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## RESOLUTION

## Approval of the 2020 South Central Alabama <br> Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan

WHEREAS, public transportation and public transit continue to be identified by local citizens in the South Central Alabama Region as primary rural transportation needs and concerns; and

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been developed to reflect the unmet needs and strategies for the provision of coordinated transportation services in the South Central Alabama Region, as of August 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been duly reviewed and discussed by the Board of Directors of the South Central Alabama Development Commission.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this $26^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2020, that the Board of Directors of the South Central Alabama Development Commission does hereby adopt the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 as a guideline for rural public transportation and public transit in the South Central Alabama Region.


Mayor Fletcher Fountain, Chair
Attest:


# South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization Steering Committee <br> RESOLUTION 20-04 <br> Approval of the South Central Alabama <br> Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan 

WHEREAS, public transportation and public transit continue to be identified by local citizens in the South Central Alabama Region as primary rural transportation needs and concerns; and

WHEREAS, the Region 5-South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been developed to reflect the unmet transportation needs and strategies for the provision of coordinated transportation services in the South Central Alabama Region, as of August 2020; and

Whereas, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been duly reviewed, discussed adopted by the Board of Directors of the South Central Alabama Development Commission.

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been duly reviewed and discussed by the Steering Committee of the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this $28^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2020, that the Steering Committee of the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization does hereby adopt the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 as a guideline for rural public transportation and public transit in the South Central Alabama Region.


Attest:
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## Section 1 Executive Summary

The Executive Summary of the 2020 South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan (HSCTP) included on the following pages was emailed to transportation and transit stakeholders in an 11x17 PDF format to allow for ease in reading and understanding the material. The scale has been reduced and rotated to a horizontal position to enable the executive summary to be included in the full plan document.
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## 2020 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
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Sincere appreciation is directed to the many citizens and agencies in the South Central Alabama Region, that as transportation providers and transportation users, contributed to the process of making this plan complete. If not for their willingness to answer questions, shave information and ideas, and their operness to sharing and coordinating resources, it would have been impossible for this plan to be produced.

The 2015 South Central Alabama Regional Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan (HSCTP), was prepared in response to the federal requirements of the FAST Act. The plan was prepared by the South Central Alabama Development Commission (SCADC) which serves Region 5, encompassing Bullock County, Butler County, Crenshaw County, Lowndes County, Macon County and Pike County.

The purpose of the South Central Alabama Regional Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan is to identify transportation needs and coordination opportunities specifically for those agencies eligible to receive FAST Act funds. Additionally, the plan looks at opportunities for increased coordination with those transportation providers that receive other program funds or are privately operated. Beyond meeting federal funding guidelines, there are four primary objectives of this plan:

1. Present an overview of transportation programs in the South Central Alabama Region;
2. Analyze the existing distribution of public transportation services;
3. Compare the distribution of transportation needs and services to identify gaps in service;
4. Provide a list of prionitized strategies to establish or improve public transportation services.

Of the many barriers that exist in the provision of adequate transportation and transit services in the South Central Alabama Region, the resolution of some have a higher prionity than others. These prionity barriers are listed below:

- General education about existing transportation services and programs;
- Expansion and improvement of existing programs;
- Increasing local funding capacity to provide transportation services; and
- Making transportation services affordable to the region's low-income citizens.

Regional Analysis of Transportation Dependent Population
In accordance with FAST, demographic data was specifically collected for target population groups, including the elderly, disabled, economically-disadvantaged, and unemployed persons. These population groups have been identified as suffering the解 population density found throughout the region, households without a vehicle are also severely impacted by a lack of transportation services.

## Elderly Persons

Regionwide, there are 18,220 persons age 65 and older, or 17.0 percent of the total 6 -county region population. Butler County has the highest number and percentage of elderly persons, at 3,806 persons and 19.01 percent of the population. The census tract with the highest percentage of elderly persons is in Macon County Census, with Tract 2316.01 at 32.8 percent. The median age is highest in Lowndes County, at 41.6 , and Crenshaw County, at 41.5

## Persons with a Disability

Regionwide, there are 19,426 persons with a disability, or 18.1 percent of the total population. Butler County has the highest percentage of disabled persons, at 20.4 percent; and Pike County has the highest number of disabled persons, at 5,244 persons which is 15.9 percent of the Pike County population. The census tract with the highest percentage of disabled persons is in Macon County Census, with Tract 2314 at 30.1 percent.

## Persons Living in Poverty

There are 26,546 people in the South Central Alabama region, or 25.2 percent of the total population, living in poverty; 14.9 percent of elderly persons and 19.7 percent of all families are living in poverty. Bullock County has the highest percentage of persons in poverty, at 31.4 percent, and Pike County has the highest number of persons in poverty, at 8,835 people ( 26.6 percent). The census tract with the highest percentage of persons living in poverty is Census Tract 9521 in Bullock County.

## Unemployed Persons

According to the 2018 American Community Survey Estimates, 8.8 percent of the region's workforce is unemployed, which is 4,086 persons. The unemployment rate is highest in Macon County, at 12.1 percent, followed by Lowndes County, at 11.5 percent, and Bullock County, at 11.1 percent. Census Tract 7811, in Lowndes County, has the highest percentage of unemployed persons, at 23.5 percent, and, Census Tract 9525 , in Bullock County, has the highest number of unemployed persons, at 270 workers.

## ouseholds with No Vehicle

There are 3,725 households in the region with no vehicle, which is 9.6 percent of the region's total households. It is estimated that there are 9,804 persons in those households; or 9.2 percent of the population does not have access to a vehicle. Of the region's households with workers, 4.1 percent ( 959 households) do not have a vehicle. Lowndes County has the highest percentage of households without a vehicle, at 13.4 percent; and Macon County has the highest number of households without a vehicle, at 989 households. Census Tract 2320 in Macon County has the highest percentage at 28.4 percent and Tract 1890 in Pike County, has the highest number at 394 households.

| South Central AlabamaTransportation-Dependent Population Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Segment | Number | $\%$ of Total |  |  |
| Age 65 and Over | 18,220 | $17.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Persons with a Disability | 19,426 | $18.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 26,546 | $25.2 \%$ |  |  |
| Unemployed | 4,086 | $8.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 3,725 | $9.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Estimated Persons in HH with a Vehicle | 9,804 | $9.2 \%$ |  |  |
| SCADC Region Total Population | 106,935 |  |  |  |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | 78,082 |  |  |  |
| Percent Impacted |  |  |  | $73.0 \%$ |
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Existing Transportation Providers

1. Bullock County Commission
2. Butler County Emergency Medical Service
3. City of Georgiana
4. City of Greenville
5. GEMS Ambulance
6. Crenshaw County Commission
7. City of Brantley
8. Lowndes County Commission Senior Program
. CARE Ambulance-Hayneville
9. Town of Fort Deposit
10. Lowndes County Commission / West Alabama
11. Macon County Transportation
12. Haynes Ambulance - Macon County
13. City of Tuskegee - Senior Citizens Program
14. Town of Shorter - Aging Program
15. City of Brundidge
16. City of Troy
17. CARE Ambulance -Troy
18. Pike Area Transit System
19. East Central Alabama Mental Health
20. South Central Alabama Mental Health

## Major Transportation Destinations

Major transportation destinations in the South Central Alabama Region generally include major employers, schools, and healthcare facilities. The region's retail resources are limited with most residents traveling to major cities in nearby regions, such as Montgomery, Columbus, Georgia, or Dothan, for their larger retail purchases. Retail resources are adequate to meet daily needs for groceries, pharmaceuticals, and limited clothing and household resources are adequate to meet daily needs for groceries, pharmaceuticals, and limited clothing and household
goods. Most of the common destinations listed below, are on the major circulation routes in the region. If adequate grads. Most of the common destinations listed below, are on the major circulation routes in the region. If adequate planned. End-mile access to the population that needs to get to those destinations, however, remains problematic.

- Airtek Construction Inc.
- Anderson Peanuts Inc.
- Bonnie Plant Farm
- Bullock County Schools
- Bullock Co. Correctional Facility
- Bullock County Hospital
- Butler County Schools
- Central Alabama Veterans Health Care System
- CGI, Inc.
- Coastal Forest Products
- Connector Manufacturing
- Cox Container LLC
- Crenshaw Christian Academy
- Crenshaw Community Hospital
- Crenshaw County Schools
- Dongwon
- Autopart Technology Alabama
- East Central Alabama Mental Health Center
- Fort Dale Academy
- Hanon Systems of Alabama
- Hwashin America
- Kimber MFG, Inc.
- KW Plastics - Troy Facility
- Lockheed-Martin
- Lowndes County Schools
- Macon County Schools
- Pike Liberal Arts School
- Pike County Schools
- Piknik Products Co. Inc.
- Production Component Mftg
- Regional Medical Center
- Rex Lumber
- SABIC Innovative Plastics
- Sejong Alabama LLC
- Sikorsky Support Services

Sister Schuberts Homemade Rolls, Inc.

- Smart of Alabama, LLC
- Sourcecorp Inc.
- South Alabama Electric Coop
- South Central Alabama

Mental Health Center

- Southern Classic Food Group
- Southern Springs Nursing Home
- Superior Wood Components
- The City of Greenville
- Troy City Schools
- Troy Regional Medical Center
- Troy University
- Tuskegee University
- Wallace Community College Wal-Mart Distribution Center
- Wayne Farms
- WestPoint Home
- Wiley Sanders Truck Lines

HSCTP Unmet Needs - Issues and Barriers to Transportation Services

Within the South Central Alabama Region, there are considerably high concentrations of special needs populations, including elderly, disabled and economically disadvantaged persons. The rural nature of the South Central Alabama region, however, has not prompted the incorporation of public transportation services into the transportation infrastructure network for economic, congestion, or environmental reasons. Furthermore, local governments in the region generally have not had the revenue base to initiate public transit systems. As a result residents of the South Central Alabama Region have relied upon social and service agencies to provide transportation upon social and service agencies to provide
services to meet specific client needs, most of which are services to meet specific client needs, most of which are
nutritional needs of senior citizens or health-related needs. nutritional needs of senior citizens or health-related need The list to the right summarizes the primary issues and
barriers to the provision of transportation service and/or barriers to the provision of transportation service and or
transit services in the South Central Alabama Region.

- Removal of Employment Barriers
- Existing Transportation Infrastructure - Services to Special Need Populations - Expansion of Existing Transit Services
- Coordination of Existing and Future Transit Services
- Establishing New Transit Systems
- Public Education Regarding Public Transportation
- Funding


## 2020 Coordinated Transportation Strategies and Recommendations



## Section 2 Introduction and Background

On December 4, 2015, President Obama signed into law P. L. No. 114-94, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. The FAST Act replaces the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) of 2010 and the 2005 Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). The FAST Act continues to require a "locally developed, coordinated public transit - human services transportation plan" for all Federal Transit Administration (FTA) programs for underserved populations for elderly persons and persons with disabilities through the Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities program (Section 5310). The FAST Act also provides funding for (1) the Urbanized Area Formula Funding program (Section 5307) to make resources available to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating assistance and transportation planning in urbanized areas and (2) for the Rural Transit Assistance Program (Section 5311) to assist in the design and implementation of training and technical assistance projects and support services to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. Although the Section 5307 and Section 5311 programs do not specifically require a locallycoordinated plan, the plan is encouraged to also meet the needs of low-income persons whose needs are addressed in the Section 5307 and Section 5311 programs.

## Plan Purpose

The 2020 South Central Alabama Regional Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan (HSCTP), was prepared in response to the federal requirements of the FAST Act. The plan was prepared by the South Central Alabama Development Commission (SCADC) which serves Region 5, encompassing Bullock County, Butler County, Crenshaw County, Lowndes County, Macon County and Pike County and the 26 municipalities therein. The South Central Alabama Region HSCTP was developed with 2010 U.S. Bureau of Census data, 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate data, and through transportation discussions held during the administration of the South Central Alabama Region’s Rural Transportation Planning Process. The identification of rural transportation needs, including transit, in addition to the identification of road improvement needs and safety concerns, has been a continual part of the rural transportation planning process since its beginning. The 2020 South Central

Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan was reviewed and approved by the South Central Alabama Development Commission Board of Directors on August 26, 2020 and by the Steering Committee of South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization in a regular meeting on September 28, 2020.

## Communities in the South Central Alabama Region



The purpose of the South Central Alabama Regional Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan is to identify transportation needs and coordination opportunities specifically for those agencies eligible to receive FAST Act funds. Additionally, the plan looks at opportunities for increased coordination with those transportation providers that receive other program funds or are privately operated. Beyond meeting federal funding guidelines, there are four primary objectives of this plan:

1. Present an overview of the federally-funded public transportation programs in the South Central Alabama Region;
2. Analyze the existing distribution of public transportation services; and
3. Compare the distribution of public transportation needs to the distribution of services, and produce a clear picture of existing and future gaps in service; and
4. Provide a list of prioritized strategies to establish or improve public transportation services.

## Plan Organization

For clarity, the main body of the South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan is organized into four sections as described below. In addition, the Executive Summary provides an overview of the 2020 HSCTP at the regional level and the Plan Appendices provide background data.

Introduction and Background: This section provides an overview of the purpose of the plan, plan organization, a description of the federally funded transit programs under review, including eligibility requirements and eligible uses of funds. And last, this section also provides a summary of the issues identified in the coordinated transportation planning process.
Regional and County Assessments: A brief description of the region and each county is provided, along with demographics as they relate to the groups of people who use or need the transit services under study. These include elderly persons, persons with disabilities, persons in poverty, and persons who are unemployed. This section also includes the identification of issues and barriers to transportation services by county.
Transportation Services: A review of the existing transportation services available from the public, private, and non-profit sectors is provided by agency and area served. This section also includes a review of the existing distribution of transit services funded by each federally-funded program.
Strategies and Priorities: Using the information presented in the Regional and County Assessment section to compare the distribution of transit needs to existing transit services, an analysis of transportation and transit needs was developed and is presented in this section. This analysis highlights gaps in services and the population groups that are falling through those gaps presenting a clear picture of where there are existing populations of elderly persons, persons with disabilities or persons in poverty who are not served or who are underserved by the existing mobility services. The last section of the plan also includes a list of prioritized strategies that will help establish or improve transit and mobility services in the South Central Alabama Region.

## Funding Programs

Under the FAST Act, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) provides funding for transit services through three programs that address the needs of underserved populations, including (1) the Urbanized Are Formula Funding Program (Section 5307); (2) the Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities Program (Section 5310); and (3) Rural Transit Assistance Program (Section 5311). The former Section 5316: Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) program under SAFETEA-LU was merged into the Section 5307 and Section 5311 programs in Map-21. Likewise, the Section 5317: New Freedom program under SAFETEA-LU was merged into the MAP-21 Section 5310 program. The following is a brief description of each of the three funding programs covered under the FAST Act.

The Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Funding program provides federal funding to urbanized areas and to the Governor for transit capital and operating assistance in urbanized areas and for transportation related planning. An urbanized area is an incorporated area with a population of 50,000 or more that is designated as such by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Therefore, no municipalities within the South Central Alabama Region qualify for Section 5307 funds. Eligible recipients in urbanized areas include public bodies with the legal authority to receive and dispense federal funds. Eligible activities include planning, engineering design and evaluation of transit projects and other technical transportation-related studies; capital investments in bus and bus-related activities such as replacement of buses, overhaul of buses, rebuilding of buses, crime prevention and security equipment and construction of maintenance and passenger facilities; and capital investments in new and existing fixed guideway systems including rolling stock, overhaul and rebuilding of vehicles, track, signals, communications, and computer hardware and software. All preventive maintenance and some Americans with Disabilities Act complementary paratransit service costs are considered capital costs. Additionally, for urbanized areas with populations less than 200,000, operating assistance is an eligible expense. Funding is apportioned on the basis of legislative formulas. For areas of 50,000 to 199,999 in population, the formula is based on population and population density. For areas with populations of 200,000 and more, the formula is based on a combination of bus revenue vehicle miles, bus passenger miles, fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles, and fixed guideway route miles as well as population and population density. The federal share is not to exceed 80 percent of the net project cost. The federal share may be 90 percent for the cost of vehicle-related equipment attributable to compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Clean Air Act and for projects or portions of projects related to bicycles. The federal share may not exceed 50 percent of the net project cost of operating assistance. (Source: 49 U.S.C. 5307)

The Section 5310 Transportation for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities program funds provides formula funding to states for the purpose of assisting private nonprofit groups in meeting the transportation needs of the elderly and persons with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs. Funds are apportioned based on each state's share of population for these groups of people. Each state must submit a statewide grant application for funds. The state then ensures that local applicants and project activities are eligible and in compliance with federal requirements, that private not-for-profit transportation providers have an opportunity to participate as feasible, and that the program provides for coordination of federally assisted transportation services assisted by other federal sources. Once FTA approves the application, funds are available for state administration of its program and for allocation to individual
subrecipients within the state. Eligible subrecipients include private non-profit organizations, governmental authorities where no non-profit organizations are available to provide service and governmental authorities approved to coordinate services. Eligible activities include capital expenses that support transportation to meet the special needs of older adults and persons with disabilities. The federal share of eligible capital costs may not exceed 80 percent of the net cost of the activity. The 10 percent that is eligible to fund program administrative costs including administration, planning, and technical assistance may be funded at 100 percent federal share. The local share of eligible capital costs shall be no less than 20 percent of the net cost of the activity. Further, both the FAST Act requires a recipient of Section 5310 funds to certify that projects selected are derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transithuman services transportation plan. (Source: 49 U.S.C. 5310)

The Section 5311 Rural Transit Assistance Program is a rural program that is formula based and provides funding to states for the purpose of supporting public transportation in rural areas, with a population of less than 50,000 . The goal of the program is to provide the following services to communities with population less than 50,000:

- Enhance the access of people in nonurbanized areas to health care, shopping, education, employment, public services, and recreation.
- Assist in the maintenance, development, improvement, and use of public transportation systems in nonurbanized areas.
- Encourage and facilitate the most efficient use of all transportation funds used to provide passenger transportation in nonurbanized areas through the coordination of programs and services.
- Assist in the development and support of intercity bus transportation.
- Provide for the participation of private transportation providers in nonurbanized transportation.
Formula grants are made directly to states. Eligible subrecipients include a state or local governmental authority, a nonprofit organization, or an operator of public transportation or intercity bus service that receives federal transit program grant funds indirectly through a recipient. Eligible activities include capital, operating, and administrative expenses for public transportation projects that meet the needs of rural communities. Examples of eligible activities include: capital projects; operating costs of equipment and facilities for use in public transportation; and the acquisition of public transportation services, including service agreements with private providers of public transportation services. The state must use 15 percent of its annual apportionment to support intercity bus service, unless the Governor certifies, after consultation with affected intercity bus providers that the needs of the state are adequately met. The federal share of eligible capital and project administrative expenses may not exceed 80 percent of the net cost of the project. For operating, the federal share may not exceed 50 percent of the net operating cost of the project. For projects that meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Clean Air Act, or bicycle access projects, they may be funded at 90 percent Federal match. (Source: 49 U.SC. 5311)


## Summary Conclusions

The 2020 South Central Alabama Region Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan is a locally-developed plan and meets the statutory guidance for coordinated plans in the following ways:

- It provides an assessment of public, private and non-profit entities that currently provide transportation services to elderly individuals, people with disabilities, and low income populations and assesses needs and service gaps among members of those groups. It is based upon the experiences and perceptions of members of those groups as well as data assessed from the U.S. Census and from surveys of users of public transportation and stakeholders.
- It identifies needs and gaps in transportation services to these groups and proposes strategies and activities to address the identified gaps and achieve more effective service delivery.
- It prioritizes strategies based upon resources, time and feasibility for implementing specific activities identified.
- It includes stakeholder involvement from public, private, and non-profit transportation providers, human service providers, and the general public.
The data collected and the citizen comments led to the identification of the following eight transportation and transit issues:
- Services to Special Need Populations
- Removal of Employment Barriers
- Existing Transportation Infrastructure
- Expansion and Improvement of Existing Transit Services
- Establish New Transit Systems
- Coordination of Existing and Future Transit Services
- Public Education About Transit Services and Programs
- Funding

Of the many barriers that exist in the provision of adequate transportation and transit services in the South Central Alabama Region, the resolution of some have a higher priority than others. The planning process utilized in the development of the South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan indicates that service agencies and transportation providers are more than willing to share resources and coordinate services if barriers from funding program requirements can be overcome. Each of the aforementioned issues is discussed in Section 3: Coordinated Transportation Needs Assessment and recommendations are presented in Section 4: Strategies and Recommendations. The transportation needs of the six counties in the South Central Alabama Region are many and they are varied. The structure of the Transportation Strategies is such that the many local problems are categorized into broader issues to foster the sharing of ideas, experience and resources among local governments, agencies and organizations. The Transportation Strategies and Priorities Section includes a list of strategy recommendations to address each of the eight identified transportation barriers. There are priority strategies within each category that comprise a list 16 priority actions.

## Section 3 <br> Regional and County Needs Assessments

In accordance with FAST, demographic data was specifically collected for target population groups, including the elderly, disabled, economically-disadvantaged, and unemployed persons. These population groups have been identified as suffering the most impact from a lack of coordinated transportation and transit systems. Additionally, the SCADC collected data for households that do not have a vehicle available. It is felt that with the low population density found throughout the region, households without a vehicle are also severely impacted by a lack of transportation services. Data for the target population groups was collected at the census tract (CT) level from the 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates to determine where concentrations of the target population groups are located within each county of the South Central Alabama Region. In this chapter, general demographics and details of the target populations for each county are discussed. The 2018 American Community Survey is the primary source of data because it is the most recent detailed data available at the census tract level. In some instances, however, comparisons are made with other census estimates to provide the most current picture at the county and state levels. Maps are provided for each county demonstrating population density and concentrations of population that are elderly, disabled, living in poverty, unemployed and have no vehicle available. The results of this data collection and analysis were then used to update the transportation needs assessment for each county and for the South Central Alabama Region. Regional and county population assessments are provided in this chapter and detailed data tables are provided in Appendix A.

According to the 2010 U.S. Census, the South Central Alabama Region had a total population of 111,417 persons within its 4,025 square mile boundary. By 2014, however, the population of the region had decreased to 108,431 persons resulting in a regionwide population density of 26.9 persons per square mile. By 2016, the region's population decreased to 106,880 persons, with a population density of 26.6 persons per square mile. The region's population remained stable into 2018, when the region's population was estimated at 106,935 persons, with a population density of 26.6 persons per square mile. Comparatively, population density for the State of Alabama increased from 91.2 persons per square mile in 2010 to 92.8 persons per square mile in 2018. The smallest counties in the region are Crenshaw and Macon Counties, at 610.92 square miles and 613.21 square miles, respectively. Population density in the region, as of 2018, ranges from 14.1 persons per square mile in Lowndes County and 16.6 persons per
square mile in Bullock County to 49.6 persons per square mile in Pike County. The great majority of the region has a population density of less than 15 persons per square mile and much of the region has a population density of less than 10 persons per square mile. In contrast, there are a handful of communities that have a population density of greater than 100 persons per square mile. Population density for census tracts and municipalities, based on 2018 ACS survey data, is provided in the individual county demographic assessments and in Table 5 of Appendix A.

South Central Alabama 2010 to 2018 Population and Population Density

| Area | Total Area square miles | 2010 |  | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Population | Density persons per sq. mile | Population | Density persons per sq. mile |
| Alabama | 52,420.00 | 4,779,736 | 91.18 | 4,864,680 | 92.80 |
| Bullock County | 625.14 | 10,914 | 17.46 | 10,352 | 16.56 |
| Butler County | 777.88 | 20,947 | 26.93 | 20,025 | 25.74 |
| Crenshaw County | 610.92 | 13,906 | 22.76 | 13,865 | 22.70 |
| Lowndes County | 725.07 | 11,299 | 15.58 | 10,236 | 14.12 |
| Macon County | 613.21 | 21,452 | 34.98 | 19,054 | 31.07 |
| Pike County | 673.01 | 32,899 | 48.88 | 33,403 | 49.63 |
| SCADC Region | 4,025.23 | 111,417 | 27.68 | 106,935 | 26.57 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

South Central Alabama Population Density Comparison, 2010 and 2018


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and 2018 ACS 5-Year Estimates

The low population density of the majority of South Central Alabama region makes the delivery of transportation services problematic due to cost to deliver services to so few people. The economies of scale make the delivery cost unfeasible. Two of the high density population centers, Troy and Tuskegee, do have a public transportation service in operation. The fact remains, however, that many of the persons in the outlying area are desperately in need of transportation services and the cost to provide them with those services is just not economical.

## Regional Accessibility

The South Central Alabama Region is served by Interstate 65 and Interstate 85; four federal highways, US Highway 80, US Highway 82, US Highway 231, and US Highway 331; and a network of 20 state highways. The regional accessibility map outlines the major transportation routes within the South Central Alabama Region. There is an adequate system of federal and state roads linking the larger population centers in the region, however, many of the smaller municipalities are not included on this regional system. Even though it is not a part of the South Central Alabama Region, the City of Montgomery is the metropolitan hub for all six counties in the region. With its health, employment and shopping resources, Montgomery is probably the most significant destination location for the region's population, and even more so for those persons who need specialized services.


## Regional and County Demographic Assessments

Of the total population of the South Central Alabama Region, 17.0 percent is age 65 or older and 18.1 percent of the total population is disabled. Additionally, a large portion of the population is economically-disadvantaged as measured by percentage of persons living at or below poverty level and the percentage of unemployed persons. In the South Central Alabama Region, 25.2 percent of the total population is living below poverty level, and according to the American Community Survey data, regional unemployment is 8.8 percent. Further, it is estimated that 9.3 percent of the occupied households in the region have no vehicle available. With an average household size ranging from 2.45 to 2.99 persons per household, it is estimated that 9,804 persons do not have access to a vehicle for transportation purposes. It is highly likely that there is a significant degree of overlap among the target group populations. For instance, 42.9 percent of the population age 65 and older also has a disability. Still, there exist the potential for 73.0 percent of the region's population to be affected by at least one unmet transportation need, or a possible 78,082 people needing transportation service. Highlights of the demographics of the target population groups are provided below and regional maps of the target population demographics are provided on the following pages.

Elderly Persons. Regionwide, there are 18,220 persons age 65 and older, or 17.0 percent of the total region population. Butler County has the highest number and percentage of elderly persons, at 3,806 persons and 19.01 percent of the population. The census tract with the highest percentage of elderly persons is in Macon County Census, with Tract 2316.01 at 32.8 percent. The median age is highest in Lowndes County, at 41.6, and Crenshaw County, at 41.5.

Persons with a Disability. Regionwide, there are 19,426 persons with a disability, or 18.1 percent of the total population. Butler County has the highest percentage of disabled persons, at 20.4 percent; and Pike County has the highest number of disabled persons, at 5,244 persons which is 15.9 percent of the Pike County population. The census tract with the highest percentage of disabled persons is in Macon County Census, with Tract 2314 at 30.1 percent.

Persons Living in Poverty. There are 26,546 people in the South Central Alabama region, or 25.2 percent of the total population, living in poverty; 14.9 percent of elderly persons and 19.7 percent of all families are living in poverty. Bullock County has the highest percentage of persons in poverty, at 31.4 percent, and Pike County has the highest number of persons in poverty, at 8,835 people ( 26.6 percent). The census tract with the highest percentage of persons living in poverty is Census Tract 9521 in Bullock County.

Unemployed Persons. According to the 2018 American Community Survey Estimates, 8.8 percent of the region's workforce is unemployed, which is 4,086 persons. The unemployment rate is highest in Macon County, at 12.1 percent, followed by Lowndes County, at 11.5 percent, and Bullock County, at 11.1 percent. Census Tract 7811, in Lowndes County, has the highest percentage of unemployed persons, at 23.5 percent; and, Census Tract 9525, in Bullock County, has the highest number of unemployed persons, at 270 workers.

Households with No Vehicle. There are 3,725 households in the region with no vehicle available, which is 9.6 percent of the region's total households. It is estimated that there are 9,804 persons in those households; or 9.2 percent of the population does not have access to a vehicle. Of the region's households with workers, 4.1 percent ( 959 households) do not have a vehicle. Lowndes County has the highest percentage of households without a vehicle, at 13.4 percent; and Macon County has the highest number of households without a vehicle, at 989 households. Census Tract 2320 in Macon County has the highest percentage of households
with no vehicle, at 28.4 percent; and Census Tract 1890 in Pike County, has the highest number of households with no vehicle, at 394 households.

The demographic statistics for the FAST Act target populations in the South Central Alabama Region are enough to demonstrate the eligibility and need for transportation assistance to overcome economic and quality of life issues. The demographic conditions, however, are further impaired by the rural character of the region. Many concentrations of the target populations are isolated from community services and other assistance. Furthermore, because of the area's rural character, there has not been a demand for public transit for economic, congestion or environmental reasons. As a result, public transit has not been integrated into much of the transportation infrastructure network of the region.

Included in this section is an assessment of the target population groups for each of the six counties in the South Central Alabama Region. Due to the nature of Census data, some data at the Census Tract (CT) level does not add up to the total county population. In these instances, the percentages were used and the total number of persons was extrapolated by multiplying the percentage of the population in the target group by the total county population. Data for the assessments was collected from the U.S. Census Bureau using tables from the 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

South Central Alabama Region Target Population Summary

| Population Segment | Number | Percent of Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 65 and over | 18,220 | $17.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Persons with a Disability | 19,426 | $18.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 26,546 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2 \%}$ |  |  |
| Unemployed | 4,086 | $8.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 3,725 | $9.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Estimated Persons in Households without a Vehicle | 9,804 | $9.2 \%$ |  |  |
| SCADC Region Total Population |  |  |  |  |
| Total Persons Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 9 3 5}$ |  |  |  |
| Percent Potentially Impacted |  |  |  | $\mathbf{7 8 , 0 8 2}$ |

Source: U.S. Census, American Community Survey 2011-2015
*Number of persons in households with no vehicle available was derived by multiplying the number of households with no vehicle available by the average household size of each county.

A review of the census data and the HSCTP maps showing that data by census tract provides a clear picture of where concentrations of transportation dependent population groups are in Bullock County. To quantify vulnerable population segments, a census tract was assigned a value if it had the following:

| Elderly Population is 20 Percent or More | $=$ | 1 point |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Persons with a Disability is 20 percent or More | $=$ | 1 point |
| Persons in Poverty is 30 Percent or Higher | $=$ | 1 point |
| Unemployed Persons is 10 Percent or Higher | $=$ | 1 point |
| Households with No Vehicle is 10 Percent or More | $=1$ point |  |

Those census tracts that had only one or two points were determined to not be highly dependent on transportation services, although it is clear that a degree of need may still exist. Census tracts with three points were determined to be slightly vulnerable and highlighted in yellow on the Vulnerability Map. Census tracts with four points were determined to be moderately vulnerable and highlighted in orange on the Vulnerability Map. And those census tracts with five points were determined to be highly vulnerable and very dependent on transportation services. The highly vulnerable census tracts are highlighted in red on the Vulnerability Map. The county vulnerability maps can help prioritize transportation service decisions by defining where the highest concentrations of populations that need transportation services are located.



## 2020 Human Services <br> Coordinated Transportation Plan <br> SOUTH CENTRAL ALABAMA REGION <br> PERSONS WITH A DISABILITY

| 0 to 4.9 Percent | 15.0 to 19.9 Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5.0 to 9.9 Percent | 20.0 to 24.9 Percent |
| 10.0 to 14.9 Percent | 25 Percent or More |


| Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% With } \\ & \text { Disability } \end{aligned}$ | Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% With } \\ & \text { Disability } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 12.59\% | LOWNDES COUNTY | 20.36\% |
| Alabama | 16.25\% | Census Tract 7808 | \% |
| SCADC Region | 18.1\% | Census Tract 7810 | 21.11\% |
|  |  | Census Tract 7811 | 23.84\% |
| BULLOCK COUNTY | 18.65\% | Census Tract 7812 | 19.27\% |
| Census Tract 9521 | 21.57\% | MACON COUNTY | 17.81\% |
| Census Tract 9522 | 16.68\% | Census Tract 2314 | 30.08\% |
| Census Tract 9525 | 20.11\% | Census Tract 2315 | 20.73\% |
| BUTLER COUNTY | 20.42\% | Census Tract 2316.01 | 27.25\% |
| Census Tract 9527 | 22.07\% | Census Tract 2316.02 | 16.30\% |
| Census Tract 9528 | 12.02\% | Census Tract 2316.03 | 17.24\% |
| Census Tract 9529 | 19.63\% | Census Tract 2317 | 1.98\% |
| Census Tract 9530 | 15.90\% | Census Tract 2318 | 17.57\% |
| Census Tract 9531 | 21.61\% | Census Tract 2319 | 22.65\% |
| Census Tract 9532 | 20.20\% | Census Tract 2320 | 14.37\% |
| Census Tract 9533 | 21.10\% | Census Tract 232 | 18.75\% |
| Census Tract 9534 | 21.59\% | Census Tract 2322 | 18.80\% |
| Census Tract 9535 | 28.32\% | Census Tract 2323 | 18.90\% |
| CRENSHAW COUNTY | 18.24\% | PIKE COUNTY | 15.92\% |
| Census Tract 9634 | 23.69\% | Census Tract 1886 | 18.51\% |
| Census Tract 9635 | 12.90\% | Census Tract 1887 | 18.39\% |
| Census Tract 9636 | 19.72\% | Census Tract 1888 | 18.76\% |
| Census Tract 9637 | 17.24\% | Census Tract 1889 | 19.51\% |
| Census Tract 9638 | 17.09\% | Census Tract 1890 | 11.44\% |
| Census Tract 9639 | 18.48\% | Census Tract 1891 | 3\% |
|  |  | Census Tract 1892 | 18.55\% |
|  |  | Census Tract 1893 | 23.42\% |





## Bullock County

Located in the eastern part of the South Central Alabama Region, Bullock County is bordered by Macon County to the north, Russell County to the northeast, Barbour County to the southeast, Pike County to the southwest, and Montgomery County to the west. Bullock County encompasses 625.14 square miles and is home to two municipalities: Union Springs and Midway. Union Springs, the county seat, is located in the north central part of the county while Midway is located in the southeast part of the county. Bullock County is bisected by U.S. Highways 29 and 82. U.S. Highway 82 crosses the
 central part of the county from east to west and U.S. Highway 29 runs north to south, also in the central part of the county. Bullock County is also accessed by four state highways. Alabama Highway 51 runs south from U.S. Highway 82 in Midway to Barbour County. Alabama Highway 110 runs southeast from Montgomery County to intersect with U.S. Highway 82 just west of Union Springs. Alabama Highway 223 runs southwest from U.S. Highway 82 in Union Springs to Pike County. And, Alabama Highway 239 runs southwest from U.S. Highway 82 near the Aberfoil community to Barbour County.

Bullock County is the third smallest county by area and the second smallest county by population size in the South Central Alabama region. According to 2018 American Community Survey estimates, Bullock County has a total population of 10,352 persons which is a 5.1 percent decrease from the 2010 population of 10,914 persons. Of the total population, 58.5 percent is located in the unincorporated part of the county. Of the total county population, 34.7 percent lives in Union Springs and 6.8 percent lives in Midway. Countywide, population density is 16.56 persons per square mile, which is the second lowest population density in the region. Population density in the majority of the county ranges from 9.64 to 10.02 persons per square mile; however, in CT 9522, which includes Union Springs, population density is significantly higher at 37.2 persons per square mile. The majority of the population is male, at 54.7percent. The racial composition of Bullock County is primarily African American, with 22.0 percent white, 76.2 percent African American, and 1.7 percent another race; 0.5 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino. Of the county population that is age 25 and older, 75.2 percent have earned a high school diploma or higher, and 13.3 percent have earned a bachelor's degree or higher.

It is estimated that there are 4,526 housing units in the county, with a home ownership rate of 75.5 percent. Of the total housing units, 56.1 percent are single units, 10.5 percent are multiunit structures, and 33.4 percent are mobile homes. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is $\$ 77,500$. The average household size is 2.75 persons. The median household income in Bullock County in 2018 is $\$ 35,152$ and the median family income is $\$ 42,550$. The 2018 per capita income is $\$ 20,346$, which is considerably less than that of the State of Alabama, at $\$ 26,846$. Based on the target population data, it is estimated that there are 8,939 individual incidences of transportation barriers in Bullock County. A large portion of the target population groups, however, experiences multiple transportation barriers, such as being disabled, unemployed and living below the poverty level. The data and maps on the following pages provide detailed information about the target population groups in Bullock County.

Bullock County Target Population Summary

| Population Segment | Number | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Age 65 and over | 1,616 | $15.6 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 1,885 | $18.7 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 3,133 | $31.4 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 490 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 423 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in Household Without a Vehicle <br> (avg HH Size = 2.62 Persons) | 1,106 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| Bullock Total Population | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 5 2}$ |  |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{8 , 2 3 0}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 \%}$ |  |

Source: U.S. Census, 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
*Number of persons in households with no vehicle available was derived by multiplying the number of households with no vehicle available by the average household size of 2.62 persons per household.

Countywide, the median age in Bullock County is 39.6, ranging from 34.7 in CT9521 to 46.9 in CT9525. Of the total population, 20.8 percent is under 18 years old and 15.6 percent is age 65 or older. The highest concentrations of elderly population are found in the western part of the county in CT9525, where 19.7 percent of the population is 65 or older. In CT9521 and CT9522, the percentage of elderly population is 13.9 percent and 14.0 percent, respectively. There are 1,441 persons, or 14.4 percent of the total population, with disabilities in the county. Of these, 5.8 percent of the population under the age of 18 have a disability, 12.7 percent of the population between 18 and 64 have a disability, and 34.2 percent of persons age 65 and over have a disability. The highest concentrations of disabled persons are located in CT9521, at 22.2 percent, and CT9525, at 20.3 percent. The percentage of individuals living below poverty level in Bullock County, at 31.4 percent of the total population, is higher than that of the State of Alabama, at 17.5 percent. Concentrations of economically-disadvantaged population are found throughout the county but are most prevalent in the central and eastern parts of the county in CT9521, at 43.0 percent, and in CT9522, at 40.4 percent.

The industry with the largest percentage of employees in Bullock County is manufacturing, with 22.4 percent, and the largest percentage of workers are employed in production, transportation and material moving occupations, at 33.9 percent. The average travel time to work in Bullock County is 28.6 minutes. Bullock County has a labor force of 4,430 persons, which is 52.7 percent of the working age population age 16 and older. The 2018 American Community Survey reports that there are 490 unemployed persons, which is 11.1 percent of the total labor force. Unemployment is highest in the eastern and western parts of the county in CT9525, at 16.5 percent, and in CT 9521, at 216.2 percent. Unemployment in the central part of the county, in CT9522, is much lower at 5.8 percent. The central part of the county has the highest percentage of households without a vehicle, at 16.3 percent in CT9522. Countywide, 11.7 percent of the total households do not have a vehicle available. With an average household size of 2.62 persons, it is estimated that 1,106 persons are impacted by lack of a vehicle. It is further estimated that 3.7 percent of households with workers, or 74 households with a worker, do not have access to a vehicle.

The portion of Bullock County that is likely to have the highest percentage of transportation dependent persons is in Census Tract 9521 in the eastern part of the county. Of the 1,033 persons living in this area 26.6 percent are persons with a disability, 43.0 percent are persons living in poverty, 16.2 percent are unemployed, and 10.2 percent of the households do not have a vehicle available for transportation purposes. A more detailed map of each target population group is provided on the following pages.

Bullock County Comparison of Population Percentages by Target Population Group

|  | Persons <br> Age 65+ | Persons <br> with a <br> Disability | Persons <br> In <br> Poverty | Unemployed | Households <br> Without a <br> Vehicle |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BULLOCK COUNTY | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7 2 \%}$ |
| Census Tract 9521 | $13.86 \%$ | $21.57 \%$ | $43.00 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $10.22 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9522 | $14.01 \%$ | $16.68 \%$ | $40.40 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $16.27 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9525 | $19.73 \%$ | $20.11 \%$ | $8.60 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $4.16 \%$ |









## Butler County

Located in the southwestern part of the South Central Alabama Region, Butler County is bordered by Lowndes County to the north, Crenshaw County to the east, Covington County to the southeast, Conecuh County to the southwest, Monroe County to the west and Wilcox County to the northwest. Butler County encompasses 778.9 square miles and three municipalities: Georgiana, Greenville and McKenzie. The county seat is Greenville, which is located in the north central part of the county. Interstate 65 crosses Butler County, running northeast to southwest. Interstate 65 is closely paralleled
 by U.S. Highway 31, which runs south through McKenzie. Butler County is also traversed by four state highways. Alabama Highway 10 provides east-west access in the north-central part of the county through Greenville, while Alabama Highway 106 provides east-west access in the south-central part of the county, through Georgiana. Alabama Highway 185 enters Butler County from Lowndes County to the north and intersects U.S. Highway 31 south of Greenville. From its intersection with Alabama Highway 185 in the northeast part of Greenville, Alabama Highway 263 runs in a northwest direction to Lowndes County.

Butler County is the largest county in the region by area and the second largest by population. According to 2018 American Community Survey estimates, Butler County has a total population of 20,025 persons which is a 4.4 percent decrease from the 2010 population of 20,947 persons. Of the total population, 48.9 percent lives in the unincorporated part of the county. Of the total population, 38.5 percent lives in Greenville, 9.6 percent lives in Georgiana, and 3.0 percent lives in McKenzie. Population density is 25.74 persons per square mile, which is the third highest population density in the region. Population density in the county ranges from 9.62 persons per square mile in CT9530 in the northwest corner of the county to 933.72 persons per square mile in CT9528 which includes a large part of Greenville. The majority of the population is female, at 53.2 percent. The racial composition of Butler County is 52.0 percent white, 45.2 percent African American, 1.2 percent other races, and 1.6 percent two or more races; 0.3 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino. Of the county population that is age 25 and older, 84.6 percent have earned a high school diploma or higher and 16.1 percent have a bachelor's degree or higher.

It is estimated that there are 10,026 housing units in the county, of which 66.9 percent are occupied. Of the occupied housing units, 69.9 percent are owner-occupied. Of the total housing units, 61.5 percent are single units, 12.3 percent are multi-unit structures, and 26.2 percent are mobile homes. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is $\$ 88,300$. The average household size is 2.94 persons. The median household income in Butler County in 2018 is $\$ 39,109$ and the median family income is $\$ 46,312$. The 2018 per capita income is $\$ 20,430$, which is 76.1 percent of the state's. Based on the target population data, it is estimated that there are 14,601 individual incidences of transportation barriers in Butler County, affecting 72.9 percent of the county's population. A large portion of the target population groups, however, experiences multiple transportation barriers, such as being disabled, unemployed and living below the poverty level. For example, 40.4 percent of the Butler County population that 65 and older also has a disability.

Butler County Target Population Summary

| Population Segment | Number | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Age 65 and over | 3,806 | $19.0 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 4,099 | $20.4 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 4,637 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 \%}$ |
| Unemployed | 567 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 520 | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle <br> at 2.87 persons per household | 1,492 | $\mathbf{7 . 4 \%}$ |
| Butler County Total Population | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 2 5}$ |  |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 0 1}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{7 2 . 9 \%}$ |  |

Source: U.S. Census, 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
*Number of persons in households with no vehicle available was derived by multiplying the number of households with no vehicle available by the average household size of 2.87 persons per household.

The median age in Butler County is 40.7 , with 22.8 percent of the population being under 18 years of age and 19.0 percent of the population being age 65 and older. Although the percentage of elderly persons is high, ranging from 13.5 percent to 23.0 percent, in most of Butler County, the highest concentration of elderly population is found in CT9527 northeast of Greenville, where 23.0 percent of the population is 65 or older and in the southwest part of the county in CT9534 where 21.5 percent of the population is 65 or older. Only in CT9529 is the elderly population less than 15 percent of the total census tract population. The 2018 ACS reports that there are 4,099 persons with disabilities in the county, which is 20.4 percent of the total population. Of the total population,3.9 percent under the age of 18 have a disability, 15.9 percent of the population between the ages of 18 and 64 have a disability, and 40.4 percent of the population age 65 and over have a disability. The highest concentration of population with disabilities is located in the southeast corner of the county in CT9535, with 28.3 percent, followed by CT9527, at 22.1 percent in the north central part of the county. The percentage of individuals living below poverty level in Butler County, at 23.5 percent of the total population, is much higher than that of the State of Alabama, at 17.5 percent. The highest concentrations of economically-disadvantaged population are found in the area surrounding Greenville. In CT9531, south of Greenville, where 37.3 percent of the population lives in poverty, and in CT9529, the percentage in poverty is 28.2 percent.

Primary occupations of the labor force in the county are management, business science, and arts occupations, at 27.5 percent. The industries with the largest percentage of employees are manufacturing, at 24.0 percent, and educational services, health care and social assistance, at 20.4 percent. The average work commute in Butler County is 24.0 minutes. Butler County has a labor force of 8,464 persons, which is 53.0 percent of the working age population at 16 and older. The 2018 ACS reports that there are 567 unemployed persons, which is 6.7 percent of the total labor force. Unemployment is highest in in CT9531, southwest of Greenville, at 13.1 percent, in CT 9529 northwest of Greenville, at 10.7 percent, and in CT9535 in the southeast part of the county, at 10.0 percent. Countywide, 7.7 percent of the total households do not have a vehicle available. With an average household size of 2.87 persons, 1,492 persons are impacted by lack of a vehicle.

The portion of Butler County that is likely to have the highest percentage of transportation dependent persons is in Census Tract 9531, southwest of Greenville. Of the 3,155 persons living in this area, 20.1 percent are age 65 or older, 21.6 percent are persons with a disability, 37.3 percent are persons living in poverty, 13.1 percent are unemployed, and 12.1 percent of the households do not have a vehicle available for transportation purposes. Census Tract 9534 is considered to be slightly vulnerable, with a population of 2,824 persons, of which 21.5 percent are age 65 or older, 21.6 percent are persons with a disability, and 10.2 percent of the households do not have a vehicle. A more detailed map of each target population group is provided on the following pages.

Butler County Comparison of Population Percentages by Target Population Group

|  | Persons <br> Age 65+ | Persons <br> with a <br> Disability | Persons In <br> Poverty | Unemployed | Households <br> Without a <br> Vehicle |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BUTLER COUNTY | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 1 \%}$ | $20.42 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 5 \%}$ |
| Census Tract 9527 | $23.02 \%$ | $22.07 \%$ | $21.90 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $9.65 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9528 | $19.76 \%$ | $12.02 \%$ | $18.00 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $0.79 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9529 | $13.46 \%$ | $19.63 \%$ | $28.20 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $13.08 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9530 | $18.17 \%$ | $15.90 \%$ | $23.40 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9531 | $20.06 \%$ | $21.61 \%$ | $37.30 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $12.25 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9532 | $18.32 \%$ | $20.20 \%$ | $17.20 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $5.99 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9533 | $19.20 \%$ | $21.10 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $5.73 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9534 | $21.51 \%$ | $21.59 \%$ | $22.10 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $10.24 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9535 | $16.16 \%$ | $28.32 \%$ | $24.80 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $4.71 \%$ |









## Crenshaw County

Located in the southern part of the South Central Alabama Region, Crenshaw County is bordered by six counties, clockwise from the north: Montgomery, Pike, Coffee, Covington, Butler and Lowndes. Crenshaw County encompasses 610.92 square miles and has six municipalities: Brantley, Dozier, Glenwood, Luverne, Petrey, and Rutledge. Luverne, the county seat is located in the central part of the county. Crenshaw County is crossed by two U.S. Highways and five state highways. U.S. Highway 331 runs north-south from Montgomery County to Covington County. U.S. Highway 29 enters
 from Pike County to the east, merges with U.S. Highway 331 through the central part of the county and then from Brantley, runs southwest through Dozier and into Covington County. The five state highways provide the county's primary east-west access and each of them intersect with U.S. Highway 331. Highway 10 runs west to Butler County; Highway 97 runs northwest to Lowndes County; Highway 106 runs west into Butler County; and, Highways 141 and 189 both run southeast to Coffee County.

Crenshaw County is the smallest county by area and the fourth smallest county by population size in the South Central Alabama region. According to 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Crenshaw County has a total population of 13,865 persons which is a 0.3 percent decrease from the 2010 population of 13,906 persons. Of the total population, 66.8 percent is located in the unincorporated part of the county. Of the total population, 20.0 percent lives in Luverne, 6.0 percent lives in Brantley, 2.6 percent lives in Dozier, 2.6 percent lives in Rutledge, 1.6 percent lives in Glenwood and 0.3 percent lives in Petrey. The 2018 population density of the county is 22.7 persons per square mile, which is the third smallest population density in the region. Population density in the county ranges from 14.97 persons per square mile in CT9638 located in the southwest part of the county to 39.06 persons per square mile in CT9637 which includes the east part of the City of Luverne and Glenwood. The majority of the population is female, at 50.9 percent. The racial composition of Crenshaw County is 71.7 percent white, 23.1 percent African American, 3.2 percent another race, and 2.1 percent of two or more races; 2.0 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino. Of the county population that is age 25 and older, 78.4 percent have earned a high school diploma or higher, and 15.6 percent have a bachelor's degree or higher.

It is estimated that there are 6,790 housing units in the county, of which 74.0 percent are occupied. Of the occupied housing units, 73.8 percent are owner-occupied. Of the total housing units, 7.0 percent are multi-unit structures. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is $\$ 79,500$. The average household size is 2.73 persons. The median household income in Crenshaw County in 2018 is $\$ 39,812$, which is 82.1 percent of the state's, and the median family income is $\$ 55,687$. The 2018 per capita income is $\$ 23,353$, which is 87.0 percent of that of the State of Alabama, at $\$ 26,846$. Based on the target population data, it is estimated that there are 8,535 individual incidences of transportation barriers in the county. A large portion of the target population groups, however, experiences multiple transportation barriers, such as being disabled, unemployed and living below the poverty level. The data and maps on the following pages provide detailed information about the target population groups in Crenshaw County.

Crenshaw County Target Population Summary

| Population Segment | Number | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Age 65 and over | 2,542 | $18.3 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 2,511 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 2,144 | $15.7 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 347 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 332 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle <br> at 2.99 persons per household | 991 | $7.1 \%$ |
| Crenshaw County Total Population | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 6 5}$ |  |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{8 , 5 3 5}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{6 1 . 6 \%}$ |  |

Source: U.S. Census, 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
*Number of persons in households with no vehicle available was derived by multiplying the number of households with no vehicle available by the average household size of 2.99 persons per household.

Countywide, the median age in Crenshaw County is 41.5 , ranging from 35.8 in CT9635 to 44.6 in CT9636. Of the total population, 22.5 percent is under 18 years old and 18.3 percent is age 65 or older. The highest concentrations of elderly population are found in the southern part of the county CT 9638, at 21.1 percent, and CT9639, at 20.6 percent. In CT9635, CT9636 and CT9637, the percentage of elderly population ranges from 15.4 percent to 19.5 percent. In CT9634, located at the northeeat part of the county, the percentage of elderly population is 14.7 percent. There are 2,888 persons, or 21.6 percent of the total population, with disabilities in the county. Of the total population, 4.3 percent of the population between under the age of 18 have a disability, 21.0 percent of the population between 18 and 64 have a disability, and 43.7 percent of persons age 65 and older have a disability. The highest concentration of disabled persons are located in the northeastern part of the county in CT9634, at 23.7 percent. The percentage of individuals living below poverty level in Crenshaw County, at 15.7 percent of the total population, is less than that of the State of Alabama, at 17.5 percent. Concentrations of economically-disadvantaged population are most prevalent in the southwest part of the county in CT9638, at 22.7 percent, and in the northwest part of the county in CT9635, at 20.7 percent.

Primary occupations of the labor force in the county are management, business, science and arts, at 27.6 percent of all occupations. The industries with the largest percentage of employees in Crenshaw County are educational services, health care and social assistance, with 20.2 percent, and manufacturing, with 17.6 percent. The average travel time to work in Crenshaw County is 27.9 minutes. Crenshaw County has a labor force of 6,006 persons, which is 54.1 percent of the working age population age 16 and older. The 2018 ACS reports that there are 347 unemployed persons, which is5.8 percent of the total labor force. Unemployment is highest in the northwestern part of the county in CT9635, at 14.8 percent. Unemployment in the remainder of the county is much lower, ranging from 3.3 percent to 8.7 percent. In the southwestern part of the county, CT9638 has the highest percentage of households with no vehicle available, at 13.3 percent. Countywide, 6.6 percent of all households do not have a vehicle available. With an average household size of 2.9 persons, 991 persons are impacted by lack of a vehicle.

There are no concentrations in any census tract in Crenshaw County that were high enough to be rated as vulnerable, therefore a Vulnerability Map for Crenshaw County was not created. There are portions of Crenshaw County, however, did rank as vulnerable in one or two categories, as highlighted in blue in the chart below. Census Tract 9638 has more than 20 percent elderly population and more than 10 percent of the households do not have a vehicle. Census Tract 9639 also has more than 20 percent elderly population. In CT9634, more than 20 percent of the population has a disability, and in CT9635 more than 10 percent of the labor force is unemployed. A more detailed map of each target population group is provided on the following pages.
Crenshaw County Comparison of Population Percentages by Target Population Group

|  | Persons <br> Age 65+ | Persons <br> with a <br> Disability | Persons <br> In Poverty | Unemployed | Households <br> Without a <br> Vehicle |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRENSHAW COUNTY | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3 3 \%}$ | $18.24 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6 1 \%}$ |
| Census Tract 9634 | $14.65 \%$ | $23.69 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $6.72 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9635 | $15.43 \%$ | $12.90 \%$ | $20.70 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $3.08 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9636 | $18.70 \%$ | $19.72 \%$ | $15.10 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $6.22 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9637 | $19.46 \%$ | $17.24 \%$ | $15.40 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $5.70 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9638 | $21.10 \%$ | $17.09 \%$ | $22.70 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $13.31 \%$ |
| Census Tract 9639 | $20.59 \%$ | $18.48 \%$ | $18.70 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $5.61 \%$ |








## Lowndes County

Located in the northwestern part of the South Central Alabama Region, Lowndes County is bordered by Autauga County to the north, Montgomery County to the east, Crenshaw County to the southeast, Butler County to the south, Wilcox County to the southwest and Dallas County to the west. Lowndes County encompasses 725.07 square miles and has seven municipalities: Benton, Fort Deposit, Gordonville, Hayneville, Lowndesboro, Mosses and White Hall. The county seat is Hayneville, which is located in the east central part of the county. Interstate 65 crosses the eastern part of Lowndes County
 in a northeast to southwest direction. U.S. Highways 31 and 80 also run through the county, along with four state highways. U.S. Highway 80 runs east-west through the northern part of the county and U.S. Highway 31 parallels Interstate 65 in the southeast corner. Alabama Highway 21 crosses the central part of the county from U.S. Highway 80 in the northeast corner to enter Wilcox County to the southwest. Alabama Highway 97 connects U.S. Highways 80 and 31 in the east central part of the county. Alabama Highways 185 and 263 are found in the southern part of the county.

Lowndes County is the second largest county by area and the smallest county by population in the South Central Alabama region. According to 2018 U.S. Census estimates, Lowndes County has a total population of 10,236 persons which is an 9.4 percent decrease from the 2010 population of 11,299 persons. Of the total population, 52.6 percent is located in the unincorporated part of the county. Fort Deposit has the highest percentage of municipal population, at 15.9 percent of the total county population, followed by Mosses, at 10.5 percent, Hayneville, at 10.0 percent, and White Hall, at 7.7 percent. The 2018 population density of the county is 14.12 persons per square mile, which is the lowest population density in the region. Population density in the county ranges from 10.17 persons per square mile in CT7811 to 22.29 persons per square mile in CT7808. The majority of the population is female, at 52.8 percent. The racial composition of Lowndes County is 24.9 percent white, 74.3 percent African American, 0.1 percent another race, and 0.7 percent of two or more races; 0.7 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino. Of the county population that is age 25 and older, 77.5 percent have earned a high school diploma or higher and 14.1 percent have a bachelor's degree or higher.

It is estimated that there are 5,187 housing units in the county, of which 80.6 percent are occupied. Of the occupied units, 73.7 percent are owner-occupied. Of the total housing units, 6.1 percent are multi-unit structures and 36.6 percent are mobile homes. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is $\$ 66,300$. The average household size is 2.43 persons. The median household income in Lowndes County in 2018 is $\$ 30,833$ and the median family income is $\$ 41,470$. The 2018 per capita income is $\$ 19,491$, which is 76.1 percent of that of the State of Alabama, at $\$ 26,846$. Based on the target population data, it is estimated that there are 8,816 individual incidences of transportation barriers in the county. A large portion of the target population groups, however, experiences multiple transportation barriers, such as being disabled, unemployed and living below the poverty level. The data and maps on the following pages provide detailed information about the target population groups in Lowndes County.

Lowndes County Target Population Summary

| Population Segment | Number | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Age 65 and over | 1,828 | $17.9 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 2,159 | $20.4 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 2,829 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 9 \%}$ |
| Unemployed | 450 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 562 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle <br> at 2.76 persons per household | 1,551 | $15.1 \%$ |
| Lowndes County Total Population | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 3 6}$ |  |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{8 , 8 1 6}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{8 6 . 1 \%}$ |  |

Source: U.S. Census, 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
*Number of persons in households with no vehicle available was derived by multiplying the number of households with no vehicle available by the average household size of 2.76 persons per household.

Countywide, the median age in Lowndes County is 41.6, and ranges from 34.3 in CT7812 to 46.3 in CT7810. Of the total population, 22.8 percent is under 18 years old and 17.9 percent is age 65 or older. The elderly population is spread fairly evenly throughout Lowndes County, with the percentage of persons age 65 and older ranging from 11.2 in CT7812 to 23.1 percent in CT7810. There are 2,536 persons, or 25.0 percent of the total population, with disabilities in the county. Of the total population, 7.6 percent of the population under the age of 18 have a disability, 24.6 percent of the population between 18 and 64 have a disability, and 49.3 percent of persons age 65 and older have a disability. As with the elderly population, the percentage of disabled persons is fairly consistent across the county, ranging from 20.0 percent of the population in CT7812 to 27.1 percent in CT7808. The percentage of individuals living below poverty level in Lowndes County, at 27.9 percent of the total population, is considerably higher than that of the State of Alabama, at 17.5 percent. CT7811, located in the southwest corner of the county, has the highest concentration of economically-disadvantaged population by far, at 37.3 percent. In comparison, the percentage of persons living below poverty level ranges from 22.2 percent in CT7808 to 31.4 percent in CT7812.

Primary occupations of the labor force in the county are production, transportation and material moving, at 31.4 percent of all occupations. The industry with the largest percentage of employees in Lowndes County is educational services, health care and social assistance, with 21.2 percent, followed by manufacturing, with 18.6 percent. The average commute time for Lowndes County workers is 32.0 minutes. Lowndes County has a labor force of 3,907 persons, which is 45.0 percent of the working age population age 16 and older. The 2018 ACS reports that there are 4540 unemployed persons, which is 11.5 percent of the total labor force. Unemployment in Lowndes County ranges from 8.2 percent in CT7808 to 23.5 percent in CT7811, located in the southwest part of the county. There are 562 households in Lowndes County with no vehicle, which is 13.4 percent of the total households. CT7808 has the highest percentage of households with no vehicle available, at 15.8 percent, followed by CT7811, at 15.3 percent. With an average household size of 2.76 persons, 1,551 persons are impacted by lack of a vehicle.

The portion of Lowndes County that is likely to have the highest percentage of transportation dependent persons is in Census Tract 7811, in the southwest part of the county. Of the 1,610 persons living in this area, 23.8 percent are persons with a disability, 37.3 percent are persons living in poverty, 23.5 percent are unemployed, and 15.3 percent of the households do not have a vehicle available for transportation purposes. Census Tracts 7810 and 7812 are also considered to be slightly vulnerable due to high percentages of persons with a disability, unemployment and lack of a vehicle in CT7810 and high poverty, unemployment and lack of a vehicle in CT7812. A more detailed map of each target population group is provided on the following pages.

Lowndes County Comparison of Population Percentages by Target Population Group

|  | Persons <br> Age 65+ | Persons <br> with a <br> Disability | Persons In <br> Poverty | Unemployed | Households <br> Without a <br> Vehicle |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LOWNDES COUNTY | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 6 \%}$ | $20.36 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 4 \%}$ |
| Census Tract 7808 | $20.15 \%$ | $18.91 \%$ | $22.20 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $15.81 \%$ |
| Census Tract 7810 | $23.12 \%$ | $21.11 \%$ | $25.70 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $12.13 \%$ |
| Census Tract 7811 | $16.77 \%$ | $23.84 \%$ | $37.30 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $15.27 \%$ |
| Census Tract 7812 | $11.20 \%$ | $19.27 \%$ | $31.40 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $10.78 \%$ |









## Macon County

Macon County is the most northeastern county in the South Central Alabama Region, and is bordered by Elmore, Tallapoosa and Lee Counties to the north, Russell county to the east, Bullock County to the south, and Montgomery County to the west. Macon County encompasses 613.21 square miles and is home to four municipalities: Franklin, Notasulga, Shorter and Tuskegee. The county seat is Tuskegee, which is located in the north central part of the county. Interstate 85 crosses northern Macon County in a southwest to northeast direction. U.S. Highway 29 enters the county
 from Lee County in the northeast, merges with U.S. Highway 80 and then runs south into Bullock County. U.S. Highway 80 crosses the north central part of the county in an east-west direction. There are seven state highways, all of which are located in the northern part of the county. Alabama Highway 14 runs east-west across the northern tip of the county. Alabama Highways 81 and 199 run north-south connecting Highway 14 with U.S. Highway 29 in Tuskegee. There are only small segments of the remaining four state highways, all of which run primarily north-south to provide connections with Interstate 85.

Macon County is the second smallest county by area and the third largest county by population in the region. According to 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Macon County has a total population of 19,054 persons which is an 11.2 percent decrease from the 2010 population of 21,452 persons. Of the total population, 46.1 percent is located in the unincorporated part of the county. Tuskegee is the largest municipality by far with a population of 8,699 persons and having 45.7 percent of the total county population. The other three municipalities, combined, only have 8.3 percent of the county population. The 2018 population density of Macon County is 31.07 persons per square mile, which is the second highest population density in the region. Population density in the county ranges from 6.62 persons per square mile in CT2323 to 2,247.40 persons per square mile in CT2317, which is located near in Tuskegee near the downtown area and Tuskegee University. The majority of the Macon County population is female, at 53.9 percent. The racial composition of Macon County is 15.9 percent white, 82.6 percent African American, 1.2 percent another race, and 0.4 percent of two or more races; 1.7 percent of the population is Hispanic or Latino. Of the county population that is age 25 and older, 81.7 percent have earned a high school diploma or higher and 20.7 percent have a bachelor's degree or higher.

It is estimated that there are 10,274 housing units in the county, of which 74.3 percent are occupied. Within the occupied housing units, there is a home ownership rate of 65.7 percent. Of the total housing units, 15.1 percent are multi-unit structures. The median value of owneroccupied housing units is $\$ 79,500$. The average household size is 2.19 persons. The median household income in Macon County in 2018 is $\$ 32,074$ and the median family income is $\$ 47,994$. The 2018 per capita income is $\$ 20,125$, as compared to the State, at $\$ 26,846$. Based on the target population data, it is estimated that there are 15,355 individual incidences of transportation barriers in the county. A large portion of the target population groups, however, experiences multiple transportation barriers, such as being disabled, unemployed and living below the poverty level. The data and maps on the following pages provide detailed information about the target population groups in Macon County.

Macon County Target Population Summary

| Population Segment | Number | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Age 65 and over | 3,542 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 3,528 | $17.8 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 4,858 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8 \%}$ |
| Unemployed | 1,006 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 989 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle <br> at 2.45 persons per household | 2,422 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Macon County Total Population | $\mathbf{1 9 , 0 5 4}$ |  |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 5 5}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |

Source: U.S. Census, 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
*Number of persons in households with no vehicle available was derived by multiplying the number of households with no vehicle available by the average household size of 2.45 persons per household.

The median age in Macon County is 38.0 , and ranges from 20.7 in CT2317 to 57.2 in CT2316.01. Of the total population, 17.0 percent is under the age of 18 and 18.6 percent is age 65 or older. CT2316.01, which includes the western part of Tuskegee and the southern part of Franklin, has the highest percentage of elderly persons, at 32.8 percent, followed by CT2318, which includes the eastern part of Tuskegee, at 28.9 percent. There are 3,528 persons, or 17.8 percent of the total population, with disabilities. Of the total population, 2.6 percent of the population under the age of 18 have a disability, 14.1 percent of the population between 18 and 64 have a disability, and 42.7 percent of persons age 65 and older have a disability. Four census tracts have high concentrations of disabled persons, ranging from 20.7 percent in CT2315 to 30.1 percent in CT2314. Individuals living below poverty level in Macon County, at 27.9 percent of the total population, is considerably higher than that of the State of Alabama, at 17.5percent. CT2316.02, located southwest of Tuskegee, has the highest concentration, at 39.3 percent, followed by two Tuskegee tracts, CT2320, at 36.7 percent, and CT2317, also, at 35.5 percent. In comparison, the percentage of persons living below poverty level in other census tracts ranges from 11.4 percent in CT2316.01 to 30.2 percent in CT2322.

Primary occupations of the labor force in the county are management, business and arts occupations, at 30.8 percent, service occupations, at 22.0 percent, and sales and office occupations, at 20.0 percent. The industry with the largest percentage of employees is educational services, health care and social assistance, with 34.6 percent. The average commute time is 23.3 minutes. Macon County has a labor force of 8,309 persons, which is 51.7 percent of the working age population age 16 and older. The 2018 ACS reports that there are 1,006 unemployed persons, which is 12.1 percent of the total labor force. Unemployment is highest in CT2317, in Tuskegee, at 23.3 percent. In other census tracts, unemployment ranges from 5.0 percent in CT2314 to 16.8 percent in CT2323. Two census tracts have high concentrations to households with no vehicle available: CT2320, at 28.4 percent; and CT2316.02, at 28.2 percent. Both of these census tracts are in the area surrounding Tuskegee. Countywide, 12.95 percent of all households do not have a vehicle available. With an average household size of 2.45 persons, 2,422 persons are impacted by lack of a vehicle.

The portion of Macon County that is likely to have the highest percentage of transportation dependent persons is in Census Tract 2323, located in the southeast part of the county. Of the 1,364 persons living in this area, 27.35 percent are age 65 or older, 18.9 percent are persons with a disability, 24.3 percent are persons living in poverty, 16.8 percent are unemployed, and 20.7 percent of the households do not have a vehicle available for transportation purposes. An additional five census tracts, 2316.01, 2318, 2319, 2320, and 2321, are considered to also be slightly vulnerable with each census tract having high percentages in three population segments

Macon County Comparison of Population Percentages by Target Population Group

|  | Persons <br> Age 65+ | Persons <br> with a <br> Disability | Persons In <br> Poverty | Unemployed | Households <br> Without a <br> Vehicle |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MACON COUNTY | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 9 \%}$ | $17.81 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9 5 \%}$ |
| Census Tract 2314 | $23.70 \%$ | $30.08 \%$ | $28.50 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $8.54 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2315 | $20.44 \%$ | $20.73 \%$ | $19.00 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2316.01 | $32.75 \%$ | $27.25 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $2.94 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2316.02 | $17.39 \%$ | $16.30 \%$ | $39.30 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $28.20 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2316.03 | $20.74 \%$ | $17.24 \%$ | $17.80 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $7.68 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2317 | $4.44 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ | $35.50 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $5.43 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2318 | $28.88 \%$ | $17.57 \%$ | $22.60 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $24.51 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2319 | $15.35 \%$ | $22.65 \%$ | $28.50 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $13.10 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2320 | $22.11 \%$ | $14.37 \%$ | $36.70 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $28.40 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2321 | $15.43 \%$ | $18.75 \%$ | $19.90 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $6.31 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2322 | $14.76 \%$ | $18.80 \%$ | $30.20 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $10.99 \%$ |
| Census Tract 2323 | $27.35 \%$ | $18.90 \%$ | $24.30 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $20.74 \%$ |






population across the region. It is suggested that differing, alternative and new suggestions for the provision of transportation services be submitted to the South Central Alabama Development Commission for review and inclusion in future updates of this plan.

## Continuing Efforts

The SCADC staff will continue to monitor transit issues in the region through the South Central Alabama Rural Planning Organization (SCARPO) in order to determine whether this plan needs modification. The plan was reviewed and updated in Fiscal Year 2020. In accordance with the FAST Act and if funding is available, the South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan will be reviewed annually, and an annual summary will be produced. Across the Region, transit providers, local agencies and the South Central Alabama Development Commission will work to address the most pressing transportationrelated needs of the citizens of the region.

The update of the South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan was discussed at the SCADC Board Meeting in November 2019 and board members were provided with comment forms to identify transportation-related issues in each county. The SCADC attempted to conduct a meeting of the HSCTP stakeholders in March 2020; however, that meeting was postponed and eventually cancelled due to the social distancing requirements of the COVID-19 pandemic. Instead, emails were sent to all SCARPO and HSCTP stakeholders to identify transportation needs and issues. The SCADC Board reviewed and adopted a draft of the 2020 HSCTP at their regular board meeting in August 2020. A meeting was held of just the SCARPO Steering Committee, again due to COVID-19 requirements, on September 28,2020. Notification for this meeting was published in print media in all six counties in the SCADC Region. Notifications were also emailed to all stakeholders. The draft 2020 South Central Alabama HSCTP Update was presented at this meeting and discussed by all persons present. As this plan is updated frequently, the public is welcome to comment on or contribute to this plan at any time. Documentation and notes related to the stakeholders meeting are included in the appendices. The South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan was submitted to the Alabama Department of Transportation on September 30, 2020.

## Appendix A Data Tables

Table 1: FAST Act Target Population Summaries
Table 2: Total Population and Population Change by County and Census Tract
Table 3: Municipal and Unincorporated Area Population and Population Change
Table 4: Population Density by County and Census Tract
Table 5: Elderly Population and Median Age by County and Census Tract
Table 6: Population with a Disability by County and Census Tract
Table 7: Poverty and Income Characteristics by County and Census Tract
Table 8: Labor Force and Unemployment Characteristics by County and Census Tract
Table 9: Households with No Vehicle Available by County and Census Tract

Note: Due to the differences in data sampling by the U.S. Census Bureau, the census tract totals do not always equal the county total population. In those instances, the percentage of the category was used to extrapolate the number of persons.

Table 1: Target Population Summaries

| South Central Alabama Region Target Population Summary |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Population Segment | Number | Percent of <br> Total |
| Age 65 and over | 18,220 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 19,426 | $18.1 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 26,546 | $25.2 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 4,086 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 3,725 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle | 9,804 | $9.2 \%$ |
| SCADC Region Total Population |  | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 9 3 5}$ |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs |  | $\mathbf{7 8 , 0 8 2}$ |
| Percent Impacted |  | $\mathbf{7 3 . 0 \%}$ |


| Bullock County | Percent of <br> Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Age 65 and over | Number | $15.6 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 1,616 | $18.7 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 1,885 | $31.4 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 3,133 | 490 |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 423 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle at 2.62 persons per HH | 1,106 | $10.7 \%$ |
| Bullock Total Population |  | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3}$ |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs |  | $\mathbf{8 , 2 3 0}$ |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 \%}$ |  |


| Butler County |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Population Segment | Number | Percent of <br> Total |
| Age 65 and over | 3,806 | $19.0 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 4,099 | $20.4 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 4,637 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 \%}$ |
| Unemployed | 567 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 520 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle at 2.87 persons per HH | 1,492 | $\mathbf{7 . 4 \%}$ |
| Butler County Total Population |  | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 2 5}$ |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs |  | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 0 1}$ |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{7 2 . 9 \%}$ |  |


| Crenshaw County |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Population Segment | Number | Percent of <br> Total |
| Age 65 and over | 2,542 | $18.3 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 2,511 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 2,144 | $15.7 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 347 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 332 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle at 2.99 persons per HH | 991 | $\mathbf{7 . 1 \%}$ |
| Crenshaw County Total Population |  | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 6 5}$ |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{8 , 5 3 5}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{6 1 . 6 \%}$ |  |


| Lowndes County |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Population Segment | Number | Percent of <br> Total |
| Age 65 and over | 1,828 | $17.9 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 2,159 | $20.4 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 2,829 | $27.9 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 450 | $11.5 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 562 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle at 2.76 persons per HH | 1,551 | $15.1 \%$ |
| Lowndes County Total Population |  | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 3 6}$ |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{8 , 8 1 6}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted |  | $\mathbf{8 6 . 1 \%}$ |


| Macon County |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Population Segment | Number | Percent of <br> Total |
| Age 65 and over | 3,542 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 3,528 | $17.8 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 4,858 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 8 \%}$ |
| Unemployed | 1,006 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 989 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle at 2.45 persons per HH | 2,422 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Macon County Total Population |  | $\mathbf{1 9 , 0 5 4}$ |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs |  | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 5 5}$ |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6 \%}$ |  |


| Pike County |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Population Segment | Number | Percent of <br> Total |
| Age 65 and over | 4,886 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 5,244 | $15.9 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 8,835 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6 \%}$ |
| Unemployed | 1,226 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 899 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH without a Vehicle at 2.49 persons per HH | 2,243 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Pike County Total Population |  | $\mathbf{3 3 , 4 0 3}$ |
| Total Potentially Impacted by Unmet Transportation Needs | $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 3 4}$ |  |
| Percent Impacted | $\mathbf{6 7 . 2 \%}$ |  |

Source: U.S. Census, 2018 Americ an Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
*Number of persons in households with no vehicle available was derived by multiplying the number of households with no vehicle available by the average household size.

Table 2: Total Population and Population Change by County and Census Tract

| Location | $2000$ <br> Population | $2010$ <br> Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 20nก-2010 } \end{aligned}$ | ACS 2018 Population | \% Change 2010-2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 281,421,906 | 308,758,105 | 9.7\% | 322,903,030 | 4.6\% |
| Alabama | 4,447,100 | 4,779,736 | 7.5\% | 4,864,680 | 1.8\% |
| Bullock County | 11,714 | 10,914 | -6.8\% | 10,352 | -5.1\% |
| Butler County | 21,399 | 20,947 | -2.1\% | 20,025 | -4.4\% |
| Crenshaw County | 13,665 | 13,906 | 1.8\% | 13,865 | -0.3\% |
| Lowndes County | 13,473 | 11,299 | -16.1\% | 10,236 | -9.4\% |
| Macon County | 24,105 | 21,452 | -11.0\% | 19,054 | -11.2\% |
| Pike County | 29,605 | 32,899 | 11.1\% | 33,403 | 1.5\% |
| SCADC Region | 113,961 | 111,417 | -2.2\% | 106,935 | -4.0\% |
| Location | $2000$ <br> Population | $2010$ <br> Population | \% Change 2000-2010 | ACS 2018 Population | \% Change 2010-2018 |
| BULLOCK COUNTY | 11,714 | 10,914 | -6.8\% | 10,352 | -5.1\% |
| Census Tract 9521 | 1,705 | 1,434 | -15.9\% | 1,659 | 15.7\% |
| Census Tract 9522 | 7,170 | 7,102 | -0.9\% | 5,753 | -19.0\% |
| Census Tract 9523 | 1,021 | -- |  |  |  |
| Census Tract 9524 | 1,818 | -- |  |  |  |
| Census Tract 9525* |  | 2,378 | -16.2\% | 2,940 | 23.6\% |
| Total | 11,714 | 10,914 | -6.8\% | 10,352 | -5.1\% |
| BUTLER COUNTY | 21,399 | 20,947 | -2.1\% | 20,025 | -4.4\% |
| Census Tract 9527 | 2,330 | 2,439 | 4.7\% | 2,081 | -14.7\% |
| Census Tract 9528 | 1,489 | 1,394 | -6.4\% | 1,245 | -10.7\% |
| Census Tract 9529 | 1,928 | 2,180 | 13.1\% | 2,259 | 3.6\% |
| Census Tract 9530 | 1,693 | 1,469 | -13.2\% | 1,640 | 11.6\% |
| Census Tract 9531 | 3,087 | 3,023 | -2.1\% | 3,255 | 7.7\% |
| Census Tract 9532 | 4,442 | 4,327 | -2.6\% | 3,385 | -21.8\% |
| Census Tract 9533 | 1,962 | 1,774 | -9.6\% | 1,807 | 1.9\% |
| Census Tract 9534 | 2,946 | 2,931 | -0.5\% | 2,905 | -0.9\% |
| Census Tract 9535 | 1,522 | 1,410 | -7.4\% | 1,448 | 2.7\% |
| Total | 21,399 | 20,947 | -2.1\% | 20,025 | -4.4\% |
| CRENSHAW COUNTY | 13,665 | 13,906 | 1.8\% | 13,865 | -0.3\% |
| Census Tract 9634 | 1,855 | 1,925 | 3.8\% | 1,939 | 0.7\% |
| Census Tract 9635 | 1,954 | 1,903 | -2.6\% | 2,041 | 7.3\% |
| Census Tract 9636 | 3,143 | 3,180 | 1.2\% | 2,974 | -6.5\% |
| Census Tract 9637 | 3,434 | 3,809 | 10.9\% | 3,900 | 2.4\% |
| Census Tract 9638 | 1,784 | 1,681 | -5.8\% | 1,588 | -5.5\% |
| Census Tract 9639 | 1,495 | 1,408 | -5.8\% | 1,423 | 1.1\% |
| Total | 13,665 | 13,906 | 1.8\% | 13,865 | -0.3\% |
| LOWNDES COUNTY | 13,473 | 11,299 | -16.1\% | 10,236 | -9.4\% |
| Census Tract 7808 | 3,949 | 3,620 | -8.3\% | 3,504 | -3.2\% |
| Census Tract 7810 | 3,661 | 2,932 | -19.9\% | 2,336 | -20.3\% |
| Census Tract 7811 | 2,274 | 1,861 | -18.2\% | 1,610 | -13.5\% |
| Census Tract 7812 | 3,589 | 2,886 | -19.6\% | 2,786 | -3.5\% |
| Total | 13,473 | 11,299 | -16.1\% | 10,236 | -9.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Location | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Population } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Population } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & 2000-2010 \end{aligned}$ | ACS 2018 Population | \% Change 2010-2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MACON COUNTY | 24,105 | 21,452 | -11.0\% | 19,054 | -11.2\% |
| Census Tract 2314 | 1,518 | 1,403 | -7.6\% | 1,464 | 4.3\% |
| Census Tract 2315 | 2,961 | 3,156 | 6.6\% | 2,627 | -16.8\% |
| Census Tract 2316* | 4,722 |  | -14.2\% |  |  |
| Census Tract 2316.01 | -- | 1,187 |  | 1,032 | -13.1\% |
| Census Tract 2316.02 | -- | 1,559 |  | 1,547 | -0.8\% |
| Census Tract 2316.03 | -- | 1,307 |  | 1,157 | -11.5\% |
| Census Tract 2317 | 2,596 | 2,113 | -18.6\% | 2,498 | 18.2\% |
| Census Tract 2318 | 1,582 | 1,262 | -20.2\% | 935 | -25.9\% |
| Census Tract 2319 | 2,089 | 1,940 | -7.1\% | 1,355 | -30.2\% |
| Census Tract 2320 | 2,235 | 1,531 | -31.5\% | 1,235 | -19.3\% |
| Census Tract 2321 | 2,023 | 1,935 | -4.3\% | 1,387 | -28.3\% |
| Census Tract 2322 | 2,206 | 2,309 | 4.7\% | 2,453 | 6.2\% |
| Census Tract 2323 | 2,173 | 1,750 | -19.5\% | 1,364 | -22.1\% |
| Total | 24,105 | 21,452 | -11.0\% | 19,054 | -11.2\% |
| PIKE COUNTY | 29,605 | 32,899 | 11.1\% | 33,403 | 1.5\% |
| Census Tract 1886 | 2,165 | 2,002 | -7.5\% | 1,918 | -4.2\% |
| Census Tract 1887 | 1,771 | 1,668 | -5.8\% | 1,631 | -2.2\% |
| Census Tract 1888 | 2,279 | 2,431 | 6.7\% | 2,423 | -0.3\% |
| Census Tract 1889 | 3,881 | 4,994 | 28.7\% | 5,513 | 10.4\% |
| Census Tract 1890 | 6,287 | 8,053 | 28.1\% | 7,448 | -7.5\% |
| Census Tract 1891 | 5,806 | 7,023 | 21.0\% | 7,310 | 4.1\% |
| Census Tract 1892 | 3,002 | 2,753 | -8.3\% | 3,270 | 18.8\% |
| Census Tract 1893 | 4,414 | 3,975 | -9.9\% | 3,890 | -2.1\% |
| Total | 29,605 | 32,899 | 11.1\% | 33,403 | 1.5\% |

Source: U.S. Census, 2000 Census, Summary File 1; 2010 Census, Summary File 1; a nd, 2018 Americ an Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
*Note: Due to census tract realignment of census tracts, Bullock County 2010 Census Tracts 9523 and 9524 were combined to make Bullock County 2010 Census Tract 9525; and Macon County Census Tract 2316 in 2010 was divided into three census tracts for the 2010 census: 2316.01, 2316.02, and 2316.03.

Table 3: Municipal vs. Unincorporated Area Population and Percent Change

| Location | $2000$ <br> Population | $2010$ <br> Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change, } \\ 2000 \text { to } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ACS 2018 Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change, } \\ 2010 \text { to } \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 281,421,906 | 308,758,105 | 9.7\% | 322,903,030 | 4.6\% |
| Alabama | 4,447,100 | 4,779,736 | 7.5\% | 4,864,680 | 1.8\% |
| Bullock County | 11,714 | 10,914 | -6.8\% | 10,352 | -5.1\% |
| Butler County | 21,399 | 20,947 | -2.1\% | 20,025 | -4.4\% |
| Crenshaw County | 13,665 | 13,906 | 1.8\% | 13,865 | -0.3\% |
| Lowndes County | 13,473 | 11,299 | -16.1\% | 10,236 | -9.4\% |
| Macon County | 24,105 | 21,452 | -11.0\% | 19,054 | -11.2\% |
| Pike County | 29,605 | 32,899 | 11.1\% | 33,403 | 1.5\% |
| SCADC Region | 113,961 | 111,417 | -2.2\% | 106,935 | -4.0\% |
| Total Municipal Population | 53,545 | 56,192 | 4.9\% | 56,068 | -0.2\% |
| \%Municipal Population | 47.0\% | 50.4\% |  | 52.4\% |  |
| Unincorporated Area | 60,416 | 55,225 | -8.6\% | 50,867 | -7.9\% |
| \% Unincorporated | 53.0\% | 49.6\% |  | 47.6\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location | $2000$ <br> Population | $2010$ <br> Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change, } \\ 2000 \text { to } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ACS 2018 Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change, } \\ 2010 \text { to } \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| BULLOCK COUNTY | 11,714 | 10,914 | -6.8\% | 10,352 | -5.1\% |
| Midway | 457 | 499 | 9.2\% | 703 | 40.9\% |
| Union Springs | 3,670 | 3,980 | 8.4\% | 3,596 | -9.6\% |
| Total Municipal Population | 4,127 | 4,479 | 8.5\% | 4,299 | -4.0\% |
| \%Municipal Population | 35.2\% | 41.0\% |  | 41.5\% |  |
| Unincorporated Area | 7,587 | 6,435 | -15.2\% | 6,053 | -5.9\% |
| \% Unincorporated | 64.8\% | 59.0\% |  | 58.5\% |  |
| BUTLER COUNTY | 21,399 | 20,947 | -2.1\% | 20,025 | -4.4\% |
| Georgiana | 1,737 | 1,738 | 0.1\% | 1,914 | 10.1\% |
| Greenville | 7,228 | 8,135 | 12.5\% | 7,714 | -5.2\% |
| McKenzie | 644 | 530 | -17.7\% | 608 | 14.7\% |
| Total Municipal Population | 9,609 | 10,403 | 8.3\% | 10,236 | -1.6\% |
| \%Municipal Population | 44.9\% | 49.7\% |  | 51.1\% |  |
| Unincorporated Area | 11,790 | 10,544 | -10.6\% | 9,789 | -7.2\% |
| \% Unincorporated | 55.1\% | 50.3\% |  | 48.9\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRENSHAW COUNTY | 13,665 | 13,906 | 1.8\% | 13,865 | -0.3\% |
| Brantley | 920 | 809 | -12.1\% | 828 | 2.3\% |
| Dozier | 391 | 329 | -15.9\% | 367 | 11.6\% |
| Glenwood | 191 | 187 | -2.1\% | 221 | 18.2\% |
| Luverne | 2,635 | 2,800 | 6.3\% | 2,771 | -1.0\% |
| Petrey | 63 | 58 | -7.9\% | 48 | -17.2\% |
| Rutledge | 476 | 467 | -1.9\% | 363 | -22.3\% |
| Total Municipal Population | 4,676 | 4,650 | -0.6\% | 4,598 | -1.1\% |
| \%Municipal Population | 34.2\% | 33.4\% |  | 33.2\% |  |
| Unincorporated Area | 8,989 | 9,256 | 3.0\% | 9,267 | 0.1\% |
| \% Unincorporated | 65.8\% | 66.6\% |  | 66.8\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Location | 2000 Population | $2010$ <br> Population | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \% Change, } \\ 2000 \text { to } \\ 2010 \end{array}$ | ACS 2018 <br> Population | \% Change, 2010 to 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOWNDES COUNTY | 13,473 | 11,299 | -16.1\% | 10,236 | -9.4\% |
| Benton | 47 | 49 | 4.3\% | 47 | -4.1\% |
| Fort Deposit | 1,270 | 1,344 | 5.8\% | 1,630 | 21.3\% |
| Gordonville | 318 | 326 | 2.5\% | 185 | -43.3\% |
| Hayneville | 1,177 | 932 | -20.8\% | 1,028 | 10.3\% |
| Lowndesboro | 140 | 115 | -17.9\% | 99 | -13.9\% |
| Mosses | 1,101 | 1,029 | -6.5\% | 1,073 | 4.3\% |
| White Hall | 1,014 | 858 | -15.4\% | 786 | -8.4\% |
| Total Municipal Population | 5,067 | 4,653 | -8.2\% | 4,848 | 4.2\% |
| \%Municipal Population | 37.6\% | 41.2\% |  | 47.4\% |  |
| Unincorporated Area | 8,406 | 6,646 | -20.9\% | 5,388 | -18.9\% |
| \% Unincorporated | 62.4\% | 58.8\% |  | 52.6\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MACON COUNTY | 24,105 | 21,452 | -11.0\% | 19,054 | -11.2\% |
| Franklin | 149 | 149 | 0.0\% | 186 | 24.8\% |
| Notasulga | 916 | 965 | 5.3\% | 953 | -1.2\% |
| Shorter | 355 | 474 | 33.5\% | 433 | -8.6\% |
| Tuskegee | 11,846 | 9,865 | -16.7\% | 8,699 | -11.8\% |
| Total Municipal Population | 13,266 | 11,453 | -13.7\% | 10,271 | -10.3\% |
| \%Municipal Population | 55.0\% | 53.4\% |  | 53.9\% |  |
| Unincorporated Area | 10,839 | 9,999 | -7.7\% | 8,783 | -12.2\% |
| \% Unincorporated | 45.0\% | 46.6\% |  | 46.1\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIKE COUNTY | 29,605 | 32,899 | 11.1\% | 33,403 | 1.5\% |
| Banks | 224 | 179 | -20.1\% | 157 | -12.3\% |
| Brundidge | 2,341 | 2,076 | -11.3\% | 2,295 | 10.5\% |
| Goshen | 300 | 266 | -11.3\% | 223 | -16.2\% |
| Troy | 13,935 | 18,033 | 29.4\% | 19,141 | 6.1\% |
| Total Municipal Population | 16,800 | 20,554 | 22.3\% | 21,816 | 6.1\% |
| \%Municipal Population | 56.7\% | 62.5\% |  | 65.3\% |  |
| Unincorporated Area | 12,805 | 12,345 | -3.6\% | 11,587 | -6.1\% |
| \% Unincorporated | 43.3\% | 37.5\% |  | 34.7\% |  |

Source: U.S. Census, 2000 Census, Summa ry File 1; 2010 Census, Summary File 1; and, Census 2018 Americ an Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Table 4: Population Density by County and Census Tract

- Density is shown as the number of persons per square mile throughout the entire table

| Census Tract | Total Area (square miles) | 2010 |  | 2018 |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Population | Density | Total Population | Density | Total Population | Density |
| United States | 3,531,905.43 | 308,745,538 | 87.42 | 322,903,030 | 91.42 | 4.6\% | 4.6\% |
| Alabama | 52,420.00 | 4,779,736 | 91.18 | 4,864,680 | 92.80 | 1.8\% | 1.8\% |
| SCADC Region | 4,025.23 | 111,417 | 27.68 | 106,935 | 26.57 | -4.0\% | -4.0\% |
| Bullock County | 625.14 | 10,914 | 17.46 | 10,352 | 16.56 | -5.1\% | -5.1\% |
| Butler County | 777.88 | 20,947 | 26.93 | 20,025 | 25.74 | -4.4\% | -4.4\% |
| Crenshaw County | 610.92 | 13,906 | 22.76 | 13,865 | 22.70 | -0.3\% | -0.3\% |
| Lowndes County | 725.07 | 11,299 | 15.58 | 10,236 | 14.12 | -9.4\% | -9.4\% |
| Macon County | 613.21 | 21,452 | 34.98 | 19,054 | 31.07 | -11.2\% | -11.2\% |
| Pike County | 673.01 | 32,899 | 48.88 | 33,403 | 49.63 | 1.5\% | 1.5\% |
| Bullock County | 625.14 | 10,914 | 17.46 | 10,352 | 16.56 | -5.1\% | -5.1\% |
| Census Tract 9521 | 165.53 | 1,434 | 8.66 | 1,659 | 10.02 | 15.7\% | 15.7\% |
| Census Tract 9522 | 154.67 | 7,102 | 45.92 | 5,753 | 37.20 | -19.0\% | -19.0\% |
| Census Tract 9525 | 304.95 | 2,378 | 7.80 | 2,940 | 9.64 | 23.6\% | 23.6\% |
| Butler County | 777.88 | 20,947 | 26.93 | 20,025 | 25.74 | -4.4\% | -4.4\% |
| Census Tract 9527 | 51.71 | 2,439 | 47.16 | 2,081 | 40.24 | -14.7\% | -14.7\% |
| Census Tract 9528 | 1.33 | 1,394 | 1,045.47 | 1,245 | 933.72 | -10.7\% | -10.7\% |
| Census Tract 9529 | 22.18 | 2,180 | 98.27 | 2,259 | 101.83 | 3.6\% | 3.6\% |
| Census Tract 9530 | 170.56 | 1,469 | 8.61 | 1,640 | 9.62 | 11.6\% | 11.6\% |
| Census Tract 9531 | 12.55 | 3,023 | 240.86 | 3,255 | 259.34 | 7.7\% | 7.7\% |
| Census Tract 9532 | 185.37 | 4,327 | 23.34 | 3,385 | 18.26 | -21.8\% | -21.8\% |
| Census Tract 9533 | 136.06 | 1,774 | 13.04 | 1,807 | 13.28 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| Census Tract 9534 | 95.93 | 2,931 | 30.55 | 2,905 | 30.28 | -0.9\% | -0.9\% |
| Census Tract 9535 | 102.17 | 1,410 | 13.80 | 1,448 | 14.17 | 2.7\% | 2.7\% |
| Crenshaw County | 610.92 | 13,906 | 22.76 | 13,865 | 22.70 | -0.3\% | -0.3\% |
| Census Tract 9634 | 92.76 | 1,925 | 20.75 | 1,939 | 20.90 | 0.7\% | 0.7\% |
| Census Tract 9635 | 87.49 | 1,903 | 21.75 | 2,041 | 23.33 | 7.3\% | 7.3\% |
| Census Tract 9636 | 144.77 | 3,180 | 21.97 | 2,974 | 20.54 | -6.5\% | -6.5\% |
| Census Tract 9637 | 99.84 | 3,809 | 38.15 | 3,900 | 39.06 | 2.4\% | 2.4\% |
| Census Tract 9638 | 106.09 | 1,681 | 15.85 | 1,588 | 14.97 | -5.5\% | -5.5\% |
| Census Tract 9639 | 79.97 | 1,408 | 17.61 | 1,423 | 17.79 | 1.1\% | 1.1\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Census Tract | Total Area (square miles) | 2010 |  | 2018 |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Population | Density | Total Population | Density | Total Population | Density |
| Lowndes County | 725.07 | 11,299 | 15.58 | 10,236 | 14.12 | -9.4\% | -9.4\% |
| Census Tract 7808 | 157.22 | 3,620 | 23.03 | 3,504 | 22.29 | -3.2\% | -3.2\% |
| Census Tract 7810 | 225.56 | 2,932 | 13.00 | 2,336 | 10.36 | -20.3\% | -20.3\% |
| Census Tract 7811 | 158.32 | 1,861 | 11.75 | 1,610 | 10.17 | -13.5\% | -13.5\% |
| Census Tract 7812 | 183.98 | 2,886 | 15.69 | 2,786 | 15.14 | -3.5\% | -3.5\% |
| Macon County | 613.21 | 21,452 | 34.98 | 19,054 | 31.07 | -11.2\% | -11.2\% |
| Census Tract 2314 | 94.29 | 1,403 | 14.88 | 1,464 | 15.53 | 4.3\% | 4.3\% |
| Census Tract 2315 | 98.16 | 3,156 | 32.15 | 2,627 | 26.76 | -16.8\% | -16.8\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Census Tract } \\ & 2316.01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 39.74 | 1,187 | 29.87 | 1,032 | 25.97 | -13.1\% | -13.1\% |
| Census Tract 2316.02 | 25.11 | 1,559 | 62.09 | 1,547 | 61.61 | -0.8\% | -0.8\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Census Tract } \\ & 2316.03 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 30.95 | 1,307 | 42.23 | 1,157 | 37.39 | -11.5\% | -11.5\% |
| Census Tract 2317 | 1.11 | 2,113 | 1,901.03 | 2,498 | 2,247.40 | 18.2\% | 18.2\% |
| Census Tract 2318 | 2.24 | 1,262 | 562.69 | 935 | 416.89 | -25.9\% | -25.9\% |
| Census Tract 2319 | 4.13 | 1,940 | 469.79 | 1,355 | 328.12 | -30.2\% | -30.2\% |
| Census Tract 2320 | 3.08 | 1,531 | 496.34 | 1,235 | 400.38 | -19.3\% | -19.3\% |
| Census Tract 2321 | 2.09 | 1,935 | 925.24 | 1,387 | 663.21 | -28.3\% | -28.3\% |
| Census Tract 2322 | 106.23 | 2,309 | 21.74 | 2,453 | 23.09 | 6.2\% | 6.2\% |
| Census Tract 2323 | 206.07 | 1,750 | 8.49 | 1,364 | 6.62 | -22.1\% | -22.1\% |
| Pike County | 673.01 | 32,899 | 48.88 | 33,403 | 49.63 | 1.5\% | 1.5\% |
| Census Tract 1886 | 136.09 | 2,002 | 14.71 | 1,918 | 14.09 | -4.2\% | -4.2\% |
| Census Tract 1887 | 102.54 | 1,668 | 16.27 | 1,631 | 15.91 | -2.2\% | -2.2\% |
| Census Tract 1888 | 132.50 | 2,431 | 18.35 | 2,423 | 18.29 | -0.3\% | -0.3\% |
| Census Tract 1889 | 22.55 | 4,994 | 221.45 | 5,513 | 244.47 | 10.4\% | 10.4\% |
| Census Tract 1890 | 34.20 | 8,053 | 235.49 | 7,448 | 217.80 | -7.5\% | -7.5\% |
| Census Tract 1891 | 25.07 | 7,023 | 280.14 | 7,310 | 291.59 | 4.1\% | 4.1\% |
| Census Tract 1892 | 114.53 | 2,753 | 24.04 | 3,270 | 28.55 | 18.8\% | 18.8\% |
| Census Tract 1893 | 105.52 | 3,975 | 37.67 | 3,890 | 36.86 | -2.1\% | -2.1\% |

Source: U.S. Census, 2010 Census of Population, P.L. 94-171 File; 2000 Census, Summary File 1; 2010 Census, Summary File 1; and, 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Table 5: Elderly Population and Median Age by County and Census Tract

| Location | Total <br> Population | Population <br> Age 65 and <br> Older | Percent of <br> Population <br> Age 65 and <br> Older | Median <br> Age |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| United States | $322,903,030$ | $49,238,581$ | $15.25 \%$ | 37.9 |
| Alabama | $4,864,680$ | 783,832 | $16.11 \%$ | 38.9 |
| Bullock County | 10,352 | 1,616 | $15.61 \%$ | 39.6 |
| Butler County | 20,025 | 3,806 | $19.01 \%$ | 40.7 |
| Crenshaw County | 13,865 | 2,542 | $18.33 \%$ | 41.5 |
| Lowndes County | 10,236 | 1,828 | $17.86 \%$ | 41.6 |
| Macon County | 19,054 | 3,542 | $18.59 \%$ | 38.0 |
| Pike County | 33,403 | 4,886 | $14.63 \%$ | 30.8 |
| SCADC Region | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 7}$ |


| Location | Total Population | Population Age 65 and Older | Percent of Population Age 65 and Older | Median Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BULLOCK COUNTY | 10,352 | 1,616 | 15.61\% | 39.6 |
| Census Tract 9521 | 1,659 | 230 | 13.86\% | 34.7 |
| Census Tract 9522 | 5,753 | 806 | 14.01\% | 37.7 |
| Census Tract 9525 | 2,940 | 580 | 19.73\% | 46.9 |
| Total | 10,352 | 1,616 | 15.61\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| BUTLER COUNTY | 20,025 | 3,806 | 19.01\% | 40.7 |
| Census Tract 9527 | 2,081 | 479 | 23.02\% | 47.8 |
| Census Tract 9528 | 1,245 | 246 | 19.76\% | 43.7 |
| Census Tract 9529 | 2,259 | 304 | 13.46\% | 33.3 |
| Census Tract 9530 | 1,640 | 298 | 18.17\% | 39.6 |
| Census Tract 9531 | 3,255 | 653 | 20.06\% | 37.9 |
| Census Tract 9532 | 3,385 | 620 | 18.32\% | 38.7 |
| Census Tract 9533 | 1,807 | 347 | 19.20\% | 42.0 |
| Census Tract 9534 | 2,905 | 625 | 21.51\% | 46.6 |
| Census Tract 9535 | 1,448 | 234 | 16.16\% | 38.0 |
| Total | 20,025 | 3,806 | 19.01\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| CRENSHAW COUNTY | 13,865 | 2,542 | 18.33\% | 41.5 |
| Census Tract 9634 | 1,939 | 284 | 14.65\% | 38.8 |
| Census Tract 9635 | 2,041 | 315 | 15.43\% | 35.8 |
| Census Tract 9636 | 2,974 | 556 | 18.70\% | 44.2 |
| Census Tract 9637 | 3,900 | 759 | 19.46\% | 40.8 |
| Census Tract 9638 | 1,588 | 335 | 21.10\% | 45.0 |
| Census Tract 9639 | 1,423 | 293 | 20.59\% | 44.6 |
| Total | 13,865 | 2,542 | 18.33\% |  |


| Location | Total Population | Population Age 65 and Older | Percent of Population Age 65 and Older | Median Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOWNDES COUNTY | 10,236 | 1,828 | 17.86\% | 41.6 |
| Census Tract 7808 | 3,504 | 706 | 20.15\% | 41.0 |
| Census Tract 7810 | 2,336 | 540 | 23.12\% | 46.3 |
| Census Tract 7811 | 1,610 | 270 | 16.77\% | 42.6 |
| Census Tract 7812 | 2,786 | 312 | 11.20\% | 34.3 |
| Total | 10,236 | 1,828 | 17.86\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| MACON COUNTY | 19,054 | 3,542 | 18.59\% | 38.0 |
| Census Tract 2314 | 1,464 | 347 | 23.70\% | 50.3 |
| Census Tract 2315 | 2,627 | 537 | 20.44\% | 46.9 |
| Census Tract 2316.01 | 1,032 | 338 | 32.75\% | 57.2 |
| Census Tract 2316.02 | 1,547 | 269 | 17.39\% | 31.7 |
| Census Tract 2316.03 | 1,157 | 240 | 20.74\% | 46.0 |
| Census Tract 2317 | 2,498 | 111 | 4.44\% | 20.7 |
| Census Tract 2318 | 935 | 270 | 28.88\% | 44.4 |
| Census Tract 2319 | 1,355 | 208 | 15.35\% | 35.1 |
| Census Tract 2320 | 1,235 | 273 | 22.11\% | 33.4 |
| Census Tract 2321 | 1,387 | 214 | 15.43\% | 37.0 |
| Census Tract 2322 | 2,453 | 362 | 14.76\% | 44.2 |
| Census Tract 2323 | 1,364 | 373 | 27.35\% | 50.4 |
| Total | 19,054 | 3,542 | 18.59\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| PIKE COUNTY | 33,403 | 4,886 | 14.63\% | 30.8 |
| Census Tract 1886 | 1,918 | 380 | 19.81\% | 40.0 |
| Census Tract 1887 | 1,631 | 256 | 15.70\% | 42.5 |
| Census Tract 1888 | 2,423 | 501 | 20.68\% | 39.9 |
| Census Tract 1889 | 5,513 | 653 | 11.84\% | 25.1 |
| Census Tract 1890 | 7,448 | 1,117 | 15.00\% | 33.8 |
| Census Tract 1891 | 7,310 | 793 | 10.85\% | 21.8 |
| Census Tract 1892 | 3,270 | 454 | 13.88\% | 36.7 |
| Census Tract 1893 | 3,890 | 732 | 18.82\% | 41.7 |
| Total | 33,403 | 4,886 | 14.63\% |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2018 ACS 5-Year Survey, Table S0101: Age and Sex; and Table DP05: Demographic and Housing Estimates

Table 6: Population with a Disability by County and Census Tract

| Location |  | Total Population | Disability by Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 18 Years | 18 to 64 <br> Years | Age 65 and Older |
| United States | Total |  | 317,941,631 | 73,417,787 | 196,582,813 | 47,941,031 |
|  | With a disability | 40,071,666 | 3,065,179 | 20,240,504 | 16,765,983 |
|  | Percent with disability | 12.60\% | 4.17\% | 10.30\% | 34.97\% |
| Alabama | Total | 4,785,040 | 1,097,072 | 2,924,082 | 763,886 |
|  | With a disability | 780,618 | 51,993 | 416,469 | 312,156 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 16.31\% | 4.74\% | 14.24\% | 40.86\% |
| Bullock County | Total | 9,978 | 2,150 | 6,316 | 1,512 |
|  | With a disability | 1,441 | 125 | 799 | 517 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 14.44\% | 5.81\% | 12.65\% | 34.19\% |
| Butler County | Total | 19,734 | 4,566 | 11,496 | 3,672 |
|  | With a disability | 3,492 | 180 | 1,827 | 1,485 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 17.70\% | 3.94\% | 15.89\% | 40.44\% |
| Crenshaw County | Total | 13,656 | 3,116 | 8,137 | 2,403 |
|  | With a disability | 2,888 | 133 | 1,706 | 1,049 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 21.15\% | 4.27\% | 20.97\% | 43.65\% |
| Lowndes County | Total | 10,139 | 2,330 | 6,030 | 1,779 |
|  | With a disability | 2,536 | 177 | 1,482 | 877 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 25.01\% | 7.60\% | 24.58\% | 49.30\% |
| Macon County | Total | 18,828 | 3,384 | 11,980 | 3,464 |
|  | With a disability | 3,253 | 88 | 1,685 | 1,480 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 17.28\% | 2.60\% | 14.07\% | 42.73\% |
| Pike County | Total | 33,214 | 6,534 | 21,932 | 4,748 |
|  | With a disability | 5,680 | 390 | 3,300 | 1,990 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 17.10\% | 5.97\% | 15.05\% | 41.91\% |
| SCADC Region | Total | 105,549 | 22,080 | 65,891 | 17,578 |
|  | With a disability | 19,290 | 1,093 | 10,799 | 7,398 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 18.28\% | 4.95\% | 16.39\% | 42.09\% |




| Location |  | Total Population | Disability by Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 18 Years | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } 64 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } 65 \\ \text { and Older } \end{gathered}$ |
| LOWNDES COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census Tract 7808 | Total |  | 3,407 | 717 | 2,033 | 657 |
|  | With a disability | 922 | 56 | 525 | 341 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 27.06\% | 7.81\% | 25.82\% | 51.90\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Census Tract } \\ & 7810 \end{aligned}$ | Total | 2,336 | 495 | 1,301 | 540 |
|  | With a disability | 623 | 77 | 284 | 262 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 26.67\% | 15.56\% | 21.83\% | 48.52\% |
| Census Tract 7811 | Total | 1,610 | 346 | 994 | 270 |
|  | With a disability | 435 | 3 | 306 | 126 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 27.02\% | 0.87\% | 30.78\% | 46.67\% |
| Census Tract 7812 | Total | 2,786 | 772 | 1,702 | 312 |
|  | With a disability | 556 | 41 | 367 | 148 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 19.96\% | 5.31\% | 21.56\% | 47.44\% |
| MACON COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census Tract 2314 | Total | 1,464 | 338 | 779 | 347 |
|  | With a disability | 393 | 18 | 184 | 191 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 26.84\% | 5.33\% | 23.62\% | 55.04\% |
| Census Tract 2315 | Total | 2,627 | 378 | 1,712 | 537 |
|  | With a disability | 660 | 4 | 362 | 294 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 25.12\% | 1.06\% | 21.14\% | 54.75\% |
| Census Tract$2316.01$ | Total | 1,018 | 47 | 633 | 338 |
|  | With a disability | 184 | 0 | 70 | 114 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 18.07\% | 0.00\% | 11.06\% | 33.73\% |
| Census Tract$2316.02$ | Total | 1,518 | 424 | 825 | 269 |
|  | With a disability | 244 | 0 | 148 | 96 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 16.07\% | 0.00\% | 17.94\% | 35.69\% |
| Census Tract 2316.03 | Total | 1,157 | 238 | 679 | 240 |
|  | With a disability | 130 | 5 | 72 | 53 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 11.24\% | 2.10\% | 10.60\% | 22.08\% |
| Census Tract 2317 | Total | 2,429 | 60 | 2,299 | 70 |
|  | With a disability | 9 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 0.37\% | 0.00\% | 0.22\% | 5.71\% |
| Census Tract 2318 | Total | 935 | 201 | 464 | 270 |
|  | With a disability | 131 | 9 | 56 | 66 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 14.01\% | 4.48\% | 12.07\% | 24.44\% |
| Census Tract 2319 | Total | 1,355 | 323 | 824 | 208 |
|  | With a disability | 234 | 0 | 108 | 126 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 17.27\% | 0.00\% | 13.11\% | 60.58\% |
| Census Tract$2320$ | Total | 1,173 | 363 | 574 | 236 |
|  | With a disability | 244 | 23 | 92 | 129 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 20.80\% | 6.34\% | 16.03\% | 54.66\% |
| Census Tract 2321 | Total | 1,387 | 233 | 940 | 214 |
|  | With a disability | 233 | 23 | 123 | 87 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 16.80\% | 9.87\% | 13.09\% | 40.65\% |
| Census Tract 2322 | Total | 2,453 | 533 | 1,558 | 362 |
|  | With a disability | 465 | 6 | 303 | 156 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 18.96\% | 1.13\% | 19.45\% | 43.09\% |
| Census Tract 2323 | Total | 1,312 | 246 | 693 | 373 |
|  | With a disability | 326 | 0 | 162 | 164 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 24.85\% | 0.00\% | 23.38\% | 43.97\% |
| Location |  |  | Disability by Age |  |  |


|  |  | Total Population | Under 18 Years | $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } 64 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Age 65 and Older |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIKE COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census Tract 1886 | Total | 1,912 | 448 | 1,084 | 380 |
|  | With a disability | 393 | 21 | 222 | 150 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 20.55\% | 4.69\% | 20.48\% | 39.47\% |
| Census Tract 1887 | Total | 1,631 | 367 | 1,008 | 256 |
|  | With a disability | 415 | 27 | 274 | 114 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 25.44\% | 7.36\% | 27.18\% | 44.53\% |
| Census Tract 1888 | Total | 2,423 | 587 | 1,335 | 501 |
|  | With a disability | 509 | 1 | 243 | 265 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 21.01\% | 0.17\% | 18.20\% | 52.89\% |
| Census Tract 1889 | Total | 5,506 | 1,209 | 3,645 | 652 |
|  | With a disability | 945 | 65 | 544 | 336 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 17.16\% | 5.38\% | 14.92\% | 51.53\% |
| Census Tract$1890$ | Total | 7,272 | 1,233 | 5,059 | 980 |
|  | With a disability | 961 | 29 | 649 | 283 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 13.22\% | 2.35\% | 12.83\% | 28.88\% |
| Census Tract 1891 | Total | 7,310 | 1,207 | 5,310 | 793 |
|  | With a disability | 840 | 149 | 462 | 229 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 11.49\% | 12.34\% | 8.70\% | 28.88\% |
| Census Tract 1892 | Total | 3,270 | 712 | 2,104 | 454 |
|  | With a disability | 582 | 53 | 343 | 186 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 17.80\% | 7.44\% | 16.30\% | 40.97\% |
| Census Tract 1893 | Total | 3,890 | 771 | 2,387 | 732 |
|  | With a disability | 1,035 | 45 | 563 | 427 |
|  | Percent with a disability | 26.61\% | 5.84\% | 23.59\% | 58.33\% |

Sourc e: U.S. Census Bureau 2018 ACS 5-Year Survey, Table DP02: Selected Social Characteristic s, and Table S1810 Disability Characteristics

Table 7: Poverty and Income Characteristics by County and Census Tract

| Location | Total Population | Number of Persons In Poverty | Percent of All Persons In Poverty | Median Incomes and Earnings in 2018 Inflation Adjusted Dollars |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Median Household Income | Median Family Income | Median Nonfamily Income | Per Capita Income |
| United States | 317,941,631 | 44,829,770 | 14.10\% | \$60,293 | \$73,965 | \$35,971 | \$32,621 |
| Alabama | 4,785,040 | 837,382 | 17.50\% | \$48,486 | \$62,030 | \$26,388 | \$26,846 |
| Bullock County | 9,978 | 3,133 | 31.40\% | \$32,152 | \$42,550 | \$15,833 | \$20,346 |
| Butler County | 19,734 | 4,637 | 23.50\% | \$39,109 | \$46,312 | \$21,005 | \$20,430 |
| Crenshaw County | 13,656 | 2,144 | 15.70\% | \$39,812 | \$55,687 | \$15,670 | \$23,353 |
| Lowndes County | 10,139 | 2,829 | 27.90\% | \$30,833 | \$41,470 | \$14,704 | \$19,491 |
| Macon County | 18,828 | 4,858 | 25.80\% | \$32,074 | \$47,994 | \$19,983 | \$20,125 |
| Pike County | 33,214 | 8,835 | 26.60\% | \$34,678 | \$51,066 | \$18,475 | \$21,137 |
| SCADC Region* | 105,549 | 26,546 | 25.15\% | \$34,776 | \$47,513 | \$17,612 | \$20,814 |
| BULLOCK COUNTY | 9,978 | 3,133 | 31.40\% | \$32,152 | \$42,550 | \$15,833 | \$20,346 |
| Census Tract 9521 | 1,659 | 713 | 43.00\% | \$20,306 | \$27,120 | \$14,693 | \$13,676 |
| Census Tract 9522 | 5,379 | 2,173 | 40.40\% | \$26,117 | \$33,074 | - | \$18,442 |
| Census Tract 9525 | 2,940 | 253 | 8.60\% | \$49,583 | \$58,380 | \$19,477 | \$27,835 |
| BUTLER COUNTY | 19,734 | 4,637 | 23.50\% | \$39,109 | \$46,312 | \$21,005 | \$20,430 |
| Census Tract 9527 | 1,976 | 433 | 21.90\% | \$51,406 | \$66,515 | \$26,875 | \$24,644 |
| Census Tract 9528 | 1,245 | 224 | 18.00\% | \$51,058 | \$65,341 | \$35,385 | \$28,004 |
| Census Tract 9529 | 2,259 | 637 | 28.20\% | \$39,401 | \$53,017 | \$28,393 | \$19,451 |
| Census Tract 9530 | 1,640 | 384 | 23.40\% | \$41,979 | \$50,217 | \$28,681 | \$21,417 |
| Census Tract 9531 | 3,155 | 1,177 | 37.30\% | \$26,977 | \$30,378 | \$22,727 | \$16,686 |
| Census Tract 9532 | 3,385 | 582 | 17.20\% | \$40,536 | \$43,456 | \$19,010 | \$21,714 |
| Census Tract 9533 | 1,807 | 226 | 12.50\% | \$53,125 | \$56,635 | \$18,947 | \$22,280 |
| Census Tract 9534 | 2,824 | 624 | 22.10\% | \$30,625 | \$37,500 | \$16,974 | \$17,523 |
| Census Tract 9535 | 1,443 | 358 | 24.80\% | \$37,273 | \$48,477 | \$19,375 | \$17,211 |
| CRENSHAW COUNTY | 13,656 | 2,144 | 15.70\% | \$39,812 | \$55,687 | \$15,670 | \$23,353 |
| Census Tract 9634 | 1,939 | 83 | 4.30\% | \$44,280 | \$56,852 | \$20,521 | \$29,236 |
| Census Tract 9635 | 2,031 | 420 | 20.70\% | \$51,369 | \$61,466 | \$15,777 | \$28,496 |
| Census Tract 9636 | 2,958 | 447 | 15.10\% | \$28,571 | \$43,021 | \$13,414 | \$18,691 |
| Census Tract 9637 | 3,721 | 573 | 15.40\% | \$44,939 | \$60,282 | \$21,429 | \$21,873 |
| Census Tract 9638 | 1,584 | 360 | 22.70\% | \$29,728 | \$46,964 | \$14,115 | \$19,565 |
| Census Tract 9639 | 1,423 | 266 | 18.70\% | \$36,705 | \$56,688 | \$16,375 | \$25,988 |


| Location | Total Population | Number of Persons In Poverty | Percent of All Persons In Poverty | Median Incomes and Earnings in 2018 Inflation Adjusted Dollars |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Median Household Income | Median Family Income | Median Nonfamily Income | Per Capita Income |
| LOWNDES COUNTY | 10,139 | 2,829 | 27.90\% | \$30,833 | \$41,470 | \$14,704 | \$19,491 |
| Census Tract 7808 | 3,407 | 756 | 22.20\% | \$30,301 | \$40,000 | \$14,654 | \$18,030 |
| Census Tract 7810 | 2,336 | 600 | 25.70\% | \$36,250 | \$50,833 | \$12,521 | \$21,945 |
| Census Tract 7811 | 1,610 | 601 | 37.30\% | \$24,510 | \$25,900 | \$21,875 | \$19,595 |
| Census Tract 7812 | 2,786 | 875 | 31.40\% | \$36,920 | \$46,250 | \$16,250 | \$19,212 |
| MACON COUNTY | 18,828 | 4,858 | 25.80\% | \$32,074 | \$47,994 | \$19,983 | \$20,125 |
| Census Tract 2314 | 1,464 | 417 | 28.50\% | \$36,667 | \$42,137 | \$24,226 | \$18,980 |
| Census Tract 2315 | 2,627 | 499 | 19.00\% | \$37,031 | \$48,456 | \$19,514 | \$22,666 |
| Census Tract 2316.01 | 1,018 | 116 | 11.40\% | \$54,615 | \$84,435 | \$30,595 | \$39,552 |
| Census Tract 2316.02 | 1,518 | 597 | 39.30\% | \$23,616 | \$32,500 | \$17,232 | \$18,672 |
| Census Tract 2316.03 | 1,157 | 206 | 17.80\% | \$44,750 | \$67,639 | \$22,935 | \$23,875 |
| Census Tract 2317 | 2,429 | 862 | 35.50\% | - | - | \$14,091 | \$7,646 |
| Census Tract 2318 | 935 | 211 | 22.60\% | \$24,130 | \$47,964 | \$16,205 | \$21,737 |
| Census Tract 2319 | 1,355 | 386 | 28.50\% | \$28,380 | \$53,654 | \$20,804 | \$25,665 |
| Census Tract 2320 | 1,173 | 430 | 36.70\% | \$21,761 | \$30,385 | \$10,721 | \$12,923 |
| Census Tract 2321 | 1,387 | 276 | 19.90\% | \$37,098 | \$43,500 | \$30,703 | \$21,782 |
| Census Tract 2322 | 2,453 | 741 | 30.20\% | \$38,667 | \$48,889 | \$30,300 | \$19,919 |
| Census Tract 2323 | 1,312 | 319 | 24.30\% | \$27,143 | \$42,375 | \$17,991 | \$21,685 |
| PIKE COUNTY | 33,214 | 8,835 | 26.60\% | \$34,678 | \$51,066 | \$18,475 | \$21,137 |
| Census Tract 1886 | 1,912 | 333 | 17.40\% | \$40,855 | \$50,900 | \$22,917 | \$24,644 |
| Census Tract 1887 | 1,631 | 323 | 19.80\% | \$41,442 | \$43,403 | \$31,875 | \$21,173 |
| Census Tract 1888 | 2,423 | 363 | 15.00\% | \$56,912 | \$66,406 | \$35,605 | \$24,131 |
| Census Tract 1889 | 5,506 | 2,043 | 37.10\% | \$25,313 | \$33,792 | \$16,835 | \$17,990 |
| Census Tract 1890 | 7,272 | 1,403 | 19.30\% | \$41,542 | \$70,757 | \$19,683 | \$30,987 |
| Census Tract 1891 | 7,310 | 2,953 | 40.40\% | \$24,750 | \$42,083 | \$13,533 | \$12,638 |
| Census Tract 1892 | 3,270 | 661 | 20.20\% | \$44,137 | \$49,036 | \$24,861 | \$21,089 |
| Census Tract 1893 | 3,890 | 984 | 25.30\% | \$35,050 | \$51,923 | \$14,111 | \$19,144 |

Sourc e: U.S. Census Bureau 2018 ACS 5-Year Survey, Table DP03: Selected Ec onomic Characteristics

Table 8: Labor Force and Unemployment Characteristics by County and Census Tract

| Location | Population 16 years and over |  |  | Unemployed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | In Labor Force |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| United States | 257,754,872 | 163,276,329 | 63.3\% | 9,508,312 | 5.8\% |
| Alabama | 3,894,696 | 2,237,164 | 57.4\% | 147,898 | 6.6\% |
| Bullock County | 8,413 | 4,430 | 52.7\% | 490 | 11.1\% |
| Butler County | 15,970 | 8,464 | 53.0\% | 567 | 6.7\% |
| Crenshaw County | 11,106 | 6,006 | 54.1\% | 347 | 5.8\% |
| Lowndes County | 8,097 | 3,907 | 48.3\% | 450 | 11.5\% |
| Macon County | 16,058 | 8,309 | 51.7\% | 1,006 | 12.1\% |
| Pike County | 27,676 | 15,325 | 55.4\% | 1,226 | 8.0\% |
| SCADC Region | 87,320 | 46,441 | 53.2\% | 4,086 | 8.8\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BULLOCK COUNTY | 8,413 | 4,430 | 52.7\% | 490 | 11.1\% |
| Census Tract 9521 | 1,167 | 554 | 47.5\% | 90 | 16.2\% |
| Census Tract 9522 | 4,546 | 2,237 | 49.2\% | 130 | 5.8\% |
| Census Tract 9525 | 2,700 | 1,639 | 60.7\% | 270 | 16.5\% |
| BUTLER COUNTY | 15,970 | 8,464 | 53.0\% | 567 | 6.7\% |
| Census Tract 9527 | 1,798 | 960 | 53.4\% | 4 | 0.4\% |
| Census Tract 9528 | 1,010 | 630 | 62.4\% | 26 | 4.1\% |
| Census Tract 9529 | 1,725 | 1,043 | 60.5\% | 112 | 10.7\% |
| Census Tract 9530 | 1,348 | 829 | 61.5\% | 34 | 4.1\% |
| Census Tract 9531 | 2,406 | 1,113 | 46.3\% | 146 | 13.1\% |
| Census Tract 9532 | 2,592 | 1,456 | 56.2\% | 64 | 4.4\% |
| Census Tract 9533 | 1,521 | 848 | 55.8\% | 25 | 2.9\% |
| Census Tract 9534 | 2,437 | 1,036 | 42.5\% | 101 | 9.7\% |
| Census Tract 9535 | 1,133 | 549 | 48.5\% | 55 | 10.0\% |
| CRENSHAW COUNTY | 11,106 | 6,006 | 54.1\% | 347 | 5.8\% |
| Census Tract 9634 | 1,506 | 852 | 56.6\% | 32 | 3.8\% |
| Census Tract 9635 | 1,628 | 1,024 | 62.9\% | 152 | 14.8\% |
| Census Tract 9636 | 2,382 | 1,157 | 48.6\% | 38 | 3.3\% |
| Census Tract 9637 | 3,129 | 1,753 | 56.0\% | 54 | 3.1\% |
| Census Tract 9638 | 1,284 | 576 | 44.9\% | 50 | 8.7\% |
| Census Tract 9639 | 1,177 | 644 | 54.7\% | 21 | 3.3\% |
| LOWNDES COUNTY | 8,097 | 3,907 | 48.3\% | 450 | 11.5\% |
| Census Tract 7808 | 2,855 | 1,285 | 45.0\% | 106 | 8.2\% |
| Census Tract 7810 | 1,901 | 895 | 47.1\% | 76 | 8.5\% |
| Census Tract 7811 | 1,280 | 614 | 48.0\% | 144 | 23.5\% |
| Census Tract 7812 | 2,061 | 1,113 | 54.0\% | 124 | 11.1\% |


| Location | Population 16 and Older |  |  | Unemployed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | In Labor Force |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number | Number | Number | Percent |
| MACON COUNTY | 16,058 | 8,309 | 51.7\% | 1,006 | 12.1\% |
| Census Tract 2314 | 1,187 | 521 | 43.9\% | 26 | 5.0\% |
| Census Tract 2315 | 2,295 | 1,316 | 57.3\% | 100 | 7.6\% |
| Census Tract 2316.01 | 1,008 | 511 | 50.7\% | 70 | 13.7\% |
| Census Tract 2316.02 | 1,171 | 674 | 57.6\% | 67 | 9.9\% |
| Census Tract 2316.03 | 942 | 592 | 62.8\% | 39 | 6.6\% |
| Census Tract 2317 | 2,457 | 1,145 | 46.6\% | 267 | 23.3\% |
| Census Tract 2318 | 785 | 369 | 47.0\% | 39 | 10.6\% |
| Census Tract 2319 | 1,043 | 590 | 56.6\% | 68 | 11.5\% |
| Census Tract 2320 | 872 | 447 | 51.3\% | 35 | 7.8\% |
| Census Tract 2321 | 1,159 | 732 | 63.2\% | 78 | 10.7\% |
| Census Tract 2322 | 1,979 | 911 | 46.0\% | 133 | 14.6\% |
| Census Tract 2323 | 1,160 | 501 | 43.2\% | 84 | 16.8\% |
| PIKE COUNTY | 27,676 | 15,325 | 55.4\% | 1,226 | 8.0\% |
| Census Tract 1886 | 1,556 | 815 | 52.4\% | 54 | 6.6\% |
| Census Tract 1887 | 1,318 | 675 | 51.2\% | 37 | 5.5\% |
| Census Tract 1888 | 1,859 | 1,089 | 58.6\% | 71 | 6.5\% |
| Census Tract 1889 | 4,472 | 2,454 | 54.9\% | 249 | 10.1\% |
| Census Tract 1890 | 6,323 | 4,411 | 69.8\% | 247 | 5.6\% |
| Census Tract 1891 | 6,228 | 2,826 | 45.4\% | 205 | 7.3\% |
| Census Tract 1892 | 2,666 | 1,517 | 56.9\% | 122 | 8.0\% |
| Census Tract 1893 | 3,254 | 1,538 | 47.3\% | 241 | 15.7\% |

Sourc e: U.S. Census Bureau 2018 ACS 5-Year Survey, Table DP03: Selected Ec onomic Characteristics

Table 9: Households with No Vehicle Available by County and Census Tract

| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 322,903,030 | 119,730,128 | 10,424,934 | 8.71\% | 2.89 | 30,157,103 |
| Alabama | 4,864,680 | 1,860,269 | 115,360 | 6.20\% | 2.70 | 311,117 |
| Bullock County | 10,352 | 3,609 | 423 | 11.72\% | 2.62 | 1,106 |
| Butler County | 20,025 | 6,708 | 520 | 7.75\% | 2.87 | 1,492 |
| Crenshaw County | 13,865 | 5,025 | 332 | 6.61\% | 2.99 | 991 |
| Lowndes County | 10,236 | 4,180 | 562 | 13.44\% | 2.76 | 1,551 |
| Macon County | 19,054 | 7,637 | 989 | 12.95\% | 2.45 | 2,422 |
| Pike County | 33,403 | 11,547 | 899 | 7.79\% | 2.49 | 2,243 |
| SCADC Region | 106,935 | 38,706 | 3,725 | 9.62\% | 2.76 | 9,804 |
| Bullock County | 10,352 | 3,609 | 423 | 11.72\% | 2.62 | 1,106 |
| Census Tract 9521 | 1,659 | 646 | 66 | 10.22\% | 2.57 | 169 |
| Census Tract 9522 | 5,753 | 1,930 | 314 | 16.27\% | 2.98 | 936 |
| Census Tract 9525 | 2,940 | 1,033 | 43 | 4.16\% | 2.85 | 122 |
| Butler County | 20,025 | 6,708 | 520 | 7.75\% | 2.87 | 1,492 |
| Census Tract 9527 | 2,081 | 715 | 69 | 9.65\% | 2.91 | 201 |
| Census Tract 9528 | 1,448 | 507 | 4 | 0.79\% | 2.97 | 12 |
| Census Tract 9529 | 1,245 | 665 | 87 | 13.08\% | 2.46 | 214 |
| Census Tract 9530 | 2,259 | 545 | 12 | 2.20\% | 3.40 | 41 |
| Census Tract 9531 | 3,385 | 963 | 118 | 12.25\% | 2.67 | 315 |
| Census Tract 9532 | 1,807 | 1,269 | 76 | 5.99\% | 2.88 | 219 |
| Census Tract 9533 | 1,640 | 628 | 36 | 5.73\% | 3.01 | 108 |
| Census Tract 9534 | 3,255 | 928 | 95 | 10.24\% | 3.38 | 321 |
| Census Tract 9535 | 2,905 | 488 | 23 | 4.71\% | 3.13 | 72 |
| Crenshaw County | 13,865 | 5,025 | 332 | 6.61\% | 2.99 | 991 |
| Census Tract 9634 | 2,974 | 685 | 46 | 6.72\% | 2.64 | 121 |
| Census Tract 9635 | 3,900 | 649 | 20 | 3.08\% | 2.89 | 58 |
| Census Tract 9636 | 2,041 | 1,126 | 70 | 6.22\% | 3.14 | 220 |
| Census Tract 9637 | 1,588 | 1,351 | 77 | 5.70\% | 2.40 | 185 |
| Census Tract 9638 | 1,939 | 661 | 88 | 13.31\% | 2.83 | 249 |
| Census Tract 9639 | 1,423 | 553 | 31 | 5.61\% | 2.57 | 80 |
| Lowndes County | 10,236 | 4,180 | 562 | 13.44\% | 2.76 | 1,551 |
| Census Tract 7808 | 3,504 | 1,309 | 207 | 15.81\% | 2.68 | 554 |
| Census Tract 7810 | 2,336 | 1,105 | 134 | 12.13\% | 2.11 | 283 |
| Census Tract 7811 | 1,610 | 681 | 104 | 15.27\% | 2.36 | 246 |
| Census Tract 7812 | 2,786 | 1,085 | 117 | 10.78\% | 2.57 | 300 |


| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Macon County | 19,054 | 7,637 | 989 | 12.95\% | 2.45 | 2,422 |
| Census Tract 2314 | 1,464 | 644 | 55 | 8.54\% | 2.27 | 125 |
| Census Tract 2315 | 2,627 | 1,236 | 47 | 3.80\% | 2.13 | 100 |
| Census Tract 2316.01 | 1,032 | 510 | 15 | 2.94\% | 2.02 | 30 |
| Census Tract 2316.02 | 1,547 | 727 | 205 | 28.20\% | 2.13 | 436 |
| Census Tract 2316.03 | 1,157 | 495 | 38 | 7.68\% | 2.34 | 89 |
| Census Tract 2317 | 2,498 | 184 | 10 | 5.43\% | 13.58 | 136 |
| Census Tract 2318 | 935 | 514 | 126 | 24.51\% | 1.82 | 229 |
| Census Tract 2319 | 1,355 | 603 | 79 | 13.10\% | 2.25 | 178 |
| Census Tract 2320 | 1,235 | 493 | 140 | 28.40\% | 2.51 | 351 |
| Census Tract 2321 | 1,387 | 681 | 43 | 6.31\% | 2.04 | 88 |
| Census Tract 2322 | 2,453 | 928 | 102 | 10.99\% | 2.64 | 270 |
| Census Tract 2323 | 1,364 | 622 | 129 | 20.74\% | 2.19 | 283 |
| Pike County | 33,403 | 11,547 | 899 | 7.79\% | 2.49 | 2,243 |
| Census Tract 1886 | 1,631 | 679 | 10 | 1.47\% | 3.01 | 30 |
| Census Tract 1887 | 2,423 | 541 | 46 | 8.50\% | 3.12 | 144 |
| Census Tract 1888 | 5,513 | 776 | 38 | 4.90\% | 2.79 | 106 |
| Census Tract 1889 | 7,448 | 1,978 | 103 | 5.21\% | 2.41 | 249 |
| Census Tract 1890 | 7,310 | 3,085 | 394 | 12.77\% | 3.32 | 1,306 |
| Census Tract 1891 | 3,270 | 2,205 | 153 | 6.94\% | 3.37 | 516 |
| Census Tract 1892 | 3,890 | 969 | 57 | 5.88\% | 2.96 | 169 |
| Census Tract 1893 | 1,918 | 1,314 | 98 | 7.46\% | 2.82 | 277 |

Source: U.S. Census Burea u 2018 ACS 5-Year Survey, Table DP04: Selected Housing Chara cteristic s and Table B25045: Tenure by Vehicles Available by Age of Householder

# Appendix B HSCTP Stakeholder Meeting Documentation 

The 2017 Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan was presented for review at the South Central Alabama Development Commission (SCADC) Board of Directors Meeting on November 20, 2019. Board members were provided with a brief oral summary, a one-page handout and a comment form. Board members were also asked to solicit any comments from their respective jurisdictions to update the plan for 2020. A copy of the SCADC Board Meeting minutes, attendance roster, handout and comment form are included.

A stakeholder meeting for the 2020 Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan (HSCTP) was scheduled for March 26, 2020 but was later cancelled due to COVID-19 shutdowns and social distancing requirements. One-on-one onversations were held with stakeholders in place of the meeting. Copies of the HSCTP Meeting Notice and Meeting Cancellation emails are included.

The Draft 2020 South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan was presented for review at the South Central Alabama Development Commission (SCADC) Executive Committee Meeting on August 26, 2020. The plan was reviewed and a resolution was adopted approving the plan. The attendance roster and resolutions are included.

The Draft 2020 South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan was also presented for review at the Steering Committee Meeting of the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization (SCARPO) on September 28, 2020. Steering Committee members were provided with an executive summary and a powerpoint presentation. The Steering Committee adopted a resolution was adopted approving the plan. The SCARPO Steering Committee Meeting advertisement, email notice, agenda, attendance roster, minutes, handout, presentation and resolution are included.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
Date: $\quad 11-20-19$


## Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan 2020

Funding: Alabama Department of Transportation through FAST (Fixing America's Surface Transportation) Act of 2015 - expires September 30, 2020 America's Transportation Infrastructure Act (ATIA) - $\$ 287$ billion from Highway Trust Fund
Purpose: (1) Identify transportation needs and coordination opportunities specifically for those agencies eligible to receive FAST Act funds.
(2) Identify opportunities for increased coordination with those transportation providers that receive other program funds or are privately operated.

## Objectives:

1. Present an overview of the federally-funded public transportation programs:
2. Analyze the existing distribution of public transportation services;
3. Compare the distribution of public transportation needs to the distribution of services, and produce a clear picture of existing and future gaps in service; and
4. Provide a list of prioritized strategies to establish or improve public transportation services.

Target Population Groups for Transportation and/or Transit Services:

- Senior, or Elderly, Population (Age 65 and Older)
- Disabled Persons
- Persons Living in Poverty
- Unemployed Persons
- Persons with No Vehicle Available


## 2017 HSCTP Transportation and Transit Issues:

- Removal of Employment Barriers
- Existing Transportation Infrastructure
- Services to Special Need Populations
- Expansion of Existing Transit Services


## 2017 Transportation Providers:

- Bullock County Commission (Elderly)
- Butler County Emergency Medical Service
- GEMS Ambulance
- City of Georgiana (Elderly)
- City of Greenville (Elderly)
- Crenshaw County Commission (Elderly)
- City of Brantley (Elderly)
- Town of Fort Deposit (Elderly)
- CARE Ambulance - Hayneville
- Lowndes County Commission (Elderly, Demand-Response Transit)
- Coordination of Existing and Future Transit Services
- Establishing New Transit Systems
- Public Education Regarding Public Transportation
- Funding
- Macon-Russell Community Action Agency, Inc. (Demand-Response Transit)
- Town of Shorter (Elderly)
- City of Tuskegee (Elderly)
- City of Brundidge (Elderly)
- East Central Mental Health/Mental Retardation, Inc. (Disabled)
- CARE Ambulance - Troy
- City of Troy (Elderly)
- Pike Area Transit System (DemandResponse Transit)


## Human Senvices Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 Draft Review Comment Form

Name: $\qquad$ County:

Organization: $\qquad$
Daytime Phone: $\qquad$ Email:

Please identify any unmet transportation needs in your area that are not already addressed and make suggestionsfor how those needscan be resolved.

Tracy Delaney

| From: | Tracy Delaney [dcs@roadrunner.com](mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, October 1, 2020 11:17 AM |
| To: | dcs@roadrunner.com |
| Subject: | FW: Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan Stakeholder Meeting |
| Attachments: | Region 5 HSCTP 2017-sm.pdf |

From: Tracy Delaney [dcs@roadrunner.com](mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com)
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 12:54 PM
To: 'dcs@roadrunner.com' [dcs@roadrunner.com](mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com)
Subject: Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan Stakeholder Meeting
The South Central Alabama Development Commission will host a Stakeholder Meeting for the
Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020
Thursday, March 26, 2020
10:00 AM to 12:00 PM
South Central Alabama Development Commission
5900 Carmichael Place, Montgomery, Alabama 36117

## Topics of discussion will include:

- Transportation Needs and Barriers to Service
- Target Population Sectors
- Traffic Generators
- Regional Transportation Service Priorities
- Opportunities for Coordinated Transportation Services

A copy of the 2017 Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan is attached to this email for your review.

This meeting is especially important for those persons and agencies who utilize Section 5310 and Section 5311 funds to acquire transit vehicles as you must be able to show participation in the HSCTP planning process to apply for transit funds. If you are unable to attend, but would like to be a part of the planning process, please contact me at 334-590-0655 or by email at dcs@roadiunner.com.

Thank you,
Tracy Delaney
South Central Alabama
Development Commission
5900 Carmichael Place

## Tracy Delaney

| From: | Tracy Delaney [dcs@roadrunner.com](mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, October 1, 2020 11:17 AM |
| To: | dcs@roadrunner.com |
| Subject: | FWi Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan Stakeholder Meeting Postponed |

From: Tracy Delaney [dcs@roadrunner.com](mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com)
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2020 8:03 AM
To: 'dcs@roadrunner.com ${ }^{\text {< }}$ dcs@roadrunner.com>
Subject: Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan Stakeholder Meeting Postponed

## The Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan Meeting that was scheduled for Thursday, March 26, 2020 has been POSTPONED until this summer.

The meeting postponement is in response to the State of Alabama's guidelines for socialdistancing during the COVID-19/Coronavirus Pandemic situation. The meeting will be rescheduled at a later date; however, I anticipate being able to hold a HSCTP stakeholder meeting during July. In the meantime, I will be emailing a draft of the 2020 HSCTP at the end of March along with a comment form. Your responses to the draft plan will be maintained to ensure that your participation in the HSCTP planning process is recorded. We will discuss comments and suggestions for updating the strategies and recommendations portion of the plan during the summer meeting in order to finalize the plan.

If you have questions or comments, please contact me at dcsaroadrunner.com.

Sincerely, Tracy Delaney<br>South Central Alabama<br>Development Commission<br>5900 Carmichael Place<br>Montgomery, AL 36117<br>P: 334-475-4181<br>C: 334-590-0655<br>dcs@roadrunner.com

From: Tracy Delaney [mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 1:03 PM

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
DATE: $\quad 8 / 26 / 20$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## RESOLUTION

## Approval of the 2020 South Central Alabama

 Human Services Coordinated Transportation PlanWHEREAS, public transportation and public transit continue to be identified by local citizens in the South Central Alabama Region as primary rural transportation needs and concerns; and

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been developed to reflect the unmet needs and strategies for the provision of coordinated transportation services in the South Central Alabama Region, as of August 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been duly reviewed and discussed by the Board of Directors of the South Central Alabama Development Commission.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this $26^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2020, that the Board of Directors of the South Central Alabama Development Commission does hereby adopt the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 as a guideline for rural public transportation and public transit in the South Central Alabama Region.

Hhefoke foretime
Mayor Fletcher Fountain, Chair
Attest:
$\qquad$

# RPO and HSCTP Needs and Issues Newspaper Advertisement 

## 3 Col $x 6.5$ inch

Please run the ad below on the dates as listed.
The Greenville Advocate -- Wednesday, September 23, 2020
Butler Express -- Wednesday, September 23, 2020
Butler County News -- Thursday, September 24, 2020
Luverne Journal -- Thursday, September 24, 2020
Bonus Express -- Thursday, September 24, 2020
Lowndes Signal -- Thursday, September 24, 2020
The Union Springs Herald -- Thursday, September 24, 2020
Tuskegee News -- Thursday, September 24, 2020
Troy Messenger -- Thursday, September 24, 2020


South Central Alabama
Rural Transportation Planning Organization Announces a Meeting of the RPO Steering Committee


Monday, September 28, 2020 10:30 AM to 1:00 PM
South Central Alabama Development Commission 5900 Carmichael Place, Montgomery, AL 36117 RSVP REQUIRED
All meetings are open to the public and persons interested in rural transportation and transit are encouraged to attend; however, an RSVP is required, and space is very limited due to COVID-19 requirements. To RSVP, please call $334-244-6903$ ext. 141 or send an email to ECrews@scadc.net. Include your name, organization, address, and daytime phone number. If you require special accommodations, please include your request in your response, If unable to attend, you may also submit your rural transportation and transit concerns by email to rpo@scadc.net or by fax to 334-271-1715. For more information on SCARPO activities and available data and reports, go to www.scadc.net and click on RPO under the Planning and Economic Development tab.

# South Central Alabama Rural Transportation <br> Steering Committee Meeting E-mail Notice 

Tracy Delaney

| From: | Tracy Delaney [dcs@roadrunner.com](mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, October 1, 2020 11:19 AM |
| To: | dcs@roadrunner.com |
| Subject: | FW: South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization |

From: Tracy Delaney [dcs@roadrunner.com](mailto:dcs@roadrunner.com)
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2020 5:09 PM
Subject: South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization

Please make plans to attend!
The South Central Alabama Development Commission will host the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization Steering Committee Meeting
(Due to COVID-19 Requirements, only the Steering Committee will be meeting this year.)


Monday, September 28, 2020 10:30 AM to 1:00 PM
South Central Alabama Development Commission 5900 Carmichael Place, Montgomery, Alabama 36117

Lunch Will Be Provided
Please RSVP by Noon on Thursday, September 24th
to Tracy Delaney at 334-590-0655
or by e-mail dcs@roadrunner.com

# South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process Steering Committee and Regional Advisory Committee Meeting 

Monday, September 28, 2020
10:30 AM to 1:00 PM
South Central Alabama Development Commission
5900 Carmichael Place, Montgomery

## AGENDA

I. Call to Order
II. Steering Committee Roll Call and Introductions

Approve Minutes from September 18, 2019 Steering Committee Meeting .3
III. Business

> 1. Communications and Updates
> ALDOT STIP and County Updates
2. Review SCADC RPO FY 2021 Work Program ..... 5
Resolution 20-01 to Approve the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization FY 2021 Work Program
3. Review of the South Central Alabama RPO Guiding Principles. ..... 22
Resolution 20-02 to Approve the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process Guiding Principles
4. Review of the South Central Alabama RPO Public Involvement Plan ..... 28
Resolution 20-03 to Approve the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process Public Involvement Plan
5 Luverne Access Management Study
Presented by Michelle Royals, City of Luverne and Troy Hudson, Southern Engineering Solutions, Inc.
6. Review of the Draft 2020 South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan ..... 32
Resolution 20-04 to Approve the South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan
7. FY 2019-2020 Rural Transportation Needs and Issues Review ..... 39
8. FY 2020-2021 Project Suggestions
IV. Committee Comments
X. Adjourn

South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Consultation Process
Steering Committee Meeting 20
Monday, September 28, 2020 at 10:30 AM
South Central Alabama Development Commission 5900 Carmichael Place, Montgomery

ATTENDANCE ROSTER


SCADC Rural Transportation Planning Process
Steering Committee Meeting Minutes: September 28, 2020

The 20th meeting of the South Central Alabama Development Commission Rural Transportation Planning Program Steering Committee was held in conjunction with a Regional Advisory Committee meeting on September 28, 2018 at 10:30 AM at the offices of South Central Alabama Development Commission in Montgomery, Alabama. Mayor Saint T. Thomas, of Union Springs, chaired the meeting and called the meeting to order. Roll was called for the Steering Committee and a quorum was declared present. Introductions were made and an attendance roster was signed by all present. A copy of the attendance roster is attached and made a part of these minutes. Mayor Thomas asked members to review the minutes from the September 18, 2019 Steering Committee meeting that were included in their agenda package. Commissioner Charlie McAlpine made a motion to approve the minutes as presented of the September 18, 2019 meeting; Mayor Fletcher Fountain seconded the motion. The motion carried.

## Business

During the Communications and Updates portion of the meeting, committee members took the opportunity to update everyone on transportation activities in their respective areas. Mrs. Tracy Delaney then presented the following three documents for review by the Steering Committee: the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process Guiding Principles; the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process Public Involvement Plan; and the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process FY 2021 Work Program. The documents were reviewed and resolutions were presented to approve the Guiding Principles and the Public Involvement Plan. Following the review, Mayor Fountain made a motion to adopt Resolution 20-01 to approve the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization FY21 Work Program; Mr. JD Smith seconded the motion. The motion carried. Mr. Smith made a motion to adopt Resolution 20-02 to approve the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process Guiding Principles; Mr. Dennis McCall seconded the motion. The motion carried. Commissioner McAlpine made a motion to approve Resolution 20-03 to approve the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Process Public Involvement Plan; Mr. Smith seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Mr. Troy Hudson, of Southern Engineering Solutions, Inc., and Ms. Michelle Royals, of the City of Luverne, made a presentation of an access management study for U.S. Highway 331 between Meadowbrook Apartments and Glenwood Road. After considerable discussion, questions and positive response the presentation was concluded. Mrs. Delaney made a presentation of the 2020 South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan. After review and discussion, Commissioner McAlpine made a motion to approve Resolution 20-04 to approve the 2020 South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan; Mr. Smith seconded the motion. The motion carried. Ms. Delaney then presented the list of transportation needs and issues that had been identified through the 2020 Rural Transportation Process. The lists were reviewed by committee members. Committee members stated that many of the items on the lists had been rectified through recent improvements. The needs and issues lists were updated during the meeting.

## Comments, Next Meeting Date and Adjourn

With there being no further items for discussion, Mayor Fountain made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. McCall provided a second. With no further comments, Mayor Thomas adjourned the meeting.

Respectfully submitted, Tracy Delaney

# South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization Steering Committee 

RESOLUTION 20-04
Approval of the South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan

WHEREAS, public transportation and public transit continue to be identified by local citizens in the South Central Alabama Region as primary rural transportation needs and concerns; and

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been developed to reflect the unmet transportation needs and strategies for the provision of coordinated transportation services in the South Central Alabama Region, as of August 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been duly reviewed, discussed adopted by the Board of Directors of the South Central Alabama Development Commission.

WHEREAS, the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 has been duly reviewed and discussed by the Steering Committee of the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this $28^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2020, that the Steering Committee of the South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization does hereby adopt the Region 5 - South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan, 2020 as a guideline for rural public transportation and public transit in the South Central Alabama Region.


Attest:



# REGION 5 South Central Alabama Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan 2020 DRAFT SUMMARY SCADC 

3GUEH CENTRAL ALABAMA DEVELORMENT COMMISSION

5900 Carmichael Place Montgomery, Alabama 36117 334-244-6903 | wiviviscadene

Sincere appreciation is directed to the many citizens and agencies in the South Central Alabama Region, that as transportation providers and transportation users, contributed to the process of making this plan complete. If not for their willingness to answer questions, share information and ideas, and their openness to sharing and coordinating resources, it would have been impossible for this plan to be produced.

The 2015 South Central Alabama Regional Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan (HSCTP), was prepared in response to the federal requirements of the FAST Act. The plan was prepared by the South Central Alabama Development Commission (SCADC) which serves Region 5, encompassing Bullock County, Butler County, Crenshaw County, Lowndes County, Macon County and Pike County,

The purpose of the South Central Alabama Regional Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan is to identify transportation needs and coordination opportunities specifically for those agencies eligible to receive FAST Act funds. Additionally, the plan looks at opportunities for increased coordination with those transportation providers that receive other program funds or are privately operated. Beyond meeting federal funding guidelines, there are four primary objectives of this plan:

1. Present an overview of transportation programs in the South Central Alabama Region;
2. Analyze the existing distribution of public transportation services;
3. Compare the distribution of transportation needs and services to identify gaps in service;
4. Provide a list of prionitized strategies to establish or improve public transportation services,

Of the many barriers that exist in the provision of adequate transportation and transit services in the South Central Alabama Region, the resolution of some have a higher prionity than others. These prionity barriers are listed below:

- General education about existing transportation services and programs;
- Expansion and improvement of existing programs:
- Increasing local funding capacity to provide transportation services; and
- Making transportation services affordable to the region's low-income citizens.


## Regional Analysis of Transportation Dependent Population

In accordance with FAST, demographic data was specifically collected for target population groups, including the elderly, disabled, economically-disadvantaged, and unemployed persons. These population groups have been identified as suffering the most impact from a lack of coordinated transportation and transit systems. Additionally, the SCADC collected data for households that do not have a vehicle available. It is felt that with the low population density found throughout the region, households without a vehicle are also severely impacted by a lack of transportation services.

## Elderly Persons

Regionwide, there are 18,220 age 65 and older, or 17.0 percent of the total 6 -county region population. Butler Country has the highest number and percentage of elderly persons, at 3,806 persons and 19.01 percent of the population. The census tract with the highest percentage of elderly persons is in Macon County Census, with Tract 2316.01 at 32.8 percent. The median age is highest in Lowndes County, at 41.6 , and Crenshaw County, at 41.5 .

## Persons with a Disability

Regionwide, there are 19,426 persons with a disability, or 18.1 percent of the total population. Butler County has the highest percentage of disabled persons, at 20.4 percent; and Pike County has the highest number of disabled persons, at 5,244 persons which is 15.9 percent of the Pike County population. The census tract with the highest percentage of disabled persons is in Macon County Census, with Tract 2314 at 30.1 percent.

## Persons Living in Poverty

There are 26,546 people in the South Central Alabama region, or 25.2 percent of the total population, living in poverty, 14.9 percent of elderly persons and 19.7 percent of all families are living in poverty. Bullock County has the highest percentage of persons in poverty, at 31.4 percent, and Pike County has the highest number of persons in poverty, at 8,835 people ( 26.6 percent). The census tract with the highest percentage of persons living in poverty is Census Tract 9521 in Bullock County Census.

## Unemployed Persons

According to the 2018 census data, 8.8 percent of the region's workforce is unemployed, which is 4,086 persons. The unemployment rate is highest in Macon County, at 12.1 percent, followed by Lowndes County, at 11.5 percent, and Bullock County, at 11.1 percent. Census Tract 7811, in Lowndes County, has the highest percentage of unemployed persons, at 23.5 percent, and, Census Tract 9525, in Bullock County, has the highest number of unemployed persons, at 270 workers.

## Households with No Vehicle

There are 3,725 households in the region, or 9.6 percent of the total households, with no vehicle. It is estimated that there are 9,804 persons in those households; or 9.2 percent of the population does not have access to a vehicle. Of the region's households with workers, 4.1 percent ( 959 households) do not have a vehicle. Lowndes County has the highest percentage of households without a vehicle, at 13.4 percent; and Macon County has the highest number of households without a vehicle, at 989 households. At the census tract level, Tract 2320 in Macon County has the highest percentage at 28.4 percent and Tract 1890 in Pike County, has the highest number at 394 households.

| South Central Alabama Transportation-Dependent Population Summary |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Population Segment | Number | $\%$ of Total |
| Age 65 and over | 18,220 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Persons with a Disability | 19,426 | $18.1 \%$ |
| Persons Below Poverty Level | 26,546 | $25.2 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 4,086 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Households Without a Vehicle | 3,725 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Estimated Persons in HH with a Vehicle | 9,804 | $9.2 \%$ |
| SCADC Region Total Population | 106,935 |  |
| Total Potentially Impacted by UnmetTransportation Needs | 78,082 |  |
| Percent Impacted | $73.0 \%$ |  |




Transportation Providers
Bullock County Commission
Butler County Emergency Medical Service
City of Georgiana
City of Greenville
GEMS Ambulance
Crenshaw County Commission
City of Brantley
Lowndes County Commission Senior Program
CARE Ambulance - Hayneville
Town of Fort Deposit
Lowndes County Commission / West Alabama
Macon County Transportation
City of Tuskegee - Senior Citizens Program
Town of Shorter - Aging Program
City of Brundidge
City of Troy
CARE Ambulance -Troy
Pike Area Transit System
East Central Alabama Mental Health
South Central Alabama Mental Health

## Major Destinations

- Airtek Construction Inc.
- Anderson Peanuts Inc.
- Bonnie Plant Farm
- Bullock County Schools
- Bullock County Correctional Facility
- Bullock County Hospital
- Butler County Schools
- Central Alabama Veterans Health Care System
- CGI, Inc.
- Coastal Forest Products
- Connector Manufacturing
- Cox Container LLC
- Crenshaw Community Hospital
- Crenshaw County Schools
- Dongwon
- Autopart Technology Alabama LLC
- East Central Alabama Mental Health Center
- South Central Alabama Mental Health
- Halla Climate Systems of Alabama
- Hwashin America
- KW Plastics - Troy Facility
- L. V. Stabler Hospital
- Lockheed-Martin
- Macon County Schools
- Piknik Products Co. Inc.
- Production Component Manufacturing
- SABIC Innovative Plastics
- Sejong Alabama LLC
- Sikorsky Support Services Inc.
- Sister Schuberts Homemade Rolls, Inc.
- Smart of Alabama, LLC
- Sourcecorp Inc.
- South Alabama Electric Coop
- Southern Classic Food Group LLC
- Southern Springs Nursing Home
- Superior Wood Components
- Supreme Oil South
- The City of Greenville
- Troy Cable Inc.
- Troy Regional Medical Center
- Troy University
- Tuskegee University
- Wal-Mart Distribution Center
- Wayne Farms
- WestPoint Home
- Wiley Sanders Truck Lines

HSCTP Needs / Issues

- Removal of Employment Barriers
- Existing Transportation Infrastructure
- Services to Special Need Populations
- Expansion of Existing Transit Services
- Coordination of Existing and Future Transit Services
- Establishing New Transit Systems
- PublicEducation Regarding Public Transportation
- Funding


## Removal of Employment <br> Barriers

Exiting
Transportation
Infrastructure

Services to Special Needs

Population

Expansion
and
Improvement
of Existing
Transit
Services and
Programs

## Coordination

of Existing
and Future
Transit
Services

Public
Education about Transit Services and

Programs

- Work with major employers to initiate incentive programs for carpooling and ride-sharing.
- Reach unemployed persons in terms of location and awareness of services. The lack of transportation services cannot continue to be the barrier to employment.
- Establish transportation for employment that extends beyond county boundaries.
- Specifically target transportation system expansion to meet the needs of local and nearby employers in terms of location and hours, including shift work.
- Work with major employers to initiate incentive programs for carpooling and ride-sharing.
- Reach memployed persons in terms of location and awareness of services. The lack of transportation services cannot continue to be the barrier to employment.
- Establish transportation for employment that extends beyond county boundaries.
- Specifically target transportation system expansion to meet the needs of local and nearby employers in terms of location and hours, including shift work
- Work with major employers to initiate incentive programs for carpooling and ride-sharing.
- Reach unemployed persons in terms of location and awareness of services. The lack of transportation services cannot continue to be the barrier to employment.
- Establish transportation for employment that extends beyond county boundaries.
- Specifically target transportation system expansion to meet the needs of local and nearby employers in terms of location and hours, including shift work
- Work with major employers to initiate incentive programs for carpooling and ride-sharing.
- Reach unemployed persons in terms of location and awareness of services. The lack of transportation services cannot continue to be the barrier to employment.
- Establish transportation for employment that extends beyond county boundaries.
- Specifically target transportation system expansion to meet the needs of local and nearby employers in terms of location and hours, including shift work
- Work with major employers to initiate incentive programs for carpooling and ride-sharing.
- Reach unemployed persons in terms of location and awareness of services. The lack of transportation services cannot continue to be the barrier to employment.
- Establish transportation for employment that extends beyond county boundaries.
- Specifically target transportation system expansion to meet the needs of local and nearby employers in terms of location and hours, including shift work
- Work with major employers to initiate incentive programs for carpooling and ride-sharing.
- Reach unemployed persons in terms of location and awareness of services. The lack of transportation services cannot continue to be the barrier to employment.
- Establish transportation for employment that extends beyond county boundaries.
- Specifically target transportation system expansion to meet the needs of local and nearby employers in terms of location and hours, including shift work
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## TODAY'S AGENDA

1. Communications and Updates
2. SCADC RPO FY 2021 Work Program
3. Guiding Principles
4. Public Involvement Plan
5. City of Luverne Access Management Study
6. Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan
7. FY 2017 Rural Transportation Needs and Issues
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## FY 2021 Work Program Products

- Updated Guiding Principles
- Updated Public Involvement Plan
- Fiscal Year 2022 Work Program
- South Central Alabama Rural Transportation Planning Organization Flscal Year 2021 Summary Report
- Rural Transportation Needs, Issues and Long-Range Planning Report
- Rural Transportation Public Involvement Report
6

SCADC RPO Guiding Principles:
Last Reviewed and Amended September 18,2019
1.0 Process Name, Organization, Guldance and Study Area
2.0 Organizational Structure
1 Steering Committee

6 County Advisory Committe es
6 County Stakeholder Groups
3.0.General Pollicies
4.0 Amendments
5.0 steering Commitee Guiding Principles
6.0 Advisory Committee Guiding Prineiples
7.0 Coordinator Eulding Principles.
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Public Involvement Strategies:


G Al corrimge menthes oper to miomitic.
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South Central Alabama
Human Services Coordinated
Transportation Plan, 2020

> "Coordinated Transportation
> Plans" for public, private, and non-profit transportation needs

FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION (FAST) ACT, 2016
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SCADC RPO Public Involvement Plan:
Lest Reviewed and Amended September 18, 2020
VISION STATEMENT
Establish a continuous and on-yding rural transportation planning process aiding in the identification of transporation
needs and lssues

GOALS

1. Open Process
III. Notice of Activities
III. Notice of Activies IV miltee Response
V. Inclusive Process

STRATEGIES
PERFORMANCE MEASURES
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Federal Requirements

- Assessment of available services that identify current providers in public, private, and non-profit sectors
Assessment of transportation needs for individuals with disabilities, older adults and low income individualscan be based on experiences of participants or data collection and identified gaps in service
-Strategies and/or activities and/or projects to address the gaps between current services and needs as well as opportunities to improve efficiency of services
Priorities for implementation based on resources from multiple sources, time and feasibility for implementation
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| HSCTP Population Density and Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tatatea | 2010 |  | 2018 |  | Peteretcrange |  |
|  |  | Potald | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ \text { Persons per } \\ \text { Square Mile } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  | Pauadion |  |
| Unites Staes | 353, 0 0643 | 30.746,538 | 87.42 | 32, 200, 30 | 9142 | 46\% |  |
| Albama | 50.64533 | 478,736 | 9438 | 4.884600 | 96.05 | 1.8\% |  |
| SCADC Rejon | 278988 | ${ }^{11,417}$ | ${ }^{3} 79$ | ${ }^{106}$ | ${ }^{3818}$ | 40\% |  |
| Bulck Canty | 62514 | 10.914 | 17.46 | 10.352 | 16.56 | 51\% |  |
| Suter County | 33416 | 20.94 | 6288 | 20.025 | 59.3 | -44\% |  |
| Crenshav Cantry | 28590 | 13.96 | 48.84 | 13.825 | 48.50 | -0.3\% | -0.36 |
| Commes canty | 5677.5 | 11.299 | 1990 | 10.236 | 18.03 | .24\% | -248 |
| Mexen canty | 31438 | 21.452 | 6823 | 19,54 | 6061 | -112\% | -12.20 |
| Pike count | 6724 | 32880 | 483 | 33.43 | 48.67 | 1.5\% | 1.5\% |
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HSCTP 2020: Purpose

- Identify transportation needs particularly among target populations, including elderly, disabled, and low income
Identify current transportation providers in public, nonprofit and private sector
- Establish goals and strategies for meeting needs and coordinating resources of various agencies
- Prioritize strategies for improving transportation
including grants for transit improvements
- Mandated by FAST Act (2015-Present)
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| HSCTP Total Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population, Census, April 1, 2010 | Population Estimate, 2018 | \% Change 2010 to 2019 |
| United States | 308,745,538 | 328,239,523 | 6.3\% |
| Alabama | 4.779.736 | 4.903.185 | 2.6\% |
| Bullock County | 10.914 | 10.101 | -7.4\% |
| Butter County | 20,947 | 19.448 | -7.2\% |
| Crenstaw County | 13,906 | 13.772 | -1.0\% |
| Loundes County | 11,299 | 9,726 | -13.9\% |
| Macon County | 21,452 | 18,068 | -15.8\% |
| Pike County | 32,999 | 33,114 | 0.7\% |
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| Target Population: Elderly |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Total Population | Population Age 65 and Older |  | Median Age |
| United States | 322,903,030 | 49,238,581 | 15.25\% | 37.9 |
| Alabama | 4,864,680 | 783,832 | 16.11\% | 38.9 |
| Bullock County | 10,352 | 1.616 | 15.61\% | 39.6 |
| Buter County | 20.025 | 3.806 | 19.01\% | 40.7 |
| Crenshaw County | 13.865 | 2.542 | 18.33\% | 41.5 |
| Lowindes County | 10,236 | 1,828 | 17.86\% | 41.6 |
| Macon County | 18.054 | 3.542 | 18.59\% | 38.0 |
| Piie County | 33.403 | 4.886 | 14.63\% | 30.8 |
| SCADC Region | 106,935 | 18,220 | 17.0\% | 38.7 |
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| Lceatun | Medien Incomes ano Earings r20'0 Inf aticn Majusted Delars |  |  |  |  | Posalaice Pectow Povety Leed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mocian | Neden | Meden | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Hemans } \\ \hline \text { En } \end{array}$ | Fer | ${ }^{4 \prime}$ Foup |  | $\begin{aligned} & A g=66 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fanle |
|  |  |  |  | Murans |  | Murom | Psazar | Pmom | Pasemil |
| In:ed Saxes | \$20,288 | $573 \times 5$ | \$5.977 | 532,489 | \$2.62 | 1180070 | 1410s | 9.00 K | 12108 |
| Poterne | \$18468 | 220000 | sec, 393 | 50.36 |  | 837.32 | 1755\% | 10.00\% | 12005 |
| 2.lax Coums | SP2,120 | \$1250c | \$15,833 | sk, 603 | гес,36 | 3133 | 31.435 | 20.808 | 910.038 |
| 3.ter Canty | \$3,109 | 5463.312 | s21,053 | 58,69 | R20, 30 | 4.69 | 223508 | 13108 | 18.505 |
| Crentay Oxiry | $53 \times 812$ | 880 E6\% | S15,E60 | 55, 52 | 下2:323 | 214 | 15.05 | 11.00 | 10.354 |
| arides cants | \$30833 | 511.470 | \$14.701 | 24atis | W19, 19 | 288 | 27.308 | $1800 \times$ | 23.1058 |
| 4econ Carry | Sce074 | \$17894 | S19,983 | S2. 181 | \$20.125 | 488 | 258085 | 13.70\% | 18.8005 |
| Weoculy | \$3,679 | 801,06\% | S19,475 | S2: 183 | \$221.177 | 886 | 20.008 | $12.00 \times$ | 14.038 |
| 5 ax. Pegar | S4,/76 | S4,013 | 31,612 | 54,966 | K2, 6,614 | 2,006 | 23.158 | 14.53x | 19.728 |
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Target Population: Poverty
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Target Population: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Target Population: No Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Total } \\ \text { Population } \end{array}$ | Occupied Housing Units | Total Households with No Vehicle Available |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Household } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ | People Impacted by Lack of Vehicle |  |
|  |  |  | Number | \% |  | Nunter | \% |
| United States | 322,903,030 | 119,730,128 | 10,424,934 | 8.71\% | 2.89 | 30,157,103 | 9.34\% |
| Alabama | 4.864,680 | 1.860,269 | 115.360 | 6.20\% | 2.70 | 311.117 | 6.40\% |
| Bullock County | 10,352 | 3.609 | 423 | 11.72\% | 2.62 | 1.106 | 10.69\% |
| Butler County | 20,025 | 6,708 | 520 | 7.75\% | 2.87 | 1.492 | 7.45\% |
| Crenshaw County | 13.865 | 5.025 | 332 | 6.61\% | 2.99 | 991 | 7.15\% |
| Lowndes County | 10,236 | 4.180 | 562 | 13.44\% | 2.76 | 1.551 | 15.15\% |
| Macon County | 19,054 | 7.637 | 989 | 12.95\% | 2.45 | 2.422 | 12.71\% |
| Pike County | 33,403 | 11,547 | 899 | 7.79\% | 2.49 | 2.243 | 6.71\% |
| SCADC Region | 106,935 | 38,706 | 3,725 | 9.82\% | 2.76 | 9,804 | 9.17\% |
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Target Population: No Vehicle
There are 3,725 households in the region, or 9.6 percent of the total houscholds, with no vehicle It is estimated that there are 9.804 persons in those households; or 9.2 percent of the
population does not have access to a venicle.
Of the region's households with workers, 4.1 percent (958
households) do not have a vehicle.
Lowndes County has the highest percentage of households
without a vehicle, at 13.4 percent
minout a venicie, at 13.4 percent
Macon County has the highest number of households without a
vehicle, at 989 households Census Tract 23
at 28.4 percent
Census Tract 1890 in Pike County, has the highest number at 394
households. households.
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| Transportation Providers |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4. Erilok Cranty Cormisarn <br> 2 Buter County Emargeny Madical Stace <br> 3 Cily cf Gexalana <br> 4 City di Grachuile <br> 5 GEMS Amoulance <br> 6 Crenstan Caunty Cormicsion <br> 7 Ciy a Erartley <br> 8. Lomries Cxunty Carnussion Sevar Frogran <br> 9. Lfester Rosponse of Alataria atia CARE Ambllone - Hantanle <br> 10. Tawn of For Deprest <br> 11 Lownos Canny Conmssion/Wed Alatama Rurel | 12 Maron Coxty Traspontalion <br> 13. City of Tuskagee - Senar Citiens Progran <br> 14 Tawnot Storien-Agn3 Progem <br> 15 cily of Enndage <br> 16 cily of Troy <br> 17 Lfestar Resporse of Nedoma do <br> CARE ATtelare-TIOY <br> 19 Phe Prea TransI System <br> 19 Fas Certra Achanva Mertal Heallh <br> 20 South Cemrid Alahama Mertê Healih |
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