


Alabama Statewide Airport Pavement Management Program Update

Franklin Field (07A)

Final Report

February 2022





Submitted to

Alabama Aeronautics Bureau

Submitted by





Pavement Management - Evaluation - Testing - Design

ALABAMA STATEWIDE AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

Franklin Field, Union Springs (07A)

FINAL REPORT


Prepared For:

Alabama Aeronautics Bureau 1409 Coliseum Blvd. Montgomery, AL 36110

Prepared By:

ALL ABOUT PAVEMENTS, INC. 205 Ramblewood Drive Chatham, Illinois 62629

February 2022



Executive Summary

The Jviation Inc. team, which included All About Pavements, Inc., (API) was awarded a contract by the " " O \ u in 2018 to update the existing Alabama Statewide Airport Pavement Management Program (APMP). The scope of this project includes the airside pavement network at Franklin Field (07A).

The following APMP tasks were completed to achieve the project objectives at 07A:

- Ø Update the PAVER work history with records review information provided by ALDOT
- Ø Conduct a visual pavement condition survey of the airfield pavements
- Ø Update the PAVER database with inventory and condition data
- Ø Update Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) policies and unit costs
- Ø Develop a 7-Year Pavement Capital Improvement Program (PCIP) with associated cost estimates

ES.1 Pavement Inventory

There are 5 branches and 6 sections within 07A approximately 0.53 million square feet (sf). Figure ES-1 shows the distribution of the pavement network by surface type and branch use.




Figure ES-1: Pavement Area (sf) by Surface Type and Branch Use.

ES.2 Pavement Condition

Visual pavement inspections were conducted in November 2019 using the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) method as specified in ASTM D5340-12 and FAA AC 150/5380-6C. The PCI is a numerical rating

area-weighted network PCI (AW PCI) for the 07A pavement network is 99 Good condition. The network area-weighted pavement age (AW Age) is 2 years.

Table ES-1 is a listing of the section PCI values and ratings.

Section PCI Branch ID Surface PCI Name Area, sf ID Category A01 01 AAC 44,700 100 Good Apron 01 R1432 **Runway 14-32** 01 AAC 293,440 100 Good R1432 **Runway 14-32** 02 107,200 Good AC100 TΑ Taxiway A 01 AAC 15,030 100 Good THANG01 Taxiway Hangar 01 01 AC 32,181 96 Good TTRW14 Taxiway Turnaround RW14 AC32,934 100 Good 01

Table ES-1: 07A Section PCI Values and Ratings.

ES.3 Pavement Maintenance and Repair Funding Levels

The PAVER database was updated with 2019 condition data, maintenance and repair (M&R) policies, and unit costs; which were then used to evaluate the effect of multiple funding levels on the overall future pavement condition. Figure ES-2 presents the forecasted 07A network PCI values for each funding level. Since the overall PCI is 100, all scenarios have the same effect on future condition, with no repairs identified.




Figure ES-2: M&R Funding Levels.

ES.4 Pavement Capital Improvement Program (PCIP)

The analysis output from the unlimited funding budget scenario was used as a starting point in developing the PCIP. For this scenario, sections were grouped into projects to allow for a logical construction sequence. Table ES-2 summarizes the 7-year PCIP, which has an estimated total cost of approximately \$0.34 million. These recommendations are based on a network-level evaluation. Project-level evaluations should be conducted prior to developing design and bid package documents.

Project Year	CIP Project	Total Project Cost	Total Project Area, sf	AWPCI Before	AWPCI After
2023	07A_23-01_Runway 14-32 Surface Treatment	\$304,810	433,574	96	98
2023	07A_23-02_Taxiway Hangar Preservation	\$29,927	32,181	87	95
	Total	\$334,737			

Table ES-2: Summary of Pavement Capital Improvement Program.

In addition to the major rehabilitation needs that are identified in the PCIP, PAVER was used to develop maintenance activities to repair specific PCI distresses in Year 1. The estimated costs for these maintenance activities are \$2,024 as summarized in Table ES-3.

Policy Work Description Work Unit Work Cost

Patching - AC Full-Depth

Crack Sealing - AC

Preventive

Table ES-3: Summary of Localized Maintenance Plan.

\$2,002

\$22

80

6

SqFt

Ft

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTE	RODUCTION	1-1
	1.1. 1.2. 1.3.	OVERVIEW	1-1
2		FIELD PAVEMENT INVENTORY	
	2.1.	Introduction	2-1
	2.2.	Pavement Inventory	
	2.3.	CLIMATIC CONDITIONS	2-1
	2.4.	Pavement Network Definition	2-2
	2.5.	Inventory Summary	2-3
3	PAV	EMENT CONDITION	3-1
	3.1.	Introduction	3-1
	3.2.	PAVEMENT CONDITION RATING METHODOLOGY	3-1
	3.3.	DISTRESS TYPES	3-2
	3.4.	Additional PCI-based Indices	
	3.5.	PCI Survey Results	
	3.6.	PCC PAVEMENTS	3-5
4	PAV	EMENT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	4-1
	4.1.	Introduction	4-1
	4.2.	Performance Modeling	4-1
	4.3.	CRITICAL PCI VALUES	4-3
	4.4.	M&R Policies and Unit Costs	
	4.5.	PAVEMENT CIP DEVELOPMENT	4-4
	4.6.	PAVEMENT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	4-6

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Average Annual Temperatures and Rainfall for 07A	2-2
Table 2.2: PCI Sampling Rate for AC Surfaces.	2-3
Table 2.3: 07A Pavement Branches.	2-3
Table 2.4: 07A Pavement Age.	2-3
Table 3.1: Pavement Condition Index Rating Scale.	3-2
Table 3.2: Section PCI	3-5
Table 4.1: M&R Activities and Unit Costs.	4-4
Table 4.2: Summary of M&R Funding Level Analyses	4-6
Table 4.3: Summary of 7-Year PCIP by Project.	4-7
Table 4.4: Summary of 7-Year PCIP by Project and Section.	
Table 4.5: Summary of Year-1 Maintenance Plan	4-8
LIST OF FIGURES	1.0
Figure 1.1: Pavement Management Concept.	
Figure 2.1: Franklin Field.	
Figure 2.2: 07A Pavement Area by Surface Type.	
Figure 2.3: 07A Pavement Area by Branch Use.	
Figure 3.1: FOD Potential Rating Scale	
Figure 3.2: Pavement Condition by Branch Use.	
Figure 3.3: Pavement Condition by Percent of Area.	
Figure 4.1: PCI Forecasting.	
Figure 4.2: Family Curves.	
Figure 4.3: Budget Analysis Process	

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Pavement Inventory Report

Appendix B: PMP Maps

B1: Inventory Maps

B1A: Branch Identification B1B: Section Identification B1C: Sample Unit Layout B1D: Pavement Type B1E: Branch Use

B1F: Pavement Age

B2: Surface Condition Maps

B2A: 7-Color PCI B2B: 3-Color PCI B2C: FOD Rating

B2D: Survey Photo Locations

B3: Pavement Capital Improvement Program (PCIP) Maps

B3A: 2027 Forecasted PCI without PCIP

B3B: Repair Type

B3C: PCIP Recommendations

Appendix C: Overview of Pavement Distresses

Appendix D: Detailed Pavement Condition Data (electronic version only)

Appendix E: Distress Summary Report

Appendix F: Pavement Condition Reports

F1: Section Forecasted Pavement Condition Rating

F2: Branch PCI Rating F3: Branch FOD Rating

Appendix G: Safety and Preventive Maintenance Policies

Appendix H: M&R Unit Costs

Appendix I: Pavement Capital Improvement Program (PCIP)

11: CIP Summary

12: Year 1 Maintenance Plan

Appendix J: USB Thumb Drive FINAL ONLY

- Final Report in PDF format
- Geo-referenced Field Photos

1 Introduction

1.1. Overview

u ° O \ u ° and ° 4 general aviation airports

throughout the State. ALDOT implemented an Airport Pavement Management Program (APMP) in 2008 using the PAVER system. ALDOT awarded a project in 2018 to Jviation Inc. (Jviation) to update the System Plan and conduct an Economic Analysis for the Alabama airports. The scope of work also included an update of the APMP for 59 general aviation airports, which was conducted by All About Pavements, Inc., (API), a Jviation team member.

With this update of the APMP, the Alabama airports continue to be eligible for FAA funding for major pavement rehabilitation work under the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) since an APMP meets the pavement maintenance management requirements described in Appendix A of AC 150/5380-6C.

This report discusses the evaluation of the airside pavements at Franklin Field (07A), the current and forecasted pavement condition, and the development of the Pavement Capital Improvement Program (PCIP).

1.2. Work Scope

The goals of the Alabama Statewide Airport Pavement Management Update program are as follows:

- Ø Conduct a visual pavement inspection of the asphalt surfaced pavements for 59 of the 74 general aviation airports in Alabama.
- Ø Based on the visual inspection analysis results, develop a 7-year PCIP for each airport.

The scope of work is as shown below:

- Ø Conduct a Records Review
- Ø Update Pavement Network Definition
- Ø Conduct Pavement Condition Surveys
- Ø Update and customize existing APMP PAVER database
- Ø Develop PCIP and associated project cost estimates
- Ø Prepare Draft and Final Reports
- Ø Develop a web-based viewer for reporting APMP data

As required in the Scope of Work, a detailed pavement condition survey was not conducted for any Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) aprons and PCC taxiways longer than 2,000 ft. Instead, a condition 8 7 h overall pavement condition.

The deliverable products include a PAVER 7.0 database, individual airport evaluation reports, a statewide summary report, and the web viewer. The 07A report will be one of the 59 individual airport reports that will be "O) \ u

1.3. Pavement Management Concept

An APMP provides an integrated framework for comprehensive evaluation and decision making for managing airfield pavements. The essential components of an effective APMP provide for an objective evaluation of the condition of existing pavements, identification of short-term and long-range major rehabilitation work, necessary improvements in the pavement structural capacity, and the recurring maintenance work that should be completed each year. The APMP will also provide a budget for each of these types of pavement construction.

Historically, most organizations have made maintenance decisions based on past experience, without the benefit of documented data or analysis. This practice does not encourage life cycle cost analysis, nor the evaluation of cost effectiveness of alternate scenarios, and can lead to the inefficient use of funds. With limited allocated funding for Maintenance and Repair (M&R) Program projects, a defined procedure for setting priorities and schedules that will maximize the funds available is more important than ever.

In examining the lifespan of a 2015 years. After that point, the rate of deterioration of pavements accelerates sharply as the age of the pavement increases, and within five years, the pavement may deteriorate to the point of failure. In order to extend pavement life, maintenance and repairs need to be scheduled and performed before u

h#@

Pavement Condition Index (PCI) is between 60 and 70 for general aviation airports. If the work is done before deterioration accelerates, the cost of rehabilitation can be reduced as shown in Figure 1.1.




Figure 1.1: Pavement Management Concept.

2 Airfield Pavement Inventory

2.1. Introduction

07A is a General Aviation (GA) airport located approximately 5 miles west of Union Springs. The airport was activated in May 1963 and is owned by Bullock County and managed by the Franklin Field Airport Authority. Figure 2.1 shows an aerial image of the airport.



Figure 2.1: Franklin Field.

(Source: Google Earth)

2.2. Pavement Inventory

07A consists of one runway, a connector taxiway, and an apron. The total pavement area is approximately 0.53 million square feet. All pavements at 07A are Asphalt Concrete (AC) surfaced. A complete listing of the pavement sections is included in Appendix A. Runway 14-32 is 5,002 ft. long and 80 ft. wide.

A records search was undertaken to identify any preservation or rehabilitation work that has occurred at 07A since the last APMP update in 2009. The records for the runway extension in 2019 that were provided by ALDOT were reviewed, and the PAVER database was updated with work history information.

2.3. Climatic Conditions

Table 3.1 provides a summary of the climatic data for the geographic region that includes 07A. As the table shows, the pavements at 07A are not exposed to any freeze-thaw cycles. The mean air temperature for January ranges from an average low of 34 degrees °F to an average high of 57 degrees °F. The average annual rainfall at 07A is near 56 inches.

Table 2.1: Average Annual Temperatures and Rainfall for 07A.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
High Temp (°F)	57	62	69	75	82	88	90	89	85	77	68	60
Low Temp (°F)	34	37	43	49	58	66	70	69	64	51	43	36
Precip. (in)	5.5	4.9	6.6	4.2	4.1	5.	5.4	3.6	4.3	2.9	4.6	4.7

Source: www.intellicast.com

2.4. Pavement Network Definition

A key element in developing an APMP system is defining the pavement network, which is the process of r that facilitates inspection and M&R planning.

The 07A network (e.g. all airside pavements) is then divided into branches, which are a readily identifiable part of the pavement system and have distinct functions. For airports, branches typically consist of individual runways, taxiways and aprons. Figure B1A in Appendix B shows the branches at 07A.

Once branches have been defined, pavement evaluation and analysis techniques require the airfield pavement system to be broken up into discrete sections. A management unit that is used when considering the application and selection of maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) treatments, and is defined in Section 2.1.8 of ASTM D 5340-12 as "a contiguous pavement area having uniform construction, maintenance, usage history, and condition. A section should also have the same traffic volume and load intensity." A complete list of the pavement inventory and the corresponding section designations are included in Appendix A. Figure B1B presents the section layout.

To facilitate the visual survey of the airside pavement, each section is further subdivided into conveniently defined sub-section areas, or sample units. Similar sizing is critical as studies have found that maintaining the size of the sample units to within 40 percent of the established norm may reduce the standard error of the average PCI values. To meet that criteria, ASTM recommends that sample units for asphalt pavements be 5,000 square feet ($\pm 2,000$).

Table 2.2 was used as a guideline in developing sampling rates that reflect typical rates that are used for other large pavement networks. In general, this sampling rate will not provide a 95% confidence level with a standard error of 5 PCI points. A higher level of sampling is recommended before a project-level rehabilitation design is developed for a pavement section or facility.

Sample units that include a one-time occurrence of a distress (i.e. a large patch) or an unusual severity or quantity of a

the ASTM D5340 PCI procedure. This allows the PCI to be calculated without extrapolating the aberrant distress throughout the section as a whole. In Appendix B, Figure B1C shows the sample unit layout for 07A.

Table 2.2: PCI Sampling Rate for AC Surfaces.

Total Samples	Samples to Inspect
1	1
2	2
3 6	3
7 13	4
14 39	5
> 39	15 percent, but less than 12

2.5. Inventory Summary

There are 5 branches (facilities) at 07A that include 6 pavement sections and a total area of approximately 0.53 million square feet of paved surfaces, as shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3: 07A Pavement Branches.

Branch ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Area, sf	Number of Sections
A01	Apron 01	APRON	44,700	1
R1432	Runway 14-32	RUNWAY	400,640	2
TA	Taxiway A	TAXIWAY	15,030	1
THANG01	Taxiway Hangar 01	TAXIWAY	32,181	1
TTRW14	Taxiway Turnaround RW14	TAXIWAY	32,934	1
		Total	525,485	6

Table 2.4 shows the distribution of airfield pavement by age with the area-weighted age being 8 years for all airside pavements at 07A.

Table 2.4: 07A Pavement Age.

Age (Years)	Number of Sections	Percent of Area	Area, sf
0 5	4	89	465,755
6 10	0	0	0
11 15	0	0	0
16 20	0	0	0
> 20	2	11	59,730

Figure 2.2 shows the distribution by surface type. Figure 2.3 presents the distribution by pavement use (e.g. runway, taxiway, and apron).





Figure 2.2: 07A Pavement Area by Surface Type.





Maps B1D, B1E, and B1F show the pavement type, branch use, and pavement age, respectively.

3 Pavement Condition

3.1. Introduction

A visual PCI survey of the airside pavements at 07A was conducted in order to assist in the development of a realistic PCIP. The PCI survey measures and records pavement distresses that exist within each of the inspected sample units. This survey was conducted in November 2019 by a 2-person team. The survey was performed in accordance with the methods described in ASTM D 5340-12 and FAA AC 150/5380-7B, using the sampling rates from Chapter 2 of this API report.

During the pavement survey, Quality Control (QC) and data verification were performed on both the individual distresses and the calculated section PCI values. QC included the following activities;

- Ø Review of distress quantities to identify data entry errors (100% review at the sample unit level). General guidance was used from ASTM D5340-12, section 13, which addresses the precision of distress quantities that are recorded during PCI surveys.
- Ø Duplicate surveys were performed to ensure consistency between each of the inspectors in a 2-person PCI survey team.

3.2. Pavement Condition Rating Methodology

u h#@ rface condition. It provides insight into the causes of each distress, and whether the distress is primarily caused by load, climatic conditions, and other material related deficiencies. The PCI is a numerical rating (on a scale of 0 to 100) that is based on the type, severity and quantity of each distress that is found in an inspected sample unit.

The PCI survey results are displayed using seven categories and ratings in accordance with the ASTM, but can also be presented using a simplified 3-category rating system for use in comparing with other distress related indices, as shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Pavement Condition Index Rating Scale.

	Simplified PCI Color Legend	ASTM PCI Color Legend	PCI Range	PCI Ratings and Definition
	Color Legeria	Logona	Range	
G00D			86-100	GOOD: Pavement has minor or no distresses and should require only routine maintenance.
05			71-85	SATISFACTORY: Pavement has scattered low-severity distresses that should require only routine maintenance.
FAIR			56-70	<u>FAIR</u> : Pavement has a combination of generally low- and medium-severity distresses. Near-term maintenance and repair needs may range from routine to major.
			41-55	<u>POOR</u> : Pavement has low-, medium-, and high-severity distresses that probably cause some operational problems. Near-term M&R needs range from routine to major. requirement for
POOR			26-40	<u>VERY POOR</u> : Pavement has predominantly medium- and high- severity distresses that cause considerable maintenance & operational problems. Near-term M&R needs will be major.
PO(11-25	SERIOUS: Pavement has mainly high-severity distresses that cause operational restrictions; immediate repairs are needed.
			0-10	<u>FAILED</u> : Pavement deterioration has progressed to the point that safe aircraft operations are no longer possible; complete reconstruction is required.

3.3. Distress Types

The ASTM D5340 standard considers 17 distresses, which tend to fall into one of the following four cause categories:

- <u>Description</u>

 <u>Output</u>

 <u>Description</u>

 <u>Output</u>

 <u>Description</u>

 <u>Output</u>

 <u>Description</u>

 <u>D</u>
- Ø <u>Climate and durability related</u>: AC distresses include bleeding, block cracking, joint reflection cracking, longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking, swelling, raveling, and weathering; PCC distresses include blowongitudinal cracking, pop-outs, pumping, scaling, shrinkage cracks, and joint and corner spalling.
- Ø Moisture & Drainage related: AC distresses include alligator cracking, depressions, potholes and swelling; PCC distresses include corner breaks, divided slabs and pumping.
- Ø Other factors: Oil spillage, jet blast erosion, bleeding, patching and concrete slab joint faulting.

As described above, distress may have more than one cause. For example, depressions may be caused by incorrect compaction during construction, or by subgrade softening due to environmental factors. In addition, a distress may be initiated by one cause but may progress to a distress of higher severity by another cause. Therefore, engineering judgment is critical in analyzing the actual causes of the distress.

) # h#@7 U

U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Lab (CERL), latest edition. Appendix C provides a detailed explanation of each type of AC and PCC surface distress.

3.4. Additional PCI-based Indices

The distress data used to compute PCI can also be used to calculate additional indices that are helpful in understanding the condition of the pavement and developing PCIP recommendations. One additional index that was computed is the Foreign Object Damage (FOD) potential index.

The FOD index was developed by the US Air Force and is described in detail in the US Army Corp of Engineers Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 04-09, Pavement Engineering Assessment (EA) Standards. Loose objects on an airfield pavement surface resulting from pavement distresses can be detrimental to aircraft engines, specifically engines that are low to the ground. The objects are ingested into the engines causing costly damage and presenting a safety hazard. Not all pavement distresses create a FOD potential. Therefore, an additional index was identified that uses the results of the PCI distress survey. As shown in Figure 3.1, the scale ranges from 0 to 100 with 0 being no FOD potential. Note that the FOD index uses a simplified three color scale.



Figure 3.1: FOD Potential Rating Scale.

3.5. PCI Survey Results

The airside pavements at 07A include 6 sections with 88 sample units. The sample number of sample units that were surveyed in the field is 21, which is 24 percent of the total samples. Data from the inspected sample units were input into the PAVER database and a resultant PCI for each section was computed.

Figure 3.2 presents the area-weighted PCI by use and the overall airside network.




Figure 3.2: Pavement Condition by Branch Use.

Figure 3.3 shows the distribution of the 07A pavement network by condition. None of the network is in h




Figure 3.3: Pavement Condition by Percent of Area.

Table 3.2 is a listing of the section PCI.


Table 3.2: Section PCI.

Branch ID	Name	Section ID	Surface	Area, sf	PCI	PCI Category	FOD
A01	Apron 01	01	AAC	44,700	100	Good	0
R1432	Runway 14-32	01	AAC	293,440	100	Good	0
R1432	Runway 14-32	02	AC	107,200	100	Good	0
TA	Taxiway A	01	AAC	15,030	100	Good	0
THANG01	Taxiway Hangar 01	01	AC	32,181	96	Good	12
TTRW14	Taxiway Turnaround RW14	01	AC	32,934	100	Good	0

Figure B2A and B2B in Appendix B are maps of the section PCI in 7- and 3-scale categories, respectively. Figures B2C is a map of the FOD rating. Appendix D contains a detailed report of the PCI values and distress type, quantity, and severity data for each sample unit that was surveyed in a section. Appendix E is a summary report of the extrapolated distress data at the section level.

Appendix F contains current section and branch PCI data and forecasted section PCI values. FOD values by section and branch are also presented. Figure B2D in Appendix B shows the locations of the photos that were taken during the survey. Photos are included in Appendix J.

3.6. PCC Pavements





4 Pavement Capital Improvement Program

4.1. Introduction

PCI data were collected and entered into the PAVER database. In addition, the database customization included the following components, which are described in detail in this chapter.

- 1. Performance Modeling
- 2. Maintenance & Repair (M&R) Triggers (Critical PCI)
- 3. M&R Policies
- 4. Unit Costs

Once the database was customized, it was used to run budget analysis scenarios and develop a 7-year PCIP.

4.2. Performance Modeling

Prediction models are used at the section level to compute future conditions based on the typical performance of the pavement sections that are included in each model. Future condition is computed by defining its position relative to the prediction model. The section prediction curve, or equation, is drawn through the current PCI-age point for each specific section. Since the shifted curve will run parallel to the computed prediction model, the predicted condition can be computed for any future age. Figure 4.1 is an illustration of this process.

Prediction models provide an effective way to compute future pavement performance based on past and current conditions, and pavement maintenance and rehabilitation practices. As new PCI inspection surveys are conducted, these models should be updated accordingly. In the case of the Alabama statewide airport pavement network, the best fit family curves were developed for each region by grouping pavements according to branch use (e.g. runway, taxiway) and surface type (e.g. AC, AAC, and APC). The family curves for ALDOT were developed based on branch use and are presented in Figure 4.2.

Figure 4.1: PCI Forecasting.





Figure 4.2: Family Curves.



4.3. Critical PCI Values

u # h#@ the PCI value at which the rate of PCI loss increases with time, or the cost of applying localized preventive maintenance increases significantly u into PAVER in defining and measuring the critical PCI values. These values, or M&R triggers, are assigned for each prediction model. As such, the critical PCI values are directly related to the branch use.

These critical PCI levels are selected based on several factors including a review of performance models; experience; other airport triggers; and acknowledge that time is required for funding approval and design. Note that preventive maintenance is recommended, and it should generally be performed above the critical PCI (trigger) values and Major M&R is generally performed below them. The critical PCI (CP) values were set at 70 for runways and taxiways, and 65 for other pavements.

4.4. M&R Policies and Unit Costs

M&R policies refer to the activities that are applied at different condition levels to maintain and repair a pavement section.

Maintenance activities are localized activities which are typically assigned in the first year of the M&R plan based on the observed distresses. Safety (stopgap) maintenance addresses distresses that would affect operational safety if left unrepaired and is applied to pavements below the critical PCI. Preventive maintenance activities are aimed at slowing the rate of deterioration through consistent maintenance of existing pavements and are generally applied to pavements above the critical PCI. Appendix G presents the policies for preventive and safety maintenance.

Repair activities are conducted for larger areas, typically at the section level and are assigned based on the critical PCI. Repair activities broadly consist of three categories: preservation, rehabilitation, and reconstruction. Pavement preservation involves activities like surface treatments that are used to extend pavement service life and to delay more expensive rehabilitation work. These are applied when the pavement is in relatively good condition and does not exhibit any structural distress. Rehabilitation activities are used to repair pavements below or around the critical PCI and typically include mill and overlay. Reconstruction is recommended when the pavement has deteriorated to a level where rehabilitation is no longer cost effective.

Table 4.1 lists the pavement activity types, the individual activities within each type, and their associated 2020 unit costs. A more detailed description of the M&R activities and the development of the M&R unit costs is presented in Appendix H.

@ O \ u focus on preservation, surface treatment is applied to all resurfaced and reconstructed runways, taxiways, and aprons three years after construction work is complete. Taxilanes and T-Hangar pavements are excluded from this requirement. This policy is applicable for projects in the PCIP between 2021 and 2024. For cost estimating, this surface treatment is assumed to have the same cost as the runway surface treatment.

Table 4.1: M&R Activities and Unit Costs.

Activity Type	PCI	Activity	Cost/sf
		Seal Cracks AC (\$/If)	\$3.95
Maintenance	Note 1	AC Full-Depth Patching	\$25.05
		AC Partial-Depth Patching	\$16.28
Preservation	75-90	Runway Surface Treatment	\$0.57
Freservation	75-90	Taxiway and Apron Surface Treatment	\$0.85
	> CP	2" AC OL ²	\$3.54
Rehabilitation	55 - CP	Mill 2" & 2" AC OL	\$3.90
	45 - 55	Mill 2" & 2" AC OLP (With Pre-Overlay Repairs)	\$4.82
Reconstruction	0 - 45	AC Reconstruction	\$8.25

¹ Preventive > CP; Safety (Stopgap) < CP

4.5. Pavement CIP Development

The PAVER database, updated with condition data and customized with condition performance priorities, policies, and costs; was used to evaluate the effect of multiple funding levels on the overall future pavement condition. This output was further used to develop the PCIP. Figure 4.3 illustrates the process that PAVER uses in the funding analysis.

The following M&R funding levels were used for the 07A pavement network to help establish the 7-Year PCIP. Figure 4.4 presents the network area-weighted average PCI for each of the following funding scenarios at the end of the analysis period:

- **Onlimited Funding:** Unlimited funding is available for all pavement needs. The PCI decreases to 89 by 2027.
- Ø Maintain PCI: Maintain existing PCI of 100.
- **One of Strained Funding:** This scenario constrains the funding to \$1 million each year (total of \$7 million). The PCI decreases to 89 in 2027.
- Ø Do Nothing: Performing no M&R would reduce the network PCI from 100 to 89 by 2027.

Since the overall PCI is 100, all scenarios have the same effect on future condition, with no repairs identified.

² For sections with structural distress and PCI > CP




Figure 4.3: Budget Analysis Process.






Table 4.2 summarizes the annual funding required for the above analyses. For the unlimited analysis, all pavement needs are funded in the year they are required. Therefore, the unfunded costs are zero. The total funded amount over the 7-year period is minimal, since the current PCI is at 100. For the annual funding level of \$1 million per year, funding is prioritized based on the prioritization matrix. When the needs exceed the funding for any year, the remaining sections are transferred to the succeeding year

There are no rs in 2027 for this funding level.

Year	Unlimited	Maintain PCI	Constrained \$1M/year	Do Nothing
2021	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0
2022	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0
2023	\$2,355	\$2,355	\$2,000	\$0
2024	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0
2025	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$0
2026	\$5,461	\$5,461	\$5,461	\$0
2027	\$7,173	\$7,173	\$7,173	\$0
Total	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$0
2027 Backlog	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2: Summary of M&R Funding Level Analyses.

Map B3A in Appendix B presents the 2027 forecasted PCI by section when the M&R activities recommended in the CIP are not conducted.

4.6. Pavement Capital Improvement Program

The unlimited funding analysis contains rehabilitation activities for sections from the same branch spread out over the seven-year period, which is not always operationally feasible to construct. The analysis output was treated as a starting point in developing the CIP. Sections were often integrated together to account for construction feasibility and other factors, resulting in larger projects which were more realistic. In addition, each project could contain sections whose condition did not trigger rehabilitation but were pavement within a particular feature. For example, if the PAVER analysis showed rehabilitation was required for eight out of 10 sections on a runway, the entire runway would be recommended for rehabilitation to provide a continuous new pavement surface.

Table 4.3 shows the projects and the associated costs for the recommended 7-year PCIP. Table 4.4 is a more detailed view of the PCIP. This table lists the individual pavement section, section level M&R work, section repair cost, surface area and the PCI before the M&R is applied. The costs that are presented represent an annual escalation rate of 3% for the unit costs. The total 7-year PCIP cost is approximately \$0.34 million. Map B3B shows the recommended repair types, while Map B3C presents the recommended projects and activities in the PCIP. Appendix I1 presents a summary of the recommended activities and cost by year for each section at 07A.

Table 4.3: Summary of 7-Year PCIP by Project.

Project Year	CIP Project	Total Project Cost	Total Project Area, sf	AWPCI Before	AWPCI After
2023	07A_23-01_Runway 14-32 Surface Treatment	\$304,810	493,304	96	98
2023	07A_23-02_Taxiway Hangar Preservation	\$29,927	32,181	87	95
	Total	\$334,737			

Table 4.4: Summary of 7-Year PCIP by Project and Section.

Branch	Section	Area, sf	PCI Before Rehab	Activity	Activity Type	Cost
07A_23-01	_Runway	14-32 Surfa	ace Treatn	nent		\$304,810
A01	01	44,700	-	Surface Treatment	Preservation	\$27,620
TA	01	15,030	-	Surface Treatment	Preservation	\$9,287
R1432	01	293,440	-	Surface Treatment	Preservation	\$181,315
R1432	02	107,200	-	Surface Treatment	Preservation	\$66,238
TTRW14	01	32,934	-	Surface Treatment	Preservation	\$20,350
07A_23-02_Taxiway Hangar Preservation						
THANG01	01	32,181	88	Taxiway & Apron Surface Treatment	Preservation	\$29,927
	•	•	·	·	Total	\$334,737

The FAA, under the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) provides approximately 90 percent of eligible costs for planning and development of public-use airports included in the NPIAS as grants. The remaining 10 percent of costs are shared between ALDOT and the airport sponsor. The following is the distribution of the 7-yr PCIP cost of \$0.34 million for 07A:

 Ø FAA (90%):
 \$0.3 million

 Ø ALDOT (5%):
 \$0.02 million

 Ø Airport Sponsor (5%):
 \$0.02 million

The recommendations within the PCIP are based on a network-level study and should be used for planning purposes only. A detailed project-level assessment should be conducted for each project to determine the appropriate repair activities and develop more accurate cost estimates.

Table 4.5 summarizes the maintenance activities that are recommended for Year 1 (2021). The estimated cost is approximately \$2,024. A complete listing of the maintenance activities by section is presented in Appendix I2. This may be used as a basis for establishing an annual maintenance budget for the 07A pavements.

Table 4.5: Summary of Year-1 Maintenance Plan.

Policy	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Work Cost
Preventive	Patching - AC Full-Depth	80	SqFt	\$2,002
	Crack Sealing - AC	6	Ft	\$22
			Total	\$2,024



Appendix A Pavement Inventory Report

Franklin Field (07A)

Branch ID	Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Rank ¹	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (sf)	LCD ²	Surface ³
A01	Apron 01 Union Springs	APRON	01	S	298	150	44,700	3/1/2020	AAC
R1432	Runway 14-32 Union Springs	RUNWAY	01	Р	3,668	80	293,440	3/1/2020	AAC
R1432	Runway 14-32 Union Springs	RUNWAY	02	Р	1,340	80	107,200	3/2/2020	AC
TA	Taxiway A Union Springs	TAXIWAY	01	S	306	42	15,030	3/1/2020	AAC
THANG01	Taxiway Hangar 01 Union Spring	TAXIWAY	01	Т	938	35	32,181	6/1/2019	AC
TTRW14	Taxiway Turnaround RW14 UnionSpring	TAXIWAY	01	Р	550	43	32,934	3/2/2020	AC

¹ P = Primary pavement, S = Secondary pavement, T = Tertiary pavement

² LCD = Last construction date. The date of the last major pavement rehabilitation (e.g. AC overlay)

³ AC = Asphalt Cement Concrete, AAC = Aphalt Overlay AC, PCC = Portland cement Concrete, APC = Asphalt Overlay PCC

APPENDIX B

PMP Maps

B1: Inventory Maps

B1A: Branch Identification B1B: Section Identification B1C: Sample Unit Layout

B1D: Pavement Type

B1E: Branch Use

B1F: Pavement Age

B2: Surface Condition Maps

B2A: 7-Color PCI B2B: 3-Color PCI

B2C: FOD Rating

B2D: Survey Photo Locations


B3: Pavement Capital Improvement Plan (PCIP) Maps


B3A: 2027 Forecasted PCI without PCIP


B3B: M&R Needs

B3C: PCIP Recommendations


Legend Section Boundary Branch Identification Apron 01 Union Springs Runway 14-32 Union Springs Taxiway A Union Springs Taxiway Hangar 01 Union Spring Taxiway Turnaround RW14 UnionSpring










Fi	igure B1A	Branch Identification			
	All About	ENGINEER KP/M F	DATE	May 2021	MAP NUMBER Page 1
	Pavements, Inc. (API) www.allab.outpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217 586-1967	REVISED JM	SCAL	1 in = 300 ft	FINAL

















Figure B1D	Pavement Type				
All About	ENGINEER KP/MR	-/	MAP NUMBER Page 4		
Pavements, Inc. (API) www.allaboutpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217-586-1967	REVISED JMA	SCALE 1 in = 300 ft	FINAL		













	Figure B1E	Branch Use		
		ENGINEER		MAP NUMBER
	All About	KP/MR	May 2021	Page 5
Pavements, Inc. (API) www.allaboutpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217 586-1967	JMA	1 in = 300 ft	FINAL	










Alabama Statewide Pavement Management Program Update Franklin Field (07A) Union Springs, AL

	Figure B1F	Pavement Age		
	All About	ENGINEER KP/MR		MAP NUMBER Page 6
Pavements, Inc. (API) www.allaboutpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217 586-1967	REVISED JMA	scale 1 in = 300 ft	FINAL	









Alabama Statewide Pavement Management Program Update Franklin Field (07A) Union Springs, AL

F	igure B2A	7-Color PCI			
	All About	ENGINEER KP/MF	DATE	July 2021	мар number Page 7
Pavements, Inc. (API) www.allaboutpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217 586-1967	REVISED JMA	SCALE	1 in = 300 ft	FINAL	















	Figure B2B		3-Color PCI	
	All About	ENGINEER KP/MR	D, L	мар numвer Page 8
Pavements, Inc. (API) www.ellaboutpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217 586-1967	REVISED JMA	scale 1 in = 300 ft	FINAL	
















Figure B3A


2027 Forecasted PCI without PCIP

All About	ENGINEER KP/MR	DATE May 2021	MAP NUMBER Page 11
Pavements, Inc. (API) www.allaboutpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217 586-1967	REVISED JMA	SCALE 1 in = 300 ft	FINAL










Alabama Statewide Pavement Management Program Update Franklin Field (07A) Union Springs, AL

	Figure B3C	PCIP Recommendations		
	All About	ENGINEER KP/MR	D/ 11 L	MAP NUMBER Page 13
Pavements, Inc. (API) www.allaboutpavements.com Telephone: 217-586-2765 FAX: 217 586-1967	REVISED JMA	SCALE 1 in = 300 ft	FINAL	



% 5"|| **Ucf 7fUMb| f57**L

CYYHY

- @dk!aUXidcZ/biz\Uf!`_Y\Wygfibb|b|dfUY le YUWchYk|h bcbY
 cfcbmUzk|bY\Wbb\Wb|\WygfYHY\WygfYbchgUYX`
- A Wija !: ifh Y Wi Yeda WirZ || \it U || Uef \ W Wid | The UdUMb of bilk of c Z W Wigh U in Unity || \inn \ U in Y \ X \ Wij a !g y Y || \text{In U in V || \inn \ U in Y \ X \ Wij a !g y Y || \text{In U in V || \inn \ U in \ U in

FYUfcddg

- @dk! Bc Wydrig fawy U of cj Y Unaf dk zij Y Jm njefryc
- A YAji a ! duffjU'cf Z "XYch 'duvz'cj Y Unicf f Yabyli W



& 6 WAL 157L

6 YMH [gU]a czylia bci ga UYfU cbh Ydj Ya Ybigi AWA U MAUYgUg Ibm [Ygg] Yz Yz YMH [gi AWA U i gi U m XXX Ygci | I Ygji Miti 6 YXH [gWi gi XXim Y Yggj Y Laci bgcz Lgh U i Wa Ybic Rug bh Ya | I cf `ck ! U f j c | XXII Y bic Ych '' + i cwi gk \ Yb Ugh U i i `gh Y j c | Xgczh Ya | I Xi fb [\cd k Y h Y U X h Yb Y d U X gci h chic h Ygi AX Yczh Yd j Ya Yb'i C bWh Y Y YXH | dic Yygg | gbci f y Yg V YX i fb [WX k Y h Yz i gh U i cf H f k] " U Wi a i 'U Y cbh Y gi f X W'

Cj Yjiy Bc X fyëczej YjmifyXybX'6 YYjt ësa XWbdYk\bjig Y Pbej Yba [\lefYi Wg]XfygjthW

FYLLIFD: Neg '8c beh ht / gbxvelh yxtelygxtru vintelinibl \ Ythibxic ``gbx he h ytruguzwak ih v yxhb zracj yh y Y vlega Unfu/diw



1" 6 cW7fWb 157L

6cWWWgifYjhYwbbWWxwwyghUnj jayhYdij ia YhijbefYwhi i 'UfgUffx djwg' HYVcWga UniUl YjbghYaca %in%acdie %Vn%62Ni 6cWwwyjb i gwigxa Ubningfb U YcZhYUghUhwbwYUbXlgbchcUxUggWffxY HY cwiffYbWcZVcWwWyb i g UnibywhghUfhYUghUh\Ug\Uxbxg[bjAwbhii 6cWwWyb bcfa Unicwingg YUUf YdioddfjcbcZhYdij Ya YhifYzXi Hk]`` ga Yja Ygwif cbnfbhYbdhiizZwYYg

CHAME

FYLIFD: Wg

- @dk!BcWdb/
- < |[\!fx#WgfAWcf\YJj4JAJXcjYUth</pre>



("7cffi [U]cbf57L

Description


Corrugation is a series of closely spaced ridges and valleys (ripples) occurring at fairly regular intervals, usually less than 5 feet (1.5 meters) along the pavement. The ridges are perpendicular to the traffic direction. Traffic action combined with an unstable pavement surface or base usually causes this type of distress.

Severity Levels









)"8**YfY**dbf57Ł

CYTHY

- @ck! SYfYgdcbWbVYcVgYi YXcf`cWYX\nigNbYXIfYgicb`nig||\hmi UZNIgdj YaYHifJXhi ei U]hmiUXaUnWi gY\nMcdUhhi ddYHJU'db fi bkUng'AU]ai a XXih %# le %#3bWZcfii bkUng'%#\$le %|bWZcfNi]kUng UXXifiday

FYLIFD: Mg

- @ck!BcUJcb/
- AXXia!GUdzidflUdZ"XYhdlW
- <||\!G\Uds\zdff\Udf\Z\``\X\th\d\I\W'
 </pre>



*">¥6U457Ł

8YMdldb

>YIVGNGGDWiggXf_YXXLfYgdbhYdjYaYligifXWk\YbYlia]bcigVbXf \GVYbVifbXcfWf\dhAX`cWJnXXifbXXLfYgaUnjUnfbXXdhidle` Uddd]aUYmY48JbWf%`a]``]aYNGL'

GjYJm@jYg

BcX(fYecZej Y]mtfYXX/bXX=ljejdZj\Nille JbX\WYhUiYhUiYhVdlMcdcbY|dg'



+"'>c|blfYZXNcb7fUMb| f57Ł

8YAIdidb

Gj Y hier y Yg

- 7fU\(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{
- Cbyczhyze`ck]b[Wbyllchg'N lefg fflewt.VglfyacxfflyniglU'xxfgay: C8'
 cbyblychixwbwylhyz`xcfbcbz`x`xczbnik]xh/flez`xxwt.Vglfybchi
 glU'xxcffycbnil[\liniglU'xxvi lthyz`xflglbi bglfgtwinwiyllcbflE
 bcbz`xxwv.glfybcliglU'xxcffycbnil[\liniglU'xxvi lthya Nb wtw
 k]xh [gl fNlyfhub% [bwfl a]``]a Yygl/cff(E)[\lifuxa wtw]b['Y]glg
 bnfhywwcfuthywdbfczbygwh wtw
- 7fULgUrgj YrnigiU YXIXQDJIY: C8 ddYdJULUXWbWYJNYZ "Xxcfbdd Z "YxcZUnik JYn"



," @db[]hiX]bUUbXHfUbgiYgY7fUM]b[157L

@di | | in x | in in x | in x

CYTHY

- @dk!\UYYJhYfa]bcfgIU]b['cfbc'gIU]b[''HYVIUVgWbVYA~YXcfib' A~YX'I blA~YXVIUVg\UYUaYbbk]Xh`cZ%(*]bWcf~Ygg':]~YXVIUVgUY Umk|Xh VilhYfA~Y[g]bgI[gIWbfnWbXl]cb/
- A Mia i dycznyz ckli wiylidy leg % wwystracyty in gwyklacy wyny y wyd y

FYLIFD: Mg

- @dk!BcUUdb/
- A YAjia ! galu Valua



9" C] GJ "U YF57L

C[`g]``U[Y[ghYXYY[cfU]cbcfgZYb]b[`cZhYdjYaYbigˈfZUWWigXXvnhY g]``]b[`cZc]`zZiYzcfchYfg;jYbg'

Cij Ylling Bc XV fYgcZgj YllmtfYXX/bXX*+ilgig ZJVNF+ile*|bXJVUYhUic|`gl]``U Y Y [dg'

FYLIFD: Mg

- 8cbch]b[/
- ♦ DHUGZ "XXh dIW



%5' DTMMP[.

FYIJFdINJb UXi I; ImiliriliNJb [gwlgXfXLXZNJifY UXYggcZ\ck kY]h diZfagcfklgWlgli WX

CY YHY

- @ck! jb[ccX\\ib\\if\cb\UXjgc\\Xfa]b[gt\\if\if\maxsis]m
- ♦ AYAjia! leiga Yk\UiXYMjcUYXUXUZNAjif|X|b| ei U|lmleiga YYI Nbh

FYUfcdidg

- @ck!BcUIdb/
- A YAJia! glu VII Worry Lifth y X Jang y John Y di Woffy du Whydi W
- < | \ ? fYtUWhYdUW</p>



: ||ifY7'\. "5g\UHUW|\b|"



BcXI fYgcZgj YflmifYXXfbXY< dk'j YzhYXI fYYcZdc']g']t| 'g'd 'XVY gj bjZWHXXXfYJtjgBXXXXJbhYWbXJJdbg'fj YnUXXUYXIgUXXXW

GjYJhi@jYg

5[[fi]UYd: jg jh jg Wig XViñ YhUYXhi ZjvVith jwjdg To: jg YXU [fi]UY g dYghhk \Yb Wg Yi Ua jhUjdbic ZUdij Ya Yhifij YUgh Uh Yddijdbic ZU [fi] UY Yi Yi Yh YUgh Uh jg Yh Yij Yiniga U`ch YYYUYbc ici [\cf Uh i`Uf U [fi] UYd If jwg jc dicj] XY [cc Xg] XY gg Jb XY Y 2 jg Yb Xwc Zh jg indy c Zyg fi Ugc jb Yj Wiy Xik \Yb h Yhi a Wf cb Ug] XY gg Jb XWU jh ji Yg jg ck cf \Ug Xicdh X g jb Zj Wiy in Xica ch y jci gi Uju [g'

8141dd


%#**FUY]b| 157**Ł

8½blicb FUYbi jehyxjecxjbi czwaleyu [fy uydaflwezaca hyd.j ya ybigiazw'


SYDGYA]I 'Cij Yr]Imi@j Yg'
5gi gX\YY]IzWiGgYU[fY] UYfYZfgle dYXxa]bUHWiGgYU[fY] UYghigi-ZhY
Ugh Uha]I "5[[fY] UYVVigYfgfYZfle k\YbacfYhUbdbYUXc]b]b[WiGgYU[fY] UY
dYWlga[gg]b["-Z]bXci VHXci HUgj Yr]Imi'y YzhfYfYfYgHUfj YUNIgicZ%gei UY
nHfXfYggi UfYaYMEXUWg ci 'XVYYI Ua]bXXUXhYbi aVYfcZa[gg]b[WiGgY
U[fY] UYdff|WigWi HYX

- @ck@jYf|micWikg|ZUmicbYcZhYgYWbY|f|chgYl|ghfKi:bUgeiUfYnitXi]geiUf aYYtfYyfYgHUfjYUfYzhYbiaWfcZWUfgYU[fY|UYdIff|Wiga]gg|b[]g
- When the second of the second
- A YAji a 'gaj YfjhnicWaldg'|ZUbnicbYcZh YgYWbAjf||cbg'N |gb fYki-bUgei UfYnldX falei UfYa Yhdi fYdYgYbNJj YUfYBh Ybi a WfcZWUfgYU [fY| UfYddf||Wega |gg|b| '
- A |gWik Yb & UX(\$' fat A |ggld U | fY UY W gY g g Wik Yb & UX & df Whi Z h YY U a |b X g g UY n f X g g UY a YY f I f YU' = b a Y j a g j Y j hn i U Y b j Z h Y Y g g a Y: C8 df Y f U'

BdY hlglgUbk XdNggbWhY888+gifjYm




GiffinGNU#7cUHfGjY8YbgYA]I GjYflmi@yYg



- f#HYgwxifwg Yghub%hv#if#:bhywgczwwnfk\Yydlinb www.ygty Yghub%fbwfi aałk]xy
- A filih yguyxifulgvik yb%bx%dfvirifile:bhyvlgvczwullfk\yy
 dlimbvityjbj \lgxij ycdxzhyvitygify%fbwfi aalk|xycfjfullf
- file Hyguyxifulgigi Yr%idfyllifile: bhyulgyczwiu lifhygifilyigi dryliji cze

Defaig flykb7a fgYGjYflm@jYg

- =bU%gei (fYZcdfff#%gei (fYa YhfffYffyghfUfj YgladYzhYhia VfcZa @ U[fY[UYd]YWga]gg]b[]gVNkYb) UXX&UX5cfhYhia VfcZa]gg]b[` U[fY[UYW]gYgYcYghdNIVXX%
- =bU%gi UYZcdff#\$gi UYa YMffYdfybUlj YgladYzhYbi a WfcZ A U[fYUYdfWgalggb[fgWkYb&&UX(\$UXfcfhYbi a WfcZalggb[` U[fYUYWgMglg]fYUYThUb%ti lXcYgbdNUWX&;dMWhizZhYUYU
- -bU%gei UYZcdff#%gei UYa YhfifYfh@HiUj YgladYzhYhiaWfcZ U [fYUYd]Wga [gg]b[[gcj Yf(\$UN#cfhYhiaWfcZa [gg]b[U [fY|UYWg]Yg [g] fYlYfhUb&cMWHcZhYUYU



%" Fi Hb 137Ł


5 filigUgifAWXXfYgglcb]bhYk\YY'dIh/\cky YZ]baUm]bgUbWgfilgUf bc]jWUYcbmIZHfUfUjbADZk\YbhYk\YY'dIhgUfYJ`YXk]h kUMf''IJj YaYih id]ZiaUmcWifUcb[hYgXXgcZhYfilifFillip]gYiagZicaUdhfaUbHrXXffaUjcb' |bUmcZhYdj YaYihUMgcfg'V.[fUXZig'UmWigXVmWigc]XUJcbcf`UMU' acj YaYihzZhYaUMJUgXiYlc111ZjWcUXg''Q[bjZWJifillip] Wb`YXXlc'aUcf gli WifUZ]ifYcZhYdj YaYih

G YINGALDX COST INVAL

- @ck! YeehU | bW|bXYth/
- A YAJia ? Wilk YYb UXX/JbW/bXXch/
- < |[\!\Y\Y\Y\Y\Y\]bX\\]bX\\\]bX\\\]bX\\\]bX\\\]</pre>

FYUfcdidg

- @dk!BcWdb/
- AWia!diwuwifgYun
- < [\'!duwbwefgYun
 </pre>



: **[[ifY7**[!]."57**Fill**b["

%'''G]ddL[Y7fUM]b| 157L

Globil YMUNGIFY MIGNIFIC TO LEA COOR WHANTING \ U | Ib| Ikc YNGOL IN XIKUM from the direction of traffic. They are produced when braking or turning wheels cause the dj Yn Yhigi IXWWeg|XYUXXXXIa" H | gi g U nicWingk\YbhYY | gUck!gfY | h' g IXWa | I of coof \ \cdot \cdo

Gy YING No degrees of severity are defined. It is sufficient to indicate that a slippage

FYLIFD: ME

- 8cbch]b[/
- Diffuera "Xith diw"



: **][ifY7% G]dt[[Y7fU<u>N</u>]**b["

%"CkY by 1571

8YAJdJdb

5 gkY lgWlfUMifriXVnibi dkUXVi [YJbhYdlj Ya Yhligig fALW 5 gkY a Um cWlfg Udniej Y Uga U UfYUcf UgU ch Yz fUX U kUj Y 9Jh Y hidvczgkY WbVY UWładb YXVnigi fALWWLWJb ["5 gkY lgi gi UmWi gXVnifcg UWjcb JbhY gi V fUXYcf VnigkY]b [gc] z Xi h Uga U gkY WbUgc cWlf cbhYgi fALWcZub Ugh Uh cj Y Unilij Y DV / H gUYgi h c ZUV ck! i d JbhYDV 7 gU/"

CyYlm@yyg

UNIZIONYS5bi dkUXUWYUJcbk]~cWif ZhYgkY~[edf@HH!

- A dij ya ydłogły yyk jnci i wyzywimi by ugugi bizwi bizwich hy dij ya ydłogły y ci ujmię ynkia jby udhy bofa u ujwizieg myzzef hydij ya ydhogwich i by w bezywich '




%"K**\\h\Y|b| 157**Ł

8YgA[d]cb

HYKYLIJI UKUnicZhYUghUhMbYYFUXZbYU[fY[UYaUh] Zica hYdijYaYbh gifXVY

Gj Y hier y Yg

- 5gkUigifawwijbbjbi leigickigi beczujbi k\jwauniyuwwytuxxin Waujiwwbylicheji @cggighyzbyu[fyuyauni lebdiwwytuxauniy www.adbjyxxinazybi czhyugkuhwich 9xiyeczhywugyu[fyuneguy wijbbjbi levynichegyu]fyubisis) jbweech waali Dijyaybiauniy fyuniykileibikue achbecxi.
- @cggicZJbYU[fYUYaUA] [gbdJNNVYUXXX[YgicZNAUgYU[fYUY\UJYVYb A YldgyXidle % k]Xb HzhYdl YggyXncZhYVaUgYU[fYUYXiYle hYcgg cZJbYU[fYUYaUA]"
- 9X\YgʻcZXNLGYU[fY\UY\YYYDY\dcgX\fYUYfY\UY\\K]X\Yd\[Yg\i dgXLcZ\YYNLGYU[fY\UY'HYY]gWhgXMUY`cggʻcZADYU[fY\UYaUN] YXNH hoddYHU'cfgaY`cggʻcZXNLGYU[fY\UY'




%"6'ck!I dfD77Ł

8 Ygyldid

GjYJhi@jYg

- 6i Wjb[cfgUMfb[\UgbdiYbXYXhYdIjYaYhijbcdfUjjYzUXdbnUg][\h LacibicZici[\bXgY] [dg'
- 6i Wjb cfgundb \ Ugfnakanydj Ya Ybhbodulj Y



%" 7dbY6fYU_gAD77Ł

CHYPY:

- @ck! 7fUM\GYNYbe'gU'bl 'cfa befgU'bl 'fbcZfy| bcVNYAUY
 flC8fcHYJUE-Zbcb'filled, it has a mean width less than approximately 1#
 inch (3 millimeters); a filled crack can be of any width, but the filler material
 aighybglgukfinksyljcb'H yunukkybnyutby unu
 cbc'gbchtuwx
- A Y ia ? One of the following conditions exists: (1) filled or non filled cfuylg acxillying Dysiga Y: CS driff D/H bb filled crack has a mean width between 1/8 inch (3 millimeters) and 1 inch (25 millimeters); (3) a filled crack is not spalled or only lightly spalled, but the filler is in unsatisfactory while the filler is in unsatisf
- In the following conditions exists: (1) filled or non filled crack is severely spalled, causing definite FOD potential; (2) a non filled crack hague a block in the following conditions exists: (1) filled or non filled crack is severely spalled, causing definite FOD potential; (2) a non filled crack hague a block in the following conditions exists: (1) filled or non filled crack is severely spalled, causing definite FOD potential; (2) a non filled crack hague a block in the following conditions exists: (1) filled or non filled crack is severely spalled, causing definite FOD potential; (2) a non filled crack hague a block in the following conditions exists: (1) filled or non filled crack is severely spalled, causing definite FOD potential; (2) a non filled crack hague a block in the following conditions exists: (1) filled or non filled crack hague.

FYUfcdidg

- @dk!BcUIdbdf2UVIVV
- A Wia! AUW



XYA dIW

: || ifY7'%: D77 7cfb¥f6f¥U"

%" 7fWg "@dj]h XbUZHUg YgYUX8]U dbU fD77Ł

CY YHY

- A YAji a ! %i bi Zi YXVIIV\@VIIkYb\%Sic %|bWk|XYk|In bc Zi Y|b| `cf gU |b| `cf & Zi YXVIIV\@cZUbnik|Xh Zi Y|b| "Y@hUb\#"|bWcfa YAji a ' @i YI|nigU |b| /

FYLlfedichg

- @dk!BcUJdbcfgUVIVyg
- AWia!guvwg



: **||ifY7%&:DV7HUgiYgY7fU<u>V</u>g**

88'8i fW]]Im7fU<u>V</u>gfD77Ł

8YAJdJdb

Sifty Invitable [gwigxwihy]buy Imizh ywbwyłe k [hgwyy) Ifcha Yiu authigg wigayy yn k wwg li g unithigg utimboczat wei hbli parallel to a joint or linear crack. A dark coloring can usually be seen around the fine x fty [mwwy] H glanyczatwh authy yhuniyale xghy fthcbczhy whary k h byte 224 ft ssie * ssa ["a yygiczhy/chicfytw"]

Gi Y Ini@i Yg

- Í SÍ WW bị \ \ Lý Xỷ Y cơ X cị Y UN bị XI W Y Là ci bhi Zợ W (N Lìk]h '
 Xị Ji Xi (U chi cz: C8 de Niej U'



82% >c|bhGJU8UaU|YfD77L

GYTHY

- A Wija !]b[YbMU nixLjf WibMJ]dbhfci [\ci lih YgNJjcbžk]h cbYcfacfYcZ UnivZh YU\cj YhndigcZNià U YcfYgNJicWiMJ]b[le UacMUYXI[fY]" CNUUHbYY@jaa WJUYfYtUWa YHk]h]b&nNfg

FYLlfcdldg

- @ck!BcWydb/
- AWia!gW'chig



& Call'TIRVADITA.

5 dlwgbtyuk\yyhyd[]bUdjYaYh

has been removed and replaced by a filler
a Unflu': cf whylich y ui Ulcheduw [g

Xj | XX | ble lkc hully ga U ffygh Ub) gei UY

ZYHLIX Uf YHJ YF) gei UYZYH! @Uf YdIWYg


UYXYHVX | bh Ybii lewich'

CYTHY

- @ck!DIW@AbUjbb[kYžk]h` `HiYcfbcXWfcfUjcb

FYLIf cdldbg

- @ck **Ë8cBch]b**[/
- A Wiji a ? FYITUWdIWcffYITUWhY gU/



: **||ifY7%. 'D77GaU'DIW**'

&" @LFJ YDLFWHD77Ł


Patching is the same as defined ZfUga U'dIW'
\cky YzhYUfYUcZhYdIW|gacfYhUb) igi UfY
ZYF15 i If | ImalifigUdIWhUh UgfYtUWYhY
cf| | IbU'di Ya YhiMui gYcZdUWa YhiZ
i bYY [fci bXi If | If gH Ygj Y Juniy YgcZUi If] Imi
WHIYHYJJA YUGhcgYZffY i 'UfdIW| | !"

CHYPE

- @ck!DIWjgabljchjb[kYžk]h"jhiYef bcXNYjefUjcb/
- A Wia ! DIW\ Lgwirfcftrwithitf
 acwiffygli]b[WbWgwbtfcibxhY
 wygbtfcibxhY
 wigwwathiluwbwwgcx[wik]h
 wigwwywathilucf: C8'ddwiftli/

FYUfcdldg

- @ck **Ë8cBch]b**[/
- A YMia ? FYIUWdIWcfYIUM YgU/
- ◆ < || \ ËFYtUWdIWcfYtUMhYgU'



: ||ifY7%. 'D77@4| YDIW

&" Dodi leftD77Ł

CY YFE

No degrees of severity are defined for popouts. < cky Yzdychi leja i glwy lybej y wzryh yntywi lywydyg lyzy y ly ydddi lein gli wyx uhld la uynhfydddi lein gi uyntyc y fhyyhlygwry.



: [[ifY7%. 'Dodilg'


&"Diadb fD77L

8 yaldd

Dadh jehyywioczaunju viikunnici [\'chiectwuewiewiewiwzwiocznygu bwrdied 'cogoghykun jeywwzilwiyedniweczi ily yzgoz cznygu bwrdied 'cogoghykun jeywwzilwiyedniweczi ily yzgoz wincfehlory jejbudici negizali w wie dadii dawedliku wydylid ux ugycfe u foyaunju chnyd ya wilwene chiectweguy jewwc dadh 'Dadh bwr chielogiajwnecchieguy ux cegcze dathk\jwkj`` wxe wwh i bwr ynunx coe

GjYfhi@jYg

Bc XI fYgcZgj YlmtfyXZbXT-liggi ZlyNtlie byWYhUri adb[Y]glg



&" GW]b[11077Ł

A LINEWH CONTROL TO STATE A LINEWHY I LINEWHY LINEWHY I LINEWHY LINEWHY I LINEWHY LINEWHY I LINEWHY I LINEWHY I LINEWHY I LINEWHY I LINEWHY LINEWHY I LINEWHY LINE

CHYPE

- @ck? 7ftijb[cfatilvitvy]b[Yl jajej Yfg[bj/whigtvtfyth Ygfatw]gb [ccxwhyijcbk]h bcgw]b["H Ywitvydumbai gliyykY xz/bxxtbx Ytgrifw] bjrxx
- A Wji a ? GU/jejJUWcj Y Uddid Ja UYm)ı 'cf 'YgjcZh YgjfZUWk]h 'ga Y : C8'ddWHU/



&": U 116 11077L

Calina Ydicf Zi 'Hol 'lgUx|ZAFYXWcZYYj UlcbUdU'c|Hicf WUWWigXXviiid Ylj U'cf Wing: |XU|cb'

CY YING

Severity levels are defined by the difference in elevation across the fault and the

	Fi bktigH1]ktig	5dfdg
@	0% (]bW	% Ë% SJ3 V
A	% Ë% \$J\$W	%82 %JbW
<	2% 8] bW	2%ы

FYILIFCdldg

- @ck!BcWicb/
- AYAjia Ë; fjbAjb [Ucb hY'c]bla



&"GUHYXGWHD77L

HYDNIN WWELFYWWENUMY I HEZI FOR CYC WEWW EXCE YOUN I WEF IN SELECTION I WE WIND A CONTROL OF THE SELECTION O

CHYPY:

- @ck? Slab is broken into four or five pieces with the vast majority of the cracks for Y,) chryffic ck!@iY|hh
- ◆ A Mia ! (1) Slab is broken into four or five pieces with over 15 percent of the WWgZa Mia gj Mhithc\| \!gj MhitWgZcffffgWgVc_Vollegl cfacffd Wgkh cj Y,) chfwlizh YwweZck!/

FYUfcddg

- @ck **ËCXU** 7fW&
- ♦ AXXia!:i "XXth dliWcffYtlUMhYgU/
- <||\!:i"XXth'dIWcfYtIUMhYgU'</p>



&"Gfb_UY7fUWfD77Ł

GAFID U YMICUGUYA UF IDYMICUGH UTIFYI GʻUmidomUzik ZMRICH UXXX bch M PHXILMI ggAYMHIY gʻUMH YMIFYZ FA YXXI FILI TAYQINIH UXMIFILI ICZAY WHANIYU XI GʻUMIX bchil PHXA bici [\ "AYXXIA" iCZAY gʻU"

GJYFFY

No degrees of severity are defined. It is sufficient to indicate that shrinkage cracks exist.

FYUfcdidg

• 8cBch]b[



' \$' >c|bhichU gfiD77L


zelligU ld lghYXglil fUldscZhYgWX Ygklh b&ZYicZhYglif 5°clligU i g UnixYglil NiXj YflW nihfci [\hYgWzWillil MgXVillil MgYcllilli bUd Y'ClU]d fYg lgZica Y Wgj YghgggUhYvcllil WWW gXVillil MuldscZhWadYgJVYaUflUgcfMZJWcUg KYU WiXYYUhYvcllif WgXVin cj Ykcf [d EWaVbXk]h MZJWcUg gbchYWigycZgU [d]"

CYTHY

- @ck! cj Y & ZYYich [Ux lg Vic_Yb]ble bc acfYh UbhfYYd] Wyg XYIb XVin ck cf a YXi a gy Y Jhn M Vyg k Jh "Jhi Y cf bc: C8 ch Y H JU Z cf [g & Yyg h Ub & ZYYich [Ux lg Vic_Yb]ble acfYh UbhfYYd] Wyg k Jh "Jhi Y: C8 cf Jf Y X A U Y ch Y H U/
- A Wija ! cj Y & Wijd U Wig Vic_Yb jhle acfYh U 'd Wig Wijb Wiñ] \h
 cfa Wija W Wijcfga Y: C8 ch Yh JU Y Jejh z cf Jegh U & Wijch U Wijcf z U a Yh Wik Jh 'ga YcZh Yd Wijc cog Ycf U gh iz
 Wigh Whij W U Y: C8 cf Jf Y X a U Ych Yh JU/

FYLIFCdldg

- @dk!BcWldb/
- A Yajia ! chrzefa Uduffu Xach culw
- < || \ ! d\f{z} fa Udlf||U\f{y} fh d\f{y}</p>



'%7dbYGUgfD77Ł

7cfbffgU]b[]ghYftjY]b[cfVNU_XkbcZhYgWk]h]bUffid]aUYni&XYicZ hYVdfbf'' 5 VdfbffgIU XJZfgZica UVdfbfVfYU_JbhUfhYgIU Uf YgXkbkUX le]bYgWhY'c]bfk\]YhYVfYU_YlPbYgjYfJWnfhfci [\hYgW'

CHAHA

- A Wiji a Ë% ThYgiU lg Vic_Yb lite like cfacfYd Wig Wijb XVinin Wiji a '
 gj Yflm Vil Wig Ub XUZIK 'ga U ZiU a Ybliga Un W Ug Biricf 'ccgy' & ThYgiU 'lg'
 XXI b XVInich Ygj Yr ZiU a Yb XVII Whitia Un W Waadib J XVin UZIK '
 \Uf | b Y VII Wig Cf' Eth YgiU \Ug XVII y Cfur Xie Th Ydc | b Fik\YY ccg Ya U Y J U 'g
 Wigh | C8'd Y Y HU/

FYLIfCdldbg

- @dk!BcUJdb/
- AWia!dffUWhdIW
- < | | \ ! diff[UX6h difW]</pre>



' & 5CF fD771.

5GF [gWi gXxiriWa]W fYUljicb Wik YbU_U gUbXwfit]bfYUlj Yg`]Wa]bfUgʻ k\]Wafa U[Y" HY[Y Ugʻt\gkUrizWi gb[Yi dibg\cbk\]Wa UriNia U[YhY WbXIXIWHigh Wiftg 5`_U]gUfYa cgiriXb]bfixi Wxxirih Yddfilbx Wa Yhk]h]bh Ydij Ya Yhi 5GF WUM[d] a UriXYUWYYUXXiriWa]W dij Ya Yhi XIVIgʻ

- % 74UMH czhywbanydjya ybiezybjbua uddumt:
- & K\]Pizvickbž[funcfchYWcfXX[Y`cfgNjb]t| a UniVdNgbhUhYVIW gifXW
- '"5[[fYUYdbdilg
- (" =bMMg/|bWbMMy| ciaYM dbg|d±hUaUm'yg h|bMg|ef||dbcZUXUWHcf |bM|fUgh WifYgcfd.ngWYYaMg'9| UadYgcZM dbg|db|bWXYgcj|b|cZ UghUhdjYaYhgc]||\hWblhH|zgUZiH|z'c||hagJ||baYbzUXYHigdocZ ^c||hgUgcfYdbg|db'c||hig~Yg'


6 Wigy 5 CF [gia Uhf]U:XXhbXbhz 5 CF [gi] YbMU nidhghi hfei [\cilin Ydij Ya Yhi gWidb' 7 cf [bi UbX\bbXhYdNife] f(d\) [WbUnglg]gh Ycb mXz[b][j Ya YheXle: Wbiffa h YdYgbWcZ5 CF'' H YZE `ck [bi g\ci `XVY_Yhi]ba [bXk\Yb]XbijZi[bi [` h YdYgbWcZ5 CF hfei [\j]gi U [bgNV]cb

- %; YMUni5CF Xghgyglfybdic\ghj YXJbh YAfgizk intligUN Valigli Vjdb' +b'
 Validgid Ughyglfb_U YVN VAJb| VVbcVafh YXthicZvalghi Vjdb UXQUHLfYbi
 klh Jbh YZGhintf'
- ' '' 5GF [g**XZAYH]UXZ**ica 'A Ul7fU<u>N</u>|b| #GNJ|b| Vnih YdYgbWcZj |g U g| bgcZ YdDgdb'

Gj Y hier j Yg

GaY: C8 ddibijU/jbinigXgkYdjbj cfchYf: C8 fYacj U'a YhcXgaUniXY fYei jfXX AUniXY j |XXbXXcZgUVacj Ya YbhibXfcf gca YXLa U Yle UXUWbh gli WifYgcf YYa Yhg'

CbYcf Vch cZhYZe``ck|b| Yl | gh % @ccgYcfa | gg|b| WbWYYZU a Yl gk |] W chgY | | \ : C8 chYY | Liz & GU g f ZUY | hY f | m b XZ b N j cb g | b Z W h n n X | f U X X b X j Ya Y h f Ye | f Y g aa Y N j U Y f Y L j g j e `U X U W h g f Y a Y h g ' a U X U X j g f Y a Y h g ' a U X u X j g ' a U X j g f Y a Y h g ' a U X u X j g ' a U X j





FY=bghNkbFYkfh

5@8CHS 8 ; YbYUY 8			' #% 188 8	&													ЩҮ%2
B¥kcf	\$ +5				В	ΑY	: flb_ `]	b: JYX									
6ғиж	58%		BLa	Y 5	d6b\$%1	bjets Celljef	g	ΙgV	5DFC	В	5	fYU		((ž\$\$C	æ h	
GW/jcb	\$ %	d	Z %	: fca.	нц	this			H	. < U j	ug .				@Ugh7	/cbgli	1 #248888
G fXXV	557	: L a]m	5@8CH	5ddg	N	Ь¥			71	M cfm					FU	G	
5f y U		((ž&Ce h	@Y	(ħ.	& ,	: h	K	JYh.		%\$: h	1						
GUg		GW@¥	(h.		: h	GWK]	Xh.		: l	1		>c]	bli@Yb[]	h.		: 1	1
Gd XY.		CHYWH	ind i Y			; fuxy	8					@U	Ng	\$			
CNIJCb7c	caa Yilg																
Kď_8U	Y %%*'	K	cf_HrdY	BYk 7dbg	i V] (b! ∃	IBU		70	cXX B	I !-B			-gA Ut	ďΑ	/ F. I	fiΥ	
Kd_8U	Y '#48\$8\$	К	cf_ HrdY	&g YU m	l			70	c XY C	ES&			=gAUd	fA	/ F. I	fiΥ	
@Ujibgl'	'8UY '##	888	Н	HVQadY	ģ -			Gij¥	X %								
7db XII]db	g D7≒	% \$\$															
=bg iNlj db	7caa Yilg																
QadYBi	aVYf. \$%	Hir	K F		5fYU) \$\$\$\$	SGe h		D7 =	%\$\$						
QadY7c	caa Ybb y																

OBc8ldfrgg

B¥kcf \$45		BlaY	: ftb_`jb:]YX		
6fuW F%'&	BlaY	Fibkum6!' & bjd		FI BK5M 5fYU	(\$\$2(\$Ge h
GWich \$%		fca. GW/db%&		H. Fibkuń &9bX	@Ugh7chgh '#48\$8\$
_	a]m 5 @8CHF Kg			70h(cfm	FUb. D
5f¥U &'¾(\$G	_	'ž*, :h	KJWh.	, \$: h	
	- W Y H.		KDAN.		ա խեն Չե ն ի. ։ h
Gai XX.	f Wil low	; fU	W \$	0	UNg \$
GWydb7caaYdg					
Kd_8UY %**	Kcf_HnlY BYk	7chgl G Vlj ch! ∃hjljU	7 c	X BI!B	=gAUcfA∕ F. HiY
Kd_8UY '##\$\$\$	Kcf_HnN &g	Yf U m	7 c	XX C@S&	=gAUcfA∕F. HiY
@Ughing!'8UY %##\$\$%	HHUG	ladYg (-	G fj Y N	K +	
7ch		BCHD, 111 DFY	7 dgfi W dbD7=111	t	
=bglNlijcb7caaYnlg					
GladyBiaWf. 8(HndY F	5fYU	*\$\$\$\$\$Ce h	D7=, +\$	
GladY7caa Yllg					
(, @/ H7F	@	&888 :h			
(, @/ H7F)+ K95H:9F=B ;	A A	%\$\$\$: h *\$\$\$\$\$ G: h			
CladYBiaVf. %	HnN F	5fYU	*\$\$\$\$\$Ge h	D7=, *,	
GadY7caa¥ig					
(, @/ H7 F	@	'%)'\$\$:h			
(, @/ H7F	A	%\$\$\$:h			
)+ K95H : 9FB ;	A	*\$\$\$\$\$ Ge h			
CladYBiaVf. %	HndY F	5fYU	*\$\$\$\$\$Ge h	D7=,)'	
GladY7caa Yilg					
(, @/ H7 F	A)+)'\$\$:h			
)& F5J9@ B ;	@	%'\$\$ Ceh			
)& F5J9@ -B;)+ K95H:9F -B;	< A	('\$\$ Geh)-,&\$\$ Geh			
CLadYBiaWf. &	Hnly F	5fYU	*\$\$\$\$\$Ge h	D7 =,)-	
GladY7caa Ydg					
(, @/ H7 F	A), \$\$\$:h			
)+ K95H:9F=B;	A	*\$\$\$\$\$ Ge h			
GladYBiaWf. '&	HnlY F	5f y U	*\$\$\$\$\$Ge h	D7=,)(
GladY7caa Ylig					
(, @/ H7 F	@	+)'\$\$:h			
(, @/ H7 F	A)*)'\$\$:h			
)& F5J9@ B;)+ K95H•9F -B;	@ A	+)'\$\$ Ceh)-&-'\$\$ Ceh			
)+ K95H:9F=B; CLadYBiaWf. '-	HrdY F	5fYU	* \$\$\$\$\$ Ge h	D7=, *\$	
GladY7caa¥hg	11111	OI E	www.	<i>U</i> , → ♥	
(, @/ H7 F	@))'\$\$:h			
(, @/ H7F	A)\$\$\$\$:h			
)+ K95H:9F=B; CladyBiaWf. (*	A HnN F	*\$\$\$\$\$ Ge h 5f¥U	*\$\$\$\$\$Ge h	D7=,)-	
GadY7caaYhg	IIII F	OI RJ	gapage II	D/5)-	
	_	\ \			
(, @/ H7F)+ K95H:9F-B;	A A)+)'\$\$:h *\$\$\$\$\$ Ge:h			
, c indica-is	Α.	www ce ii			

B¥kcf	\$ ⊦5				BU	ÁΥ	: ftb_`]b:]YX								
6fuw.	њ		Bla	у ні]kthi5 I	bjdbCdfjb	g	I g¥	H5L±K	5M	5f	Y U		% 28 \$ C	e h	
CXVIJCb	\$ %	C	Z %	: fca.	Filk	Uh?(!' &			Ht.	5dfd	58 %			@Lgh7	'cbg'i	1 #748\$8\$
G FZWY	557	: L a]m	5@8CH	57HI]ktiş	y No	bY			7U	l cfm				FUb	G	
5fYU		%2\$ \$Ge h	@Y b	[ħ.	' \$ *	: h	кр	ħ.		(& ł	1					
GU/g		GW@Y	ήh.	:	h	GWK	Th.		: h			>c]bli@!	Ыh.		: 1	h
Gd XXf.		CHYWH	indly			; fuxy	8					@UY g	\$			
GW	caa Yilg															
Kd_8U	Y %** *'	К	cf_HrdY	BYk 7dbgffi	Uj db! ∃b	I		7c)	Y BI	! -B		=g A	Ucf	A/ F. I	fiY	
	TY 1#48888	К	cf_HrdY	&g YU m				7c)	Y C@	5 &		=g A	Ucf	A/ F. I	БY	
@Ujibgl	'8UY '#8	#8\$8\$	Н	#VCLadYg	1		(i fj YNX	%							
7 cbX cb	g D7=	% \$\$														
bg MJ d	o7caa Yilş	ğ														
CLadYB	iaWf. \$%	6 Hi	dY F		5fYU)) \$9'\$\$(e h		D7=	%\$\$					
GadY7	caa Yhly															

(Bc8|dffg2

B¥kcf	\$ +5					BUAY	: fUb_`]b:]YX					
efubW.	H: 5B	\$ %		BLA	r HIjki	in ЩՄ\$ /	a bjeb@afjb[I g¥	H5L-K5	M 5	fyU	' 82 %%Ge h	
XVI jcb	\$ %		ď	%	: fca.	5dfd5\$%			Ht. 1	КЩ И́д		@Ugi7chg	* #%8\$ %
G FZLW	57		: L a]m	5@8CH	57HI]Wg	NdbY			7UN(fm		FU <u>b</u> . H	
5 FYU		' 82 %	%Ge h	@Y b	h.	-', :h	K	ħ.	•) : h			
GUlg			GW@b[]	h.	:h	GUV	KJM.		: h		>c]bl*@Yb [h	•	: h
Gd XY .			CHYWH	ľ		; fU	X \$				@UMg \$		
GWJcb7e	caa Yilg												
Kd_8U	Y %** *'		Kď	_HrdY 1	BYk 7chgli Vlj e	b! ∃bjij U		70	exy BI!=	3	=gAUcf	A/F. HiY	
Kcf_8U	* # ***	, o	Kd	_ Hhdly '	7cad YYFYW b	jí Vj ob! 57	7	70	cXY 7F!5	7	=gAUcf	A/F. HiY	
	'8UY %	(+88 %	•	H	Wadyg +	-		G fj YN	X *				
7 cbX jcb	g D7≒	- *											
bg MJd	o7caa Yd	g											
CLadYBi	iaWf. \$	%	Hah	F	5	fYU)(8)'88'	Ge h	D	7 =, -+			
GadY7	caaYblg												
(, <i>@</i> /	H7F			@	% \$\$ \$: h							
GadYBi	iaWY.\$	&	Hah	/ F	5	FYU)* \$! \$ \$(æ h	D	7 =, -+			
GadY7	caa Yilg												
(, <i>@</i> /	H7F			@	%'58	: h							
CLadYB	iaWf. \$		Hnh	/ F	5	fYU)&-'\$\$(Ge h	D	7 =, ,+			
GadY7	caa Yilg												
() 89	DF9CGCE	3		@	æ''58	Ce h							
~~	DF9CGCE	3		A	%888								
	H7F			A)'88								
	iaWf. \$		Hall	F	5	fYU	((&'58)	Je h	D	7 =, -*			
GadY7	caaYblg												
(, @ /	H7F			@	' 888	: h							
GadYB	iaWf. \$	•	Hnh	F	5	fyu	()' &\$\$	æ h	D	7=, %\$\$			
CladY7	caa Yilg												
(Bc8 gf	¥ge												
GadYB	iaWr.\$	+	Hoh	F	5	AU	' +) - ' \$\$ (æ h	D	7=, % \$\$			
GadY7	caa Yilg												

OBc8HMgg



Appendix E

Distress Summary Report

Franklin Field (07A)

Branch ID	Section ID	Surface ¹	Area (sf)	Distress Number	Description	Distress Mechanism	Severity	Quantity	Quantity Units	Distress Density
A01	01	AC	44,700	52	NO DISTRESS			0		0.0%
R1432	01	AC	293,440		NO DISTRESS			0		0.0%
R1432	02	AC	107,200		NO DISTRESS			0		0.0%
TA	01	AC	15,030	48	NO DISTRESS			0		0.0%
THANG01	01	AC	32,181	45	DEPRESSION	Other	Low	27	SqFt	0.1%
THANG01	01	AC	32,181	45	DEPRESSION	Other	Medium	11	SqFt	0.0%
THANG01	01	AC	32,181	48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Climate/Durability	Low	61	Ft	0.2%
THANG01	01	AC	32,181	48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Climate/Durability	Medium	6	Ft	0.0%
TTRW14	01	AC	32,934		NO DISTRESS			0		0.0%

¹ AC = Asphalt Cement Concrete, AAC = Aphalt Overlay AC, PCC = Portland Cement Concrete, APC = Asphalt Overlay PCC

APPENDIX F

PAVEMENT CONDITION REPORTS

F1: Section Forecasted Pavement Condition Rating

F2: Branch PCI Rating F3: Branch FOD Rating

Appendix F1 Forecasted Section PCI

Franklin Field (07A)

Branch ID	Section ID	Forecasted PCI									
DIGITIO		2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027			
A01	01	97	95	93	90	88	86	84			
R1432	01	99	98	97	96	94	93	90			
R1432	02	99	98	97	96	94	93	90			
TA	01	99	97	96	94	91	89	86			
THANG01	01	94	91	88	86	83	81	79			
TTRW14	01	99	98	97	95	92	90	87			

1 19/6 188882

6ftbW7dXHdbFYldh

DUY%78

DJYaYHBUWQY 5@8CH8889 %

6fUbW:8	Bi a VYFcZ GYVIJcbg	Gia GXMIcb @Mb[h Hill.			I gY	5j YfU Y D7=	Głubxiex 8Yj juljeb D7=	KY \P\X 5j YfU Y D7=
5 \$%	%	&, '88	%8\$\$	((ž-\$\$°\$\$	5IFCB	%\$\$\$\$	888	%\$\$\$\$
F%' &	&) 2585, '885	, 888	(\$\$2(\$\$5	FI BK5M	%\$\$\$\$	\$188	%8888
њ	%	' \$*'\$\$	(888)	%28 \$55	Њ І-К5М	%\$\$\$\$	\$155	%\$\$\$\$
H: 5B; \$%	%	-','\$\$	')'\$\$	' 82 %%	Њ І-К5М	- *1\$\$	\$155	- *188
HFK%	%))\$\$\$	(' '\$\$	' & ' ('\$\$	њ∟ж5м	%\$\$\$\$	\$188	%\$\$\$\$

DJ9F+%

6ftbW7dXJIdbFYldfh

DUY&cZ&

DJYaYHBUKUBY 5@8CH588\$ %

I gY7UN cfim	Bi a VYfcZ GYWichg		5f]ha Yl]W 5j YfU YD7=	5j YfU YCHB' D7=	KY \PX 5j YfU YD7=
5IFCB	%	((ž-8\$\$\$	%\$\$\$\$	\$155	%8588
FI BK5M	&	(\$92(\$55	%8588	\$188	%\$\$\$\$
H5L=K5M	•	, \$2%() '\$8	-, **+	%j	-,"-
5@@	*) &) 2 (,) '\$\$	'' '	%(-	'4*

DJ9F+%

3	/1	7	17	U	7	7

Branch Condition Report

Page 1 of 2

Pavement Database: ALDOT_220316

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use		Deviation	•
A01	1	298.00	150.00	44,700.00	APRON	0.00	0.00	0.00
R1432	2	5,008.00	80.00	400,640.00	RUNWAY	0.00	0.00	0.00
TA	1	306.00	42.00	15,030.00	TAXIWAY	0.00	0.00	0.00
THANG01	1	938.00	35.00	32,181.00	TAXIWAY	12.00	0.00	12.00
TTRW14	1	550.00	43.00	32,934.00	TAXIWAY	0.00	0.00	0.00

DJYAYHAUJ[YAYHOgYA PAVER 7.0 ™

3/17/2022	Branch Condition Report	Page 2 of 2
	Payamant Databasa: AI DOT 220316	

Use Category	Number of Sections	Lotal Arga (Salt)	Arithmetic Average FOD	Average STD FOD Potential	Weighted Average FOD P
APRON	1	44,700.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RUNWAY	2	400,640.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TAXIWAY	3	80,145.00	4.00	5.66	4.82
ALL	6	525,485.00	2.00	4.47	0.73

DJYAYHAUL[YAYHOgYA PAVER 7.0 ™



Appendix G1 Localized Safety (Stopgap) Repair Policy

Distress	Distress Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
41	High	ALLIGATOR CR	PA-FD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
43	High	BLOCK CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
45	High	DEPRESSION	PA-FD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
47	High	JT REF. CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
48	High	L & T CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
50	High	PATCHING	PA-FD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
53	High	RUTTING	PA-FD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
54	High	SHOVING	PA-PD	Patching - AC Partial-Depth	SqFt
55	NA	SLIPPAGE CR	PA-PD	Patching - AC Partial-Depth	SqFt
56	High	SWELLING	PA-FD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
61	High	BLOW-UP	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
61	Medium	BLOW-UP	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
62	High	CORNER BREAK	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
63	High	LINEAR CR	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
63	Medium	LINEAR CR	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	Ft
64	High	DURABIL. CR	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
64	Medium	DURABIL. CR	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
66	High	SMALL PATCH	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
67	High	LARGE PATCH	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
70	High	SCALING	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
71	High	FAULTING	GR-PP	Grinding (Localized)	Ft
72	High	SHAT. SLAB	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
74	High	JOINT SPALL	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
75	High	CORNER SPALL	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
76	High	ASR	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt

Appendix G2 Localized Preventive Repair Policy

Distress	Distress	Description	Code	 Work Type	Work
	Severity				Unit
41	Medium	ALLIGATOR CR	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
41	High	ALLIGATOR CR	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
42	N/A	BLEEDING	PA-AS	Patching - AC Partial-Depth	SqFt
43	High	BLOCK CR	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
43	Medium	BLOCK CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
44	Low	CORRUGATION	PA-AS	Patching - AC Partial-Depth	SqFt
44	High	CORRUGATION	PA-AS	Patching - AC Partial-Depth	SqFt
44	Medium	CORRUGATION	PA-AS	Patching - AC Partial-Depth	SqFt
45	Medium	DEPRESSION	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
45	Low	DEPRESSION	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
45	High	DEPRESSION	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
47	High	JT REF. CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
47	Medium	JT REF. CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
48	High	L & T CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
48	Medium	L & T CR	CS-AC	Crack Sealing - AC	Ft
49	N/A	OIL SPILLAGE	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
50	High	PATCHING	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
50	Medium	PATCHING	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
52	High	RAVELING	PA-AS	Patching - AC Partial-Depth	SqFt
53	High	RUTTING	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
53	Low	RUTTING	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
53	Medium	RUTTING	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
55	N/A	SLIPPAGE CR	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
56	Low	SWELLING	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
56	Medium	SWELLING	PA-AD	Patching - AC Full-Depth	SqFt
61	Low	BLOW-UP	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
61	Medium	BLOW-UP	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
61	High	BLOW-UP	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
62	Medium	CORNER BREAK	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
62	High	CORNER BREAK	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
62	Low	CORNER BREAK	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	Ft
63	Medium	LINEAR CR	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	Ft
63	High	LINEAR CR	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
64	Medium	DURABIL. CR	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
64	High	DURABIL. CR	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
65	High	JT SEAL DMG	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	Ft
65	Medium	JT SEAL DMG	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	Ft
66	High	SMALL PATCH	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
66	Medium	SMALL PATCH	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
67	Medium	LARGE PATCH	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt

Appendix G2 Localized Preventive Repair Policy

Distress	Distress Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
67	High	LARGE PATCH	PA-PF	Patching - PCC Full Depth	SqFt
69	N/A	PUMPING	JS-LC	Joint Seal (Localized)	Ft
70	Medium	SCALING	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
70	High	SCALING	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
71	High	FAULTING	GR-PP	Grinding (Localized)	Ft
71	Medium	FAULTING	GR-PP	Grinding (Localized)	Ft
72	Medium	SHAT. SLAB	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
72	High	SHAT. SLAB	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
74	High	JOINT SPALL	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
74	Medium	JOINT SPALL	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
75	Medium	CORNER SPALL	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
75	High	CORNER SPALL	PA-PP	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	SqFt
76	Medium	ASR	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt
76	High	ASR	SL-PC	Slab Replacement - PCC	SqFt

APPENDIX H

M&R UNIT COSTS

H1: M&R Unit Costs

H2: Component Costs for Repair

H3: Airport Category

Maintenance and Repair (M&R) Unit Costs

The M&R costs developed for the ALDOT PMP include costs for maintenance, preservation, and repair activities and are described below.

Unit Costs Source Data

The source for the M&R costs data is RSMeans, which has data for 14 locations throughout Alabama, as identified by the yellow highlighted boxes in Figure 1. The cost data is presented in terms of individual line items like asphalt wearing course, aggregate base etc., which were consolidated to develop the activity costs described below.

The cost data show a distinct difference in costs between locations north and south of Birmingham, especially for the higher value items like the asphalt layers. Therefore, the unit costs were developed accordingly for the airports north and south of Birmingham, as identified in Figure 1. Appendix H2 presents the component costs used in developing the M&R costs.




Figure 1: RSMeans Unit Costs Locations.

Maintenance & Repair (M&R) Activities

Maintenance activities are localized activities which are typically assigned in the first year of the M&R plan based on the observed distresses.

Repair activities are further subdivided into preservation, rehabilitation, and reconstruction. Repair activities are conducted for larger areas, typically at the section level and are assigned based on the Critical Pavement Condition Index, denoted as CP in Table 1. The CP is based on the section's rank or importance within the overall network and typically ranges from 55 to 70. The CP was set at 70 for the ALDOT runway pavements and 65 for the other pavements.

Activity Type	PCI	Activity
Preservation	> CP	Runway Surface Treatment
Preservation	> CP	Taxiway and Apron Surface Treatment
	> CP	2" AC OL ¹
Rehabilitation	55 - CP	Mill 2" & 2" AC OL
	45 - 55	Mill 2" & 2" AC OLP (With Pre-Overlay Repairs)
Reconstruction	0 - 45	Reconstruct with AC

Table 1: Repair Activities.

The depths for the milling and overlay (AC OL) in Table 1 were established by creating a balance between removal of surficial distress and providing additional pavement structural capacity. All overlay options include full-depth patching to repair localized distresses.

From the FAA 5010 records, the Alabama airport network includes a wide range of allowable aircraft loads. The airports were divided into three categories of allowable aircraft loads based on requirements for minimum pavement thickness and the use of a P-401 surface layer. The categories are based on the aircraft maximum gross takeoff weight (MGTOW) and include: less than 12,500 lbs, 12,500 to 30,000 lbs, and 30,000 to 100,000 lbs. Appendix H3 presents the category for each airport.

For any sections requiring reconstruction, the pavement sections were established primarily in accordance with the requirements in Table 3 of the FAA's Advisory Circular 150/5320-6F. The pavement sections used for developing the cost estimates are:

```
\leq 12,500 lbs 4" P-403 (State HMA Mix) + 6" P-209 Base 12,500 – 30,000 lbs 4" P-403 (State HMA Mix) + 8" P-209 Base 30,000 – 100,000 lbs 5" P-401 + 10" P-209 Base
```

It is important to note that while the FAA requires a stabilized base for those pavements that support aircraft operations with MGTOWs that are greater than 100,000 lbs, the number of such operations is minimal for those airports shown in Appendix H3. As a result, the cost of a stabilized base is excluded in the development of the unit costs for ALDOT's PMP update. However, based on the Engineer's future design and aircraft fleet mix development, project-level construction work could include the use of a stabilized base at that time.

¹For Sections with Structural Distress and PCI greater than Critical PCI

M&R Unit Costs

Paving projects typically include additional project costs like mobilization, design, construction administration and inspections, and drainage improvements. A summary of non-direct pavement construction line items has been included in the unit costs in Tables 5 and 6 as described below. These non-direct items are expressed as a percentage of the total component costs for each activity.

These non-direct pavement construction items were developed from API's extensive experience with APMP project cost estimation. These percentages may vary for Alabama airport construction projects; however, since the direct pavement scope of work is estimated in a network-level evaluation, these conservative estimates serve as a good starting point for the development of realistic total project costs and annual APMP budgets for ALDOT. For repair activities such as Mill & Overlay, which typically do not include significant drainage work, the corresponding multiplier was reduced by 50 percent. The non-direct cost factors are presented in Table 2.

Factor	Function of	Estimate				
Factor	Function of	Preservation	Rehabilitation	Reconstruction		
Mobilization	All costs, less design	10%	10%	10%		
Drainage Improvements	Paving costs	-	4%	8%		
Contingency	All costs, less mobilization and design	10%	20%	20%		
Design & CM	All costs, less mobilization and design	15%	20%	20%		

Table 2: Cost Factors.

The M&R unit costs for maintenance, preservation, and repair activities were developed from the RSMeans cost data and are presented in the following section.

Maintenance

The maintenance activities include crack seal, and full and partial-depth patching. The unit costs are presented in Table 3.

Activity **Unit Cost** Unit Seal Cracks - AC \$3.95 lf AC Full-Depth Patching \$25.05 sf **AC Partial-Dept Patching** \$16.28 sf Seal Cracks - PCC lf \$8.35 PCC Full-Depth Patching \$48.70 sf **PCC Partial-Depth Patching** \$243.51 sf Jt. Seal lf \$11.13 Slab Replacement \$27.83 sf lf Grinding \$6.96

Table 3: Unit Costs for Maintenance.

Preservation

The unit costs for the surface treatments are presented in Table 4. They include sealing of cracks and application of pavement markings.

Table 4: Unit Costs for Preservation Activities.

Activity	Unit Cost	Unit
Runway Surface Treatment	\$0.57	sf
Taxiway and Apron Surface Treatment	\$0.88	sf

Rehabilitation and Reconstruction

As discussed previously, repair activities are also divided into rehabilitation and reconstruction. The unit costs for airport repair for the Northern Region (Birmingham Area) and Southern Region (Montgomery Area) are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

Table 5: Unit Costs for Repair Activities, Northern Region.

Activity Type Activity		MGTOW, thousand lbs				
		≤ 12.5	12.5-30	30-100		
	2" AC OL		\$3.78			
Rehabilitation	Mill 2" & 2" AC OL	\$4.15		\$4.56		
	Mill 2" & 2" AC OLP	\$5.	18	\$5.79		
Reconstruction	AC Reconstruction	\$8.40	\$10.91			

Table 6: Unit Costs for Repair Activities, Southern Region.

Activity Type Activity		MGTOW, thousand lbs				
		≤ 12.5	12.5-30	30-100		
2" AC OL		\$3.	\$3.91			
Rehabilitation	Mill 2" & 2" AC OL	\$3.90		\$4.27		
	Mill 2" & 2" AC OLP	\$4.82		\$5.37		
Reconstruction	AC Reconstruction	\$7.63	\$9.87			

Appendix H2 Component Costs for Repair

Activity Type	Unit	Birmingham (Northern)	Montgomery (Southern)	Comments
Milling 1" to 3"	SY	\$2.08	\$2.01	
Pavement Demolition	SY	\$6.34	\$6.12	
Haulage - For Demolition & AC	CY	\$6.08	\$5.87	
Haulage for 12" Thick Demolition	SY	\$2.03	\$1.96	
Haulage for 2" Thick AC Paving	SY	\$0.34	\$0.33	
Haulage for 3" Thick AC Paving	SY	\$0.51	\$0.49	
Haulage for 4" Thick AC Paving	SY	\$0.68	\$0.65	
AC Wearing Course	Ton	\$97.42	\$86.90	
AC Binder Course	Ton	\$87.80	\$78.17	
P401 - For airports with >60 kip aircraft	Ton	\$116.90	\$104.28	Assumed P401 cost to be 20% greater than AC Wearing Course
6" Aggregate Base (P208)	SY	\$10.17	\$9.12	
8" Aggregate Base (P208)	SY	\$13.29	\$11.89	
6" P209 Aggregate Base	SY	\$12.20	\$10.94	Assumed P209 cost to be 20% greater than P208
8" P209 Aggregate Base	SY	\$15.95	\$14.27	Assumed P209 cost to be 20% greater than P208
10" P209 Aggregate Base	SY	\$19.94	\$17.84	Direct multiplier for 10" from 8"
4" P154 Aggregate Base	SY	\$5.42	\$4.86	Assumed P154 cost to be 20% lower than P208
6" P154 Aggregate Base	SY	\$8.14	\$7.30	Assumed P154 cost to be 20% lower than P208
Pavement Markings	sf	\$1.48	\$1.39	

Appendix H3
Airport Category

Danier	City	FAAID	Max Gross	Weight (Tho	ousand lbs)	NATUR CVAL	Category
Region	City	FAA ID	S	D	2D	Max GW	
	Reform	3M8	12.5	-	-	12.5	<= 12,500
	Fayette	M95	15.0	ı	ı	15.0	12,500-30,000
	Hamilton	HAB	15.0	ı	ı	15.0	12,500-30,000
	Scottsboro	4A6	15.0	-	-	15.0	12,500-30,000
	Alabaster	EET	16.0	-	-	16.0	12,500-30,000
	Centre-Piedmont	PYP	16.0	-	-	16.0	12,500-30,000
	Fort Payne	4A9	16.0	-	-	16.0	12,500-30,000
	Haleyville	1M4	20.0	-	-	20.0	12,500-30,000
	Hartselle	5M0	20.0	-	-	20.0	12,500-30,000
Birmingham	Guntersville	8A1	24.0	-	-	24.0	12,500-30,000
Diritingnam	Cullman	CMD	30.0	-	-	30.0	12,500-30,000
	Russellville	M22	30.0	-	-	30.0	12,500-30,000
	Jasper	JFX	50.0	-	-	50.0	> 30,000
	Oneonta	20A	20.0	35.0	55.0	55.0	> 30,000
	Bessemer	EKY	60.0	60.0	-	60.0	> 30,000
	Albertville	8A0	60.0	90.0	130.0	130.0	> 30,000
	Madison	MDQ	60.0	75.0	140.0	140.0	> 30,000
	Decatur	DCU	75.0	125.0	150.0	150.0	> 30,000
	Tuscaloosa	TCL	61.0	87.0	168.0	168.0	> 30,000
	Gadsen	GAD	90.0	115.0	195.0	195.0	> 30,000
	Florala	OJ4	-	-	ı	-	<= 12,500
	Elba	14J	4.0	-	-	4.0	<= 12,500
	Headland	0J6	12.0	ı	ı	12.0	<= 12,500
	Roanoke	7A5	12.0	ı	ı	12.0	<= 12,500
	Greenville	PRN	15.0	1	-	15.0	12,500-30,000
	Union Springs	07A	15.0	-	-	15.0	12,500-30,000
	Wetumpka	08A	15.0	ı	ı	15.0	12,500-30,000
	Atmore	0R1	16.0	1	1	16.0	12,500-30,000
	Clanton	02A	16.0	-	-	16.0	12,500-30,000
	Eufaula	EUF	16.0	-	-	16.0	12,500-30,000
Montgomery	Geneva	33J	16.0	ı	ı	16.0	12,500-30,000
	Greensboro	7A0	16.0	-	1	16.0	12,500-30,000
	Centreville	0A8	18.0	ı	ı	18.0	12,500-30,000
	Ashland-Lineville	26A	20.0	-	-	20.0	12,500-30,000
	Sylacauga	SCD	20.0	-	-	20.0	12,500-30,000
	St. Elmo	2R5	23.0	-	-	23.0	12,500-30,000
	Ozark	71J	-	25.0	-	25.0	12,500-30,000
	Camden	61A	27.0	-	-	27.0	12,500-30,000
	Bay Minette	1R8	28.0	-	-	28.0	12,500-30,000
	Foley	5R4	28.0	-	-	28.0	12,500-30,000
	Tuskegee	06A	28.5	-	-	28.5	12,500-30,000

Appendix H3
Airport Category

Region	City	FAA ID	Max Gross	Weight (Tho	ousand lbs)	NAOV CVA	Category	
	City	FAA ID	S	D	2D	Max GW		
	Alexander City	ALX	30.0	-	-	30.0	12,500-30,000	
	Dauphin Island	4R9	30.0	1	1	30.0	12,500-30,000	
	Pell City	PLR	30.0	-	1	30.0	12,500-30,000	
	Prattville	1A9	30.0	-	-	30.0	12,500-30,000	
	Enterprise	EDN	-	-	-	-	> 30,000	
	Evergreen	GZH	30.0	50.0	-	50.0	> 30,000	
	Marion	A08	30.0	50.0	-	50.0	> 30,000	
	Selma	SEM	33.0	54.0	-	54.0	> 30,000	
Montgomory	Fairhope	CQF	36.0	58.0	-	58.0	> 30,000	
Montgomery	Brewton	12J	40.0	60.0	-	60.0	> 30,000	
	Demopolis	DYA	30.0	38.0	60.0	60.0	> 30,000	
	Monroeville	MVC	70.0	-	-	70.0	> 30,000	
	Auburn-Opelika	AUO	45.0	75.0	-	75.0	> 30,000	
	Talladega	ASN	30.0	65.0	95.0	95.0	> 30,000	
	Gulf Shores	JKA	80.0	100.0	-	100.0	> 30,000	
	Troy	TOI	24.0	80.0	140.0	140.0	> 30,000	
	Anniston	ANB	28.0	43.5	260.0	260.0	> 30,000	
	Andalusia-OPP	79J	98.0	160.0	275.0	275.0	> 30,000	

APPENDIX I

PAVEMENT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

I1: PCIP Summary

I2: Year 1 Maintenance Plan

Appendix I1 PCIP Summary

Franklin Field (07A)

Branch & Section	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
A01-01	Preventive \$84.3 Before:98.15 After:98.15	Preventive \$191.2 Before:95.94 After:95.94	Preventive + Required Project Global MR \$28017.89 Before:93.73 After:98.16	Preventive \$201.93 Before:95.95 After:95.95	Preventive \$322.5 Before:93.73 After:93.73	Preventive \$449.16 Before:91.52 After:91.52	Preventive \$583.13 Before:89.31 After:89.31
R1432-01	Preventive \$468.9 Before:98.44 After:98.44	Preventive \$845.19 Before:97.26 After:97.26	Preventive + Required Project Global MR \$183121.48 Before:96.26 After:98.44	Preventive \$896.66 Before:97.26 After:97.26	Preventive \$1261.07 Before:96.26 After:96.26	Preventive \$1662.34 Before:95.22 After:95.22	Preventive \$2203.74 Before:93.85 After:93.85
R1432-02	Preventive \$169.31 Before:98.45 After:98.45	Preventive \$307.74 Before:97.27 After:97.27	Preventive + Required Project Global MR \$66898.25 Before:96.26 After:98.45	Preventive \$326.48 Before:97.27 After:97.27	Preventive \$459.58 Before:96.27 After:96.27	Preventive \$606.14 Before:95.23 After:95.23	Preventive \$802.7 Before:93.86 After:93.86
TA-01	Preventive \$13.27 Before:99.14 After:99.14	Preventive \$30.63 Before:98.06 After:98.06	Preventive + Required Project Global MR \$9373.67 Before:96.62 After:99.14	Preventive \$32.8 Before:98.05 After:98.05	Preventive \$58.62 Before:96.61 After:96.61	Preventive \$94.37 Before:94.7 After:94.7	Preventive \$139.55 Before:92.39 After:92.39

Appendix I1 PCIP Summary

Franklin Field (07A)

Branch & Section	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
THANG01-01		Preventive \$332.88 Before:90.17 After:90.17	Preventive + Required Project Global MR \$30363.82 Before:87.52 After:94.99	Before:92.72		Before:87.52	Preventive \$591.89 Before:84.93 After:84.93
TTRW14-01	Before:98.78	Preventive \$83.51 Before:97.59 After:97.59	Preventive + Required Project Global MR \$20562.23 Before:95.99 After:98.77	Before:97.58		Before:93.91	Preventive \$342.32 Before:91.48 After:91.48

O U h Union Springs (07A)

Branch ID Section ID	Policy	Distress	Description	Severity	Distress	Distress	Percent	Work Description	Work	Work	Unit	Work Cost	
	ID	rolley	Code	Description	Severity	Qty	Unit	Distress	Work Description	Qty	Unit	Cost	WOIR COST
A01	01	Safety	57	WEATHERING	Medium	40,053	SqFt	89.6	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
A01	01	Safety	48	L & T CR	Low	157	Ft	0.35	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
A01	01	Safety	48	L & T CR	Medium	1,793	Ft	4.01	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
A01	01	Safety	52	RAVELING	Medium	3,416	SqFt	7.64	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
A01	01	Safety	52	RAVELING	Low	1,226	SqFt	2.74	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
A01	01	Safety	50	PATCHING	Low	5	SqFt	0.01	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
TA	01	Safety	48	L & T CR	Low	215	Ft	1.43	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
TA	01	Safety	57	WEATHERING	Medium	14,160	SqFt	94.21	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
TA	01	Safety	52	RAVELING	Low	450	SqFt	2.99	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
TA	01	Safety	52	RAVELING	Medium	420	SqFt	2.79	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
TA	01	Safety	48	L & T CR	Medium	290	Ft	1.93	No Localized M & R	0		\$0.00	\$0
THANG01	01	Preventive	45	DEPRESSION	Medium	11	SqFt	0.03	Patching - AC Full-Depth	28	SqFt	\$25.05	\$714
THANG01	01	Preventive	48	L & T CR	Medium	6	Ft	0.02	Crack Sealing - AC	6	Ft	\$3.95	\$22
THANG01	01	Preventive	45	DEPRESSION	Low	27	SqFt	0.08	Patching - AC Full-Depth	52	SqFt	\$25.05	\$1,288
THANG01	01	Preventive	48	L & T CR	Low	61	Ft	0.19	No Localized M & R	0	·	\$0.00	\$0