completed records shall be significantly using portable document format (pdf) Project Binder: Check Prints 	stored in the p	project
 project records. Completed records shall be significant directory using portable document format (pdf Project Binder: Check Prints Administrative File: Shop Drawings (Prestressed Concrete 	Initial Interpretation (scattering steeds	Date Date anning) and el fabrication
 project records. Completed records shall be significant directory using portable document format (pdf) Project Binder: Check Prints Administrative File: Shop Drawings (Prestressed Concreted Girders, Pilling; Bearings): The QA Administrator shall be responsible for electronic (pdf) storage of project records for sitems. 	Initial Interpretation (sca	Date anning) and
 project records. Completed records shall be significantly using portable document format (pdf) Project Binder: Check Prints Administrative File: Shop Drawings (Prestressed Concreted Girders, Pilling; Bearings): The QA Administrator shall be responsible for electronic (pdf) storage of project records for storage	Initial Interpretation (scattering steeds	Date Date anning) and el fabrication



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CPMS CN#_____

March 2024

